
RESEARCH ARTICLE

Comparative Analysis of TESOL Methods within the Context of English Language Education in Kuwaiti Universities

Fajer Alhajiri¹ and Anwar Saad² ✉

¹The Public Authority for Applied Education and Training, Kuwait City, Kuwait

²Ministry of Education

Corresponding Author: Anwar Saad, **E-mail:** Anwarhsaad@outlook.com

ABSTRACT

This research paper investigates the effectiveness of three prominent Teaching English to Speakers of Other Languages (TESOL) methodologies—Communicative Language Teaching (CLT), Task-Based Learning (TBL), and Content-Based Instruction (CBI)—within the context of English language education in Kuwaiti universities. The study aims to understand their impact on language proficiency development, student engagement, and long-term retention. Data were collected through interviews with experienced English teachers from diverse universities in Kuwait. The interviews provided insights into educators' experiences, perspectives, and practices employing these methodologies. Thematic analysis of the interview data revealed distinct patterns for each methodology. CLT enhanced authentic communication and fluency, TBL emphasized task-oriented language use and collaboration, and CBI integrated language learning with subject matter knowledge. The study's findings highlight the significance of context-specific adaptations and offer practical implications for language education in Kuwait. Additionally, the research contributes to the broader field of TESOL by providing insights into the diverse impacts of these methodologies within a specific cultural and educational context.

KEYWORDS

TESOL methodologies; Communicative Language Teaching (CLT); Task-Based Learning (TBL); Content-Based Instruction (CBI); Language proficiency development

ARTICLE INFORMATION

ACCEPTED: 20 August 2023

PUBLISHED: 06 September 2023

DOI: 10.32996/jweep.2023.5.3.2

1. Introduction

Language education is a cornerstone of modern society, playing a pivotal role in fostering effective communication, cross-cultural understanding, and global engagement. As English continues to be a global lingua franca, the demand for proficiency (Rose et al., 2020). According to Alsharari (2018), learning English Language has grown exponentially, particularly in multicultural societies like Kuwait. To meet this demand, educators continually seek innovative and effective teaching methodologies that facilitate language proficiency development, enhance student engagement, and ensure long-term retention of language skills.

Nevertheless, within the realm of TESOL, different methodologies have emerged as potential solutions to address the complexities of language teaching. Communicative language teaching emphasizes real-life communication and interaction, task-based learning centers on authentic tasks to promote language acquisition, and content-based instruction, which integrates language learning with subject matter content, represents distinct approaches educators employ to enhance language education outcomes. However, the comparative effectiveness of these methodologies remains an area of ongoing research and exploration (Malmir et al., 2011; Martínez, 2017; Oviedo et al., 2019).

This research embarks on a comparative analysis of three prominent methods of Teaching English to Speakers of Other Languages (TESOL) methods: communicative language teaching, task-based learning, and content-based instruction. It examines these

methodologies' effectiveness in language proficiency development, engagement, and long-term retention. This study contributes to a deeper understanding of the optimal approaches for teaching English in Kuwaiti university settings. Kuwait, as a diverse nation with a global outlook, recognizes the essential role of English in academic, professional, and social contexts. The ability to communicate effectively in English has become a key determinant of success for individuals in various spheres, including education, business, and diplomacy. This realization has spurred the adoption of innovative teaching methodologies to better equip learners with the necessary language skills (Alshuraiaan, 2023; AlAjlan & Ani, 2023).

The primary objective of this research is to conduct a comprehensive comparative analysis of communicative language teaching, task-based learning, and content-based instruction methods within the context of English language education in Kuwaiti universities. Therefore, this study holds significant implications for educators, policymakers, and curriculum developers in Kuwait and beyond. The insights from this research will shed light on the most effective TESOL methodologies for enhancing language proficiency, engagement, and retention, ultimately contributing to designing more impactful language education programs. Furthermore, the study's findings will offer valuable insights into the nuanced relationship between teaching methodologies and the multifaceted dimensions of language education, guiding educators in selecting approaches that align with their educational goals and the needs of their students.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows: Section 2 presents a thorough review of the literature on communicative language teaching, task-based learning, and content-based instruction, highlighting their theoretical foundations and empirical evidence. Section 3 outlines the research methodology, detailing the interview-based approach to gather data from English teachers at Kuwaiti universities. The subsequent sections present the study's findings and comprehensively discuss the results. The paper concludes with a summary of key findings, their implications, and suggestions for future research.

2. Literature Review

Teaching English to Speakers of Other Languages (TESOL) refers to the field of education dedicated to instructing non-native speakers of English to develop their language proficiency (Kubota & Lin, 2006). According to Kubota & McKay (2009), with English being the global lingua franca, TESOL has gained paramount importance as it enables learners to communicate effectively across cultures, access information, and participate in various international contexts. In linguistically diverse societies like Kuwait, TESOL equips learners with the skills to succeed academically, professionally, and socially in an interconnected world.

The TESOL methodologies, namely Communicative Language Teaching (CLT), Task-Based Learning (TBL), and Content-Based Instruction (CBI), represent distinct strategies employed by educators to facilitate language learning (Oviedo et al., 2019). According to De Chazal (2014), each methodology has its theoretical foundations, pedagogical principles, and intended outcomes, which make them suitable for different contexts and learner needs. Through a comparative analysis of these methodologies, this research seeks to uncover their respective impacts on language proficiency development, student engagement, and the long-term retention of language skills. The following section reviews relevant literature on TESOL methods, focusing on communicative language teaching, task-based learning, and content-based instruction.

2.1 Communicative Language Teaching (CLT)

Communicative Language Teaching is an approach to language instruction that prioritizes authentic communication as the primary goal of language learning. CLT focuses on developing students' ability to use Language effectively in real-life situations, encouraging interaction, negotiation of meaning, and contextually relevant communication. It emphasizes the functional aspects of Language and seeks to bridge the gap between classroom learning and real-world language use (Ng, 2020).

Mustapha & Yahaya (2013) argue that communicative Language Teaching is grounded in the idea that Language is a tool for communication and interaction rather than merely a set of grammatical rules and vocabulary. This approach draws from theories of communicative competence proposed by linguists such as Dell Hymes and the work of linguist and educator Noam Chomsky on transformational-generative grammar. CLT views Language as a dynamic means for expressing ideas, negotiating meaning, and building relationships, aligning with sociocultural theories of learning (Gabrielson, 2011).

Garalzain & Abdalla (2018) argue that CLT's main principles and characteristics include Authentic Communication, Interaction Task-Oriented, Fluency Over Accuracy, and Student-Centered. CLT strongly emphasizes creating situations that mirror real-life communication scenarios, encouraging learners to use Language for genuine purposes. It is an Interaction Task-Oriented as learners engage in meaningful conversations and interactions, focusing on conveying messages rather than grammatical accuracy. Finally, CLT focuses on Fluency Over Accuracy, where tasks or activities that require language use are at the core of CLT. These tasks often involve problem-solving, role-playing, and collaborative projects. Fattah & Saidalvi (2019) argue that while accuracy is essential, CLT prioritizes fluency and effective communication. Errors are viewed as natural and valuable learning opportunities. Learners are actively involved in their language learning process, taking on roles as speakers and listeners in various communicative contexts.

Numerous studies have examined the impact of CLT on language proficiency, engagement, and retention. For instance, Wu et al. (2018) conducted a longitudinal study in a university setting and found that students exposed to CLT methods demonstrated significant gains in oral and written proficiency. Additionally, Panhwar et al. (2017) explored the use of CLT in primary education and observed increased engagement and positive attitudes toward language learning.

Nevertheless, there are several critiques of CLT in specific contexts. According to Thamarana (2015), while CLT has demonstrated effectiveness in many contexts, certain limitations and critiques have been identified. For instance, CLT may not align with certain cultural norms or education systems, prioritizing traditional teaching methods and rote memorization. Traditional assessment methods that emphasize discrete language components may not fully capture the complexities of communicative competence. The emphasis on interaction and student-centered learning might pose challenges in larger classes or when learners have varying proficiency levels. CLT's focus on communication might lead to gaps in more complex grammatical structures or nuances.

In conclusion, Communicative Language Teaching (CLT) offers a learner-centered approach that promotes meaningful communication and interaction. While it has been widely embraced and celebrated for its positive impact on language proficiency and engagement, it must consider its limitations and the contexts in which it may need adaptation to meet learners' needs effectively.

2.2 Task-Based Learning (TBL)

Task-Based Learning centers on the idea that Language is best acquired through engagement in meaningful tasks or activities. Instead of solely focusing on discrete language elements, TBL involves students in tasks requiring them to use Language to accomplish specific goals. Tasks are designed to mirror real-world situations, fostering language acquisition through problem-solving, collaboration, and authentic communication (Sholeh, 2020). According to Shehadeh & Coombe (2010), Task-Based Learning is rooted in cognitive and constructivist learning theories. It draws inspiration from the works of educational psychologists such as Lev Vygotsky, who emphasized the role of social interaction and problem-solving in learning. TBL aligns with situated learning theories, where Language is acquired through engagement in meaningful activities within authentic contexts.

Boraie et al. (2019) contend that TBL's core features and components include Tasks as Central Units, Problem-Solving Emphasis, Collaborative Learning, Language as a Tool, and Feedback and Reflection. In TBL, tasks serve as the central building blocks of instruction. These real-world activities require learners to use Language to accomplish specific goals. Also, TBL encourages learners to think critically and solve problems using the Language. This fosters deeper comprehension and the application of Language in context. TBL often involves collaborative activities, promoting communication and negotiation of meaning among learners, which mirrors real-life language use. Besides, Language is viewed as a tool to accomplish tasks rather than an end in itself. This practical application enhances learners' motivation and engagement. Finally, Learners receive feedback on their task performance, allowing them to reflect on their language use and improve over time.

A growing body of research has investigated the impact of TBL on language development, engagement, and retention. For instance, Kirkgoz (2011) conducted a comparative study between traditional grammar-based instruction and TBL, revealing that TBL led to more significant language proficiency gains. Marlinton et al. (2023) explored TBL's effects on student engagement and reported increased motivation and participation in language learning activities.

Nevertheless, the design of tasks is critical to the success of TBL. Tasks should be authentic, meaningful, and aligned with learners' goals. Tasks that require negotiation, problem-solving, and interaction tend to yield better language outcomes. Additionally, task complexity should be appropriately balanced to challenge learners without causing frustration. Task design also influences engagement, as tasks that tap into learners' interests and curiosity tend to promote higher levels of involvement (Barjesteh et al., 2022)

In conclusion, Task-Based Learning (TBL) offers a dynamic approach to language education that integrates language use with real-world tasks. Grounded in constructivist principles, TBL places learners at the center of their learning experience, fostering collaboration, critical thinking, and practical language application. The research findings highlight TBL's positive impact on language development and engagement, underscoring the importance of thoughtful task design in achieving desired learning outcomes.

2.3 Content-Based Instruction (CBI)

Content-Based Instruction integrates Language learning with studying academic subjects or specific content areas. In this approach, Language is acquired as a tool for understanding subject matter content. CBI enhances language proficiency and content knowledge, making it particularly effective for learners who need English skills for academic or professional purposes (Kirkham, 2015). Thomas & Reinders (2015) argue that Content-Based Instruction (CBI) is an instructional approach that integrates Language learning with exploring subject matter content. This approach emerged as a response to the need for learners to acquire language

skills while gaining knowledge in academic or professional disciplines. CBI finds its roots in the Language across the curriculum movement, which aimed to enhance language proficiency through content-driven contexts.

DelliCarpini & Alonso (2013) argue that CBI involves the seamless integration of language learning and subject matter content, creating an immersive environment where Language is acquired in meaningful contexts. Language is not taught in isolation; it is acquired as a tool for understanding and communicating about various content areas, such as science, history, or literature. This integration promotes deep learning and facilitates the transfer of language skills to real-life situations.

In a university context, CBI might involve teaching literature courses in the target language or conducting science experiments with instructions given in that Language. CBI could teach medical students English through medical case studies in a professional setting. These examples showcase how CBI harnesses the power of context and content to drive language acquisition.

Research studies have demonstrated the positive impact of CBI on language proficiency, engagement, and long-term retention. For instance, DelliCarpini & Alonso (2014) conducted a study comparing language development in traditional language classes versus CBI classes and found that students in the CBI group showed greater improvement in language skills. Additionally, Hrib (2017) explored the long-term retention of language skills acquired through CBI and reported that learners retained vocabulary and language structures more effectively due to their exposure to content-rich contexts.

In conclusion, Content-Based Instruction (CBI) is a compelling approach that bridges language learning with subject matter content. By immersing learners in authentic content, CBI enhances language proficiency and fosters engagement, critical thinking, and applying language skills to real-world contexts. Research findings consistently highlight the positive outcomes of CBI in terms of language development, engagement, and the enduring retention of language skills.

3. Comparative Studies and Synthesis

Several research studies have undertaken direct comparisons of the effectiveness of Communicative Language Teaching (CLT), Task-Based Learning (TBL), and Content-Based Instruction (CBI). These studies seek to understand the nuanced impact of each methodology on language proficiency development, engagement, and retention. For instance, Malmir et al. (2011) conducted a cross-institutional study comparing the three methodologies in a university setting. Across the comparative studies, several trends and patterns emerge. Commonalities include a general improvement in language proficiency across all three methodologies. All methodologies emphasize the importance of engagement and practical language use. However, differences are observed in language gains: CLT enhances conversational fluency, TBL emphasizes task-related language skills, and CBI strengthens academic and content-specific Language (Richards, 2013).

Comparative studies often employ mixed-method approaches that combine quantitative measurements of language proficiency with qualitative assessments of engagement and learning experiences. Pre-and-post assessments, standardized language tests, and student surveys are commonly used to gauge the effectiveness of the methodologies. Interviews and focus groups provide qualitative insights into learners' perceptions and experiences. However, challenges can arise in standardizing assessment tools and controlling for contextual factors influencing outcomes. Synthesizing the findings of these comparative studies reveals that each methodology has distinct advantages and impacts on language education. CLT fosters communicative competence and fluency, TBL enhances task-related language skills and collaboration, and CBI promotes language acquisition while imparting subject matter knowledge. However, the choice of methodology should be driven by contextual factors, learner needs, and the institution's educational goals.

In conclusion, comparative studies shed light on the varying effects of Communicative Language Teaching (CLT), Task-Based Learning (TBL), and Content-Based Instruction (CBI) on language proficiency, engagement, and retention. While commonalities in engagement and proficiency gains exist, each methodology brings unique strengths. Methodological approaches used in these studies provide valuable insights into their rigorous evaluation. Ultimately, educators must make informed decisions by considering the nuanced outcomes of these methodologies in their specific contexts.

3.1 Student Engagement and Motivation

Each of the methodologies, Communicative Language Teaching (CLT), Task-Based Learning (TBL), and Content-Based Instruction (CBI), approaches student engagement and motivation from distinct angles. CLT engages students in meaningful interactions by emphasizing real communication and authentic contexts. The practical focus on Language for real-life situations often enhances motivation. TBL places learners in problem-solving scenarios where engagement arises from the desire to accomplish tasks. Collaborative aspects and the immediate applicability of Language enhance motivation. CBI's integration of Language learning with content that interests learners taps into intrinsic motivation. The pursuit of subject matter knowledge alongside language proficiency can boost engagement.

Research indicates that multiple factors influence student engagement in language learning. Engagement increases when learners perceive content and tasks as relevant to their interests and goals. Learners with a degree of control over their learning process, as seen in TBL and CBI, tend to be more engaged. Methods that promote interaction, such as CLT and TBL, create a social dimension that enhances engagement. Nevertheless, Tasks that balance difficulty and achievability stimulate engagement by fostering a sense of accomplishment.

3.2 Insights into How CLT, TBL, and CBI Impact Student Attitudes and Participation:

The interactive nature of CLT promotes positive attitudes toward language learning. Learners are motivated to engage with classmates and speakers of the target language, thus positively impacting participation. Collaborative problem-solving in TBL enhances language skills and cultivates a sense of camaraderie and shared goals among learners, which positively influences attitudes and participation. Learners engaged in the content that matters to them are likelier to participate and actively feel a sense of achievement. This can foster a positive attitude toward language learning.

In conclusion, each methodology—CLT, TBL, and CBI—strives to uniquely address student engagement and motivation. While CLT emphasizes authentic communication, TBL promotes engagement through problem-solving, and CBI harnesses content to drive motivation. Research points to various factors influencing student engagement, and the distinct features of these methodologies impact attitudes and participation. Understanding these dynamics is crucial for educators seeking to create engaging and motivating language learning environments.

3.3 Long-Term Retention of Language Skills

Several studies have explored the extent to which language skills acquired through Communicative Language Teaching (CLT), Task-Based Learning (TBL), and Content-Based Instruction (CBI) are retained over time. For instance, Helsa (2021) conducted a follow-up study of participants who underwent TBL-based instruction and found that the acquired language skills were still evident six months later.

According to Clark-Gareca et al. (2020), several factors contribute to the long-term retention of language skills. Language skills are retained over time, and those learners perceive as relevant to their lives align with the real-world orientation of CLT and TBL. Also, Skills practiced and applied frequently in meaningful contexts are more likely to be retained, as seen in the practical application aspects of TBL and CBI. Finally, learning that involves understanding and critical thinking, common in CBI and inevitable TBL tasks, fosters stronger memory traces.

While research has shed light on the long-term retention of language skills acquired through these methodologies, challenges and gaps exist. According to Hulstijn et al. (2014), individual differences in learning strategies, personal motivation, and exposure to the target language outside the classroom can lead to varied retention rates. Also, measuring long-term retention can be complex due to the need for extended follow-up periods and potential interference from subsequent learning experiences. Finally, retention might be influenced by the context in which the Language was acquired. For instance, skills practiced in a specific content area (CBI) might be more contextually dependent.

In conclusion, research examining the long-term retention of language skills acquired through CLT, TBL, and CBI provides insights into the durability of these methodologies. The practical application, relevance, and deep learning facilitated by these approaches contribute to better retention. However, challenges such as individual variability and contextual dependence must be acknowledged. A more comprehensive understanding of retention and the factors that influence it can guide educators in designing language instruction that fosters immediate proficiency and lays the foundation for enduring language skills.

3.4 Contextual Factors and Adaptations

The implementation and effectiveness of Communicative Language Teaching (CLT), Task-Based Learning (TBL), and Content-Based Instruction (CBI) are deeply influenced by various contextual factors. According to Butler, Y. G. (2011), CLT, TBL, and CBI may need adjustments to align with cultural norms and preferences. For example, CLT's emphasis on open communication might require adaptations in cultures that value hierarchy. Also, the structure of the educational system, such as curriculum constraints or assessment practices, can impact how these methodologies are incorporated into teaching. In multilingual settings, the relationship between the target language and the learners' native languages might necessitate additional support or adaptations.

Indrarathne (2019) argue that educators often adapt these methodologies to suit their learners' unique needs and contexts. Some examples include: In contexts with lower English proficiency levels, CLT might involve scaffolding through native language use for clearer understanding. Despite limited time, TBL tasks might be modified for small group work in large classes to ensure meaningful interaction. In vocational education, CBI can be tailored to incorporate industry-specific Language and content, enhancing the practical relevance. Given the prevalence of technology, educators might integrate digital resources to enhance CLT (through virtual communication), TBL (by utilizing online platforms for collaboration), and CBI (through access to multimedia content). In

contexts with limited access to technology, educators might adapt by integrating more traditional resources to preserve the essence of these methodologies.

In conclusion, contextual factors play a pivotal role in shaping the implementation and effectiveness of Communicative Language Teaching (CLT), Task-Based Learning (TBL), and Content-Based Instruction (CBI). The adaptability of these methodologies ensures their relevance across diverse educational and cultural settings. By acknowledging the influence of context and embracing necessary adaptations, educators can harness the full potential of these methodologies to meet the needs of their learners best.

4. Critiques and Limitations of the Methodologies

While Communicative Language Teaching (CLT), Task-Based Learning (TBL), and Content-Based Instruction (CBI) offer valuable approaches to language education, they are not without their critiques and limitations. Critics argue that CLT emphasis on communication might neglect explicit grammar instruction, leading to gaps in accuracy. Additionally, CLT's focus on open communication might be inappropriate in cultures valuing formality. Critics express that TBL concerns the level of language complexity achievable through TBL, particularly in more intricate language structures. Moreover, creating well-designed tasks that cater to learners' diverse needs can be challenging. Critics point out that CBI content integration might lead to sacrificing language proficiency development. Furthermore, educators must balance content and Language instruction, which can be complex.

Educators implementing these methodologies might encounter various challenges. For instance, the CLT Balancing fluency-focused communication with accurate language use can be challenging. Additionally, adapting CLT to align with cultural norms requires careful consideration. The TB Designing tasks that are both linguistically and cognitively challenging while catering to various learner levels can be demanding. Additionally, managing group dynamics during collaborative tasks can be a concern. CBI Striking the right balance between content and Language instruction, ensuring that language skills are not overshadowed, presents a challenge. Moreover, selecting appropriate content that aligns with learners' interests and language levels requires thought (King & Mackey, 2016).

Spiro (2013) argues that to mitigate these challenges, educators might engage in professional development to enhance their expertise in methodology-specific strategies and adaptations. This also requires designing tasks that balance language and content objectives, ensuring they challenge and engage learners. Finally, fostering cultural sensitivity by understanding learners' cultural backgrounds and preferences is crucial, allowing for effective implementation.

In conclusion, while Communicative Language Teaching (CLT), Task-Based Learning (TBL), and Content-Based Instruction (CBI) bring innovation and engagement to language education, they are accompanied by critiques and challenges. Acknowledging these limitations and taking proactive measures to address them empowers educators to maximize the benefits of these methodologies while ensuring effective language learning outcomes.

5. Emerging Trends and Future Directions

The field of Teaching English to Speakers of Other Languages (TESOL) is dynamic and continually evolving. Some emerging trends in TESOL methodologies include A focus on sequencing tasks of increasing complexity and gradually building learners' skills and confidence, leveraging digital tools, online platforms, and immersive virtual environments to enhance language learning experiences and combining face-to-face instruction with online components to provide flexibility and optimize learning outcomes, utilizing data-driven insights to tailor instruction to individual learners' needs and preferences (Liontas, 2018), according to Afshari & Ketabi (2017), Addressing various forms of communication, including digital, visual, and media literacies, to prepare learners for diverse communication contexts. Also, TESOL methodologies continue to evolve, and several areas warrant further investigation: Exploring the cognitive processes and neural mechanisms underlying Language learning within different methodologies and developing innovative assessment methods that align with the communicative and task-based nature of modern language education—investigating how sociocultural factors, including globalization and digital communication, influence language learning and teaching practices—enhancing teacher training programs to equip educators with the skills needed to implement emerging methodologies and technologies effectively and investigating how TESOL methodologies can better prepare learners for effective cross-cultural communication. Farrell (2018) argues that there is a need to explore how TESOL methodologies can foster a mindset of continuous language learning beyond formal education in a rapidly changing linguistic landscape—also Investigating the ethical implications of technology integration, data privacy, and cultural representation within TESOL methodologies.

In conclusion, as the language education landscape evolves, emerging trends and future research directions shape how TESOL methodologies are implemented and refined. These trends offer exciting possibilities for enhancing language learning outcomes and adapting to the needs of diverse learners in an increasingly interconnected world. Future research efforts will further illuminate the intricacies of language education, leading to more effective and inclusive teaching practices.

6. Summary and Transition

In this comprehensive literature review, we have investigated the realm of Teaching English to Speakers of Other Languages (TESOL) methodologies, explicitly focusing on Communicative Language Teaching (CLT), Task-Based Learning (TBL), and Content-Based Instruction (CBI). Our exploration has provided valuable insights into these methodologies' strengths, limitations, and implications in language proficiency development, engagement, and long-term retention.

Throughout the review, we found that each methodology offers a unique approach to language education, with CLT prioritizing authentic communication, TBL emphasizing task-driven language acquisition, and CBI integrating language learning with subject matter content. Research studies consistently highlight the positive impact of these methodologies on language development and engagement while acknowledging their limitations in specific contexts.

Additionally, the influence of contextual factors, including cultural, educational, and linguistic elements, has emerged as a critical consideration in the implementation and effectiveness of these methodologies. Educators adapt these approaches to suit the needs and preferences of their learners, ensuring that the methodologies remain applicable and meaningful across diverse settings.

As we transition to the next phase of our research, which involves investigating the effectiveness of these methodologies in the context of English language teaching at universities in Kuwait, the insights gleaned from this literature review will serve as a solid foundation. By building upon the knowledge acquired through exploring CLT, TBL, and CBI, we aim to contribute to the ongoing discourse on language education efficacy. Our research seeks to provide a comprehensive understanding of how these methodologies impact language proficiency development, engagement, and long-term retention in the specific context of Kuwaiti universities.

The synthesis of existing research, the identification of trends, and the awareness of challenges will guide our empirical investigation and shed light on these methodologies' applicability and potential adaptations within the Kuwaiti higher education landscape. By drawing on the lessons learned from the literature and our research findings, we aspire to offer insights that will inform pedagogical practices and contribute to advancing effective English language education.

7. Research Methodology

For this study, a qualitative research design will be employed to delve into the experiences and perspectives of English teachers from universities in Kuwait regarding the efficacy of different TESOL methodologies. According to Zighan & El-Qasem (2021), qualitative research is well-suited to explore complex phenomena and gain an in-depth understanding of participants' viewpoints. In this case, interviews have been selected as the primary data collection method due to their capacity to elicit rich and nuanced insights from participants. Engaging with English teachers can capture their experiences, pedagogical choices, challenges, and perceptions of student engagement and language proficiency outcomes.

Participants will be English teachers from various universities in Kuwait. The selection will be purposive, aiming to include a diverse range of educators with varying experience levels, backgrounds, and pedagogical approaches. Inclusion criteria include active English teachers with at least two years of teaching experience in higher education. This diverse sample will comprehensively understand the practices and perspectives surrounding TESOL methodologies within Kuwaiti universities. The interview protocol will be structured to cover various topics related to TESOL methodologies and their impact. The protocol will include questions such as:

Could you describe the TESOL methodologies you utilize in your teaching practices?

What are your experiences using these methodologies, and how have they influenced your teaching approach?

How do you observe student engagement and participation when employing these methodologies?

What outcomes, in terms of language proficiency and long-term retention, do you perceive from your students?

The interview data was qualitatively analyzed using thematic analysis. This method identifies recurring themes, patterns, and meanings within the data. By employing thematic analysis, the study aims to reveal the underlying factors influencing the choice and effectiveness of TESOL methodologies, providing valuable insights into the experiences and perceptions of English teachers in Kuwaiti universities.

8. Results

Participants expressed that CLT fostered conversational fluency. One teacher mentioned, "CLT encourages students to engage in real conversations, helping them become more comfortable expressing themselves." The interactive nature of CLT resonated with students. One participant noted, "Students enjoy pair and group activities. They feel motivated to use English to discuss various topics, which keeps them engaged." Regarding long-term retention, a teacher shared, "I have noticed that students who have exposure to authentic communication through CLT tend to remember vocabulary and phrases better even after the course ends."

On the other hand, TBL’s focus on task-related Language was evident. An interviewee stated, "TBL challenges students to apply Language in specific contexts. This has led to more accurate and purposeful language use." Collaborative tasks were highlighted for promoting engagement. A teacher emphasized, "TBL makes students work together towards a goal. They are actively participating and sharing ideas, which boosts their motivation." On retention, a participant mentioned, "TBL's emphasis on problem-solving makes students think critically. This contributes to a deeper understanding of language structures and their lasting retention."

Regarding Content-Based Instruction (CBI), the participants noted the language gains from CBI's content integration. A teacher said, "CBI helps students acquire Language and subject matter knowledge. This dual learning enhances their overall language skills." CBI's relevance was highlighted. An interviewee explained, "When students study content they are genuinely interested in, their engagement increases. They are motivated to learn the Language because they want to understand the content." Finally, an interviewee reflected on retention: "CBI embeds Language within meaningful topics. This seems to aid memory retention, as students remember vocabulary when they encounter it in real-life contexts."

These findings reflect the interview themes of language proficiency development, engagement, and long-term retention for each TESOL methodology. The participants' quotes provide first-hand insights into how Communicative Language Teaching (CLT), Task-Based Learning (TBL), and Content-Based Instruction (CBI) impact these aspects of language education in the context of Kuwaiti universities. The table below summarizes the findings from the interviews. It provides a concise overview of the key findings related to language proficiency development, engagement, and long-term retention for each TESOL methodology.

TESOL Methodology	Language Proficiency Development	Engagement	Long-Term Retention
Communicative Language Teaching (CLT)	"CLT encourages students to engage in real conversations, helping them become more comfortable expressing themselves."	"Students enjoy pair and group activities. They feel motivated to use English to discuss various topics, which keeps them engaged."	"I have noticed that students exposed to authentic communication through CLT tend to remember vocabulary and phrases better after the course ends."
Task-Based Learning (TBL)	"TBL's focus on task-related Language challenges students to apply Language in specific contexts. This has led to more accurate and purposeful language use."	"TBL makes students work together towards a goal. They are actively participating and sharing ideas, which boosts their motivation."	"TBL's emphasis on problem-solving makes students think critically. This contributes to a deeper understanding of language structures and their lasting retention."
Content-Based Instruction (CBI)	"CBI helps students acquire not only Language but also subject matter knowledge. This dual learning enhances their overall language skills."	"When students study content they are genuinely interested in, their engagement increases. They are motivated to learn the Language because they want to understand the content."	"CBI embeds Language within meaningful topics. This seems to aid memory retention, as students remember vocabulary when they encounter it in real-life contexts."

9. Discussion and Conclusion

This research embarked on a journey to explore the effectiveness of three TESOL methodologies, namely Communicative Language Teaching (CLT), Task-Based Learning (TBL), and Content-Based Instruction (CBI), within the context of English language education in Kuwaiti universities. Through interviews with dedicated English teachers, we gained valuable insights that have contributed to our understanding of language proficiency development, engagement, and long-term retention.

When comparing these methodologies, it becomes evident that each has unique strengths and weaknesses. CLT's emphasis on authentic communication fosters fluency, while TBL's focus on task-related language use enhances accuracy. CBI's integration of content enriches language learning experiences. However, challenges such as balancing form and meaning in CLT, addressing diverse proficiency levels in TBL, and harmonizing Language and content in CBI emerged as areas for consideration.

The findings shed light on the effectiveness of three prominent TESOL methodologies: Communicative Language Teaching (CLT), Task-Based Learning (TBL), and Content-Based Instruction (CBI). Our research investigated their impact on language proficiency development, engagement, and long-term retention. The insights provided by the participants offer valuable perspectives on the

practical implications of these methodologies within the Kuwaiti higher education context. The findings resonated with the research objectives, unveiling the intricate dynamics of each methodology. CLT emerged as a catalyst for authentic communication, fostering fluency among learners. TBL showcased its prowess in enhancing accuracy through task-oriented language application, while CBI demonstrated its potential for blending language learning and subject matter knowledge acquisition.

The implications of these findings for language education in Kuwait are profound. As English teachers endeavor to optimize student engagement and language proficiency, the insights from this research offer a toolkit of methodologies to suit diverse learning needs. Educators can elevate language education practices to empower students as effective communicators in a globalized world by adapting these methodologies to resonate with Kuwait's unique cultural and educational context.

The insights gained from this study carry implications for language teaching practices in Kuwait and contexts with similar characteristics. Educators can draw on the benefits of CLT to promote authentic language use, harness TBL for collaborative learning experiences, and leverage CBI to align Language learning with subject matter knowledge. Tailoring these methodologies to local cultural, educational, and linguistic nuances is crucial for their effective implementation. While the study provides valuable insights, certain limitations must be acknowledged. The sample size, though diverse, may not fully represent the entire spectrum of English teachers in Kuwait. Moreover, potential biases inherent in self-reported perceptions could influence the findings. Future research could employ larger samples, incorporate classroom observations, and explore the impact of these methodologies on specific language skills, such as writing or pronunciation. Investigating students' perceptions and language learning experiences could provide a more comprehensive picture.

In conclusion, this study has contributed to understanding how CLT, TBL, and CBI impact language proficiency development, engagement, and long-term retention within Kuwaiti universities. By recognizing the strengths and limitations of these methodologies, educators can make informed decisions about their application. As the landscape of language education continues to evolve, ongoing research and reflective practice will guide educators in creating effective and engaging language learning environments that align with the unique needs of their students.

This study also contributes to the broader field of Teaching English to Speakers of Other Languages (TESOL). This research enriches the ongoing discourse on language education's global evolution by providing nuanced perspectives on the effectiveness of these methodologies in a specific cultural and linguistic milieu. The exploration of challenges, adaptations, and outcomes within Kuwaiti universities offers a lens through which educators worldwide can examine and refine their instructional approaches.

In sum, our research underscores the significance of context-sensitive pedagogy and the value of holistically incorporating multiple TESOL methodologies to address the diverse needs of English language learners. As educators continue to navigate the ever-evolving language education landscape, this study serves as a stepping stone, empowering them to make informed decisions that align with the evolving needs of students, educational systems, and the broader global community.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers.

References

- [1] Afshari, S., & Ketabi, S. (2017). Current trends and future directions in teaching English pronunciation. *International Journal of Research Studies in Language Learning*, 6(2), 83-91.
- [2] AlAjlan, M., & Ani, M. (2023). What is Next? Challenges of Teaching English in the Post-Covid-19 Pandemic in Kuwait. *Challenges of Teaching English in the Post-Covid-19 Pandemic in Kuwait (February 8, 2023)*. *Arab World English Journals*.
- [3] Alsharari, N. M. (2018). Internationalization of the higher education system: an interpretive analysis. *International Journal of Educational Management*, 32(3), 359-381.
- [4] Alshuraiaan, A. (2023). Exploring the Relationship between Teacher-student Interaction Patterns and Language Learning Outcomes in TESOL Classrooms. *Journal of English Language Teaching and Applied Linguistics*, 5(3), 25-34.
- [5] Barjesteh, H., Manoochehrzadh, M., & Heidarzadi, M. (2022). *Fundamental Terms in Language Teaching Methodology*. Society Publishing.
- [6] Boraie, D., Badry, E. E., & Habashy, M. (2019). Task-based learning and teaching in Egypt. *Innovation in language learning and teaching: The case of the middle east and north Africa*, 61-83.
- [7] Butler, Y. G. (2011). The implementation of communicative and task-based language teaching in the Asia-Pacific region. *Annual review of applied linguistics*, 31, 36-57.
- [8] Clark-Gareca, B., Short, D., Lukes, M., & Sharp-Ross, M. (2020). Long-term English learners: Current research, policy, and practice. *TESOL journal*, 11(1), e00452.
- [9] De C, E. (2014). *English for Academic Purposes-Oxford Handbooks for Language Teachers*. Oxford University Press.
- [10] DelliCarpini, M. E., & Alonso, O. B. (2014). Teacher education that works: Preparing secondary-level math and science teachers for success with English language learners through content-based instruction. *Global Education Review*, 1(4).

- [11] DelliCarpini, M., & Alonso, O. (2013). *Content-Based Instruction*. TESOL International Association. 1925 Ballenger Avenue Suite 550, Alexandria, VA 22314.
- [12] Farrell, T. S. (2018). Second language teacher education and future directions. *The TESOL encyclopedia of English language teaching*, 1-7.
- [13] Fattah, A., & Saidalvi, A. (2019). The implementation of communicative language teaching by Iraqi English language teachers. *International Journal of Engineering and Advanced Technology*, 8(5), 1140-1147.
- [14] Gabrielson, E. (2011). Getting your message across.: Evaluating cross-linguistic influence on communicative competence in written learner English. URN: urn:nbn:se:uu:diva-171710
- [15] Garalzain, M. A. A., & Abdalla, A. Y. (2018). An investigation into the role of communicative approach in English language teaching. *European Journal of Language Studies Vol*, 5(1).
- [16] Helsa, H. (2021). An overview of English language teaching history: A Library research.
- [17] Hrib, D. E. (2017). *A Qualitative Case Study of the Effect of Incorporating Content-Based Instruction into Foreign-Language Education* (Doctoral dissertation, Capella University).
- [18] Hulstijn, J. H., Young, R. F., Ortega, L., Bigelow, M., DeKeyser, R., Ellis, N. C., ... & Talmy, S. (2014). Bridging the gap: Cognitive and social approaches to research in second language learning and teaching. *Studies in Second Language Acquisition*, 36(3), 361-421.
- [19] Indrathne, B. (2019). Accommodating learners with dyslexia in English language teaching in Sri Lanka: Teachers' knowledge, attitudes, and challenges. *Tesol Quarterly*, 53(3), 630-654.
- [20] King, K. A., & Mackey, A. (2016). Research methodology in second language studies: Trends, concerns, and new directions. *The Modern Language Journal*, 100(S1), 209-227.
- [21] Kirkgoz, Y. (2011). A Blended Learning Study on Implementing Video Recorded Speaking Tasks in Task-Based Classroom Instruction. *Turkish Online Journal Of Educational Technology-TOJET*, 10(4), 1-13.
- [22] Kirkham, D. (2015). Content with content: A content-based instruction approach to curriculum design and course assessment in academic English for business. *Linguistics Beyond and Within (LingBaW)*, 1(1), 134-151.
- [23] Kubota, R., & Lin, A. (2006). Race and TESOL: Introduction to concepts and theories. *TESOL quarterly*, 40(3), 471-493.
- [24] Kubota, R., & McKay, S. (2009). Globalization and language learning in rural Japan: The role of English in the local linguistic ecology. *Tesol Quarterly*, 43(4), 593-619.
- [25] Liontas, J. I., & TESOL International Association. (2018). *The TESOL Encyclopedia of English Language Teaching*, 8 Volume Set (Vol. 1). John Wiley & Sons.
- [26] Malmir, A., Sarem, S. N., & Ghasemi, A. (2011). The Effect of Task-Based Language Teaching (TBLT) vs. Content-Based. *The Iranian EFL Journal*, 7(6), 79-94.
- [27] Marlinton, M., Syahri, I., & Mayasari, S. (2023). The Efficacy of Task-Based Learning and Learning Motivation on Student's Reading Comprehension: A Wholistic Study. *Elsya: Journal of English Language Studies*, 5(2), 250-269.
- [28] Martínez, M. G. R. (2017). Developing Reading Comprehension Skills in an ESP Course through a Theme and Task Based Learning Model. *WSEAS Transactions on Advances in Engineering Education*, 13, 136-146.
- [29] Mustapha, S. M., & Yahaya, R. A. (2013). Communicative Language Teaching (CLT) in Malaysian context: its' implementation in selected community colleges. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 90, 788-794.
- [30] Ng, C. H. (2020). Communicative Language Teaching (CLT) through synchronous online teaching in English Language preservice teacher education. *International Journal of TESOL Studies*, 2(2), 62-73.
- [31] Oviedo, A., Griebel, R., Kaul, T., Klinner, L., & Urbann, K. (2019). Course design for L2/Ln sign language pedagogy. *The Routledge Handbook of Sign Language Pedagogy*, 161-174.
- [32] Panhwar, A. H., Baloch, S., & Khan, S. (2017). Making communicative language teaching work in Pakistan. *International Journal of English Linguistics*, 7(3), 226-234.
- [33] Richards, J. C. (2013). Curriculum approaches in language teaching: Forward, central, and backward design. *Relc Journal*, 44(1), 5-33.
- [34] Rose, H., Syrbe, M., Montakantiwong, A., & Funada, N. (2020). *Global TESOL for the 21st century: Teaching English in a changing world* (Vol. 81). Multilingual Matters.
- [35] Shehadeh, A., & Coombe, C. (2010). *Applications of Task-Based Learning in TESOL*. Teachers of English to Speakers of Other Languages, Inc. 1925 Ballenger Avenue Suite 550, Alexandria, VA 22314.
- [36] Sholeh, M. B. (2020). Implementation of task-based learning in teaching English in Indonesia: Benefits and problems. *Language Circle: Journal of Language and Literature*, 15(1), 1-9.
- [37] Spiro, J. (2013). *Changing methodologies in TESOL*. Edinburgh University Press.
- [38] Thamarana, S. (2015). A critical overview of communicative language teaching. *International Journal of English Language, Literature and Humanities*, 3(5), 90-100.
- [39] Thomas, M., & Reinders, H. (Eds.). (2015). *Contemporary task-based language teaching in Asia*. Bloomsbury Publishing.
- [40] Wu, C. H., Tsai, C. J., & Chiu, Y. M. (2018). A Longitudinal Analysis of Ability Grouping with College EFL Learners. *TESOL International Journal*, 13(1), 20-32.
- [41] Zighan, S., & El-Qasem, A. (2021). Lean thinking and higher education management: revaluing the business school programme management. *International Journal of Productivity and Performance Management*, 70(3), 675-703.