

| RESEARCH ARTICLE

**Orthogonal Jordan Triple Higher Reverse Left (resp. Right) Centralizer on Semiprime  $\Gamma$ -Rings**

**Dr. Fawaz Ra'ad Jarullah**

Imam Alkadhim University College, Department of Financial and Banking Sciences, Iraq

**Corresponding Author:** Dr. Fawaz Ra'ad Jarullah, **E-mail:** fawazra'ad1982@gmail.com

| ABSTRACT

The goal of this job offer the entrance of orthogonal Jordan triple higher reverse left (resp. right) centralizers a  $\cdot$ -ring  $\check{N}$  (mention  $\cdot$ -ring  $\check{N}$  by  $\check{N}$ ) & examine this concept

| KEYWORDS

semiprime  $\Gamma$ -ring (for short sp  $\cdot$ - $\Gamma$ r) , orthogonal Jordan triple higher reverse left (resp. right) centralizer

| ARTICLE INFORMATION

**ACCEPTED:** 01 February 2026

**PUBLISHED:** 22 February 2026

**DOI:** 10.32996/jmss.2026.7.2.4

**I - preamble:**

implication of orthogonal Jordan triple higher reverse left (resp. right) centralizers (for short o.j.t.h.r.l (resp. r) c is a substantive in non-commutative Algebra. See [1] & [2] show the defined. sp  $\cdot$ - $\Gamma$ r & 2-torsion free  $\Gamma$ -ring (for short 2- tf ) resp.  $\check{N}$ , near at hand [2&3] .

Need the next Lemmas :

**Lemma (1.1): [1]**

If  $\check{N}$  is a 2-tf sp  $\cdot$ - $\Gamma$ r &  $x, y$  be elements of  $\check{N}$ , the next state are equivalent :

(i)  $x\Gamma z\Gamma y = 0$

(ii)  $y\Gamma z\Gamma x = 0$

(iii)  $x\Gamma z\Gamma y + y\Gamma z\Gamma x = 0, \forall z \in \check{N}$

If one of these state is fulfilled ,then  $x\Gamma y = y\Gamma x = 0$  .

**Lemma (1.2): [2]**

If  $\check{N}$  is a 2-tf sp  $\cdot$ - $\Gamma$ r &  $x, y$  be elements of  $\check{N}$  if  $x\Gamma z\Gamma y + y\Gamma z\Gamma x = 0, \forall z \in \check{N}$ . Then  $x\Gamma z\Gamma y = y\Gamma z\Gamma x = 0$  .

**II-Orthogonal Jordan triple higher reverse left (resp.right) centralizer on  $\Gamma$ -Rings:**

**Definition (2.1):**

Two j.t.h.r.l.(resp.r)c  $\gamma = (\gamma_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  &  $\delta = (\delta_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  of  $\check{N}$  are named orthogonal if  $\gamma_n(x)\Gamma\check{N}\Gamma\delta_n(y) = (0) = \delta_n(y)\Gamma\check{N}\Gamma\gamma_n(x)$ ,  $\forall x, y \in \check{N}$  &  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Lemma (2.2):**

Let  $\check{N}$  be a 2-tf sp -  $\Gamma$ r,  $\gamma = (\gamma_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  &  $\delta = (\delta_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  are two j.t.h.r.l.(resp.r)c of  $\check{N}$ , where  $\gamma_n, \delta_n$  are commuting. Then  $\gamma_n$  &  $\delta_n$  are orthogonal iff  $\gamma_n(x)\Gamma\check{N}\Gamma\delta_n(y) + \delta_n(x)\Gamma\check{N}\Gamma\gamma_n(y) = 0, \forall x, y \in \check{N}$  &  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Proof :**

Supposition  $\gamma_n$  &  $\delta_n$  are orthogonal

T.P.  $\gamma_n(x)\Gamma\check{N}\Gamma\delta_n(y) + \delta_n(x)\Gamma\check{N}\Gamma\gamma_n(y) = 0$

Show  $\gamma_n$  &  $\delta_n$  are orthogonal

Subsequently, according Lemma (1.1)

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_i(x) \alpha \delta_i(y) = 0 = \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_i(x) \alpha \gamma_i(y)$$

Right multiply according  $\beta \gamma_{i-1}(z)$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_i(x) \alpha \delta_i(y) \beta \gamma_{i-1}(z) + \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_i(x) \alpha \gamma_i(y) \beta \gamma_{i-1}(z) = 0$$

Show  $\gamma_n$  &  $\delta_n$  are commuting, it come

**Conversely**, Supposition that  $\gamma_n(x)\Gamma\check{N}\Gamma\delta_n(y) + \delta_n(x)\Gamma\check{N}\Gamma\gamma_n(y) = (0)$

T.P.  $\gamma_n$  &  $\delta_n$  are orthogonal

According Lemma (1.2), get it

**Theorem (2.3):**

Let  $\check{N}$  be a 2-tf sp -  $\Gamma$ r,  $\gamma = (\gamma_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  &  $\delta = (\delta_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  are two j.t.h.r.l.(resp.r)c of  $\check{N}$ , where  $\gamma_n, \delta_n$  are commuting. Then the next state are equivalent, every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ :

(1)  $\gamma_n$  &  $\delta_n$  are orthogonal

(2)  $\gamma_n \delta_n = 0$

(3)  $\delta_n \gamma_n = 0$

(4)  $\gamma_n \delta_n + \delta_n \gamma_n = 0$

**Proof :** (1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (2)

Supposition  $\gamma_n$  &  $\delta_n$  are orthogonal

T.P.  $\gamma_n \delta_n = 0$

Show  $y_n$  &  $h_n$  are orthogonal

According Lemma (1.1)

$$\sum_{i=1}^n y_i(h_i(y)) \alpha y_{i-1}(y(x)) = 0$$

Right multiply according  $\beta y_i(h_i(y))$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n y_i(h_i(y)) \alpha y_{i-1}(y(x)) \beta y_i(h_i(y)) = 0$$

Show  $\check{N}$  is a sp -  $\Gamma r$  , it come

**Conversely** , supposition  $y_n h_n = 0$

T.P.  $y_n$  &  $h_n$  are orthogonal

$$\sum_{i=1}^n y_i(h_{i-1}(y)) \alpha y_{i-1}(h_{i-1}(z)) \beta y_{i-1}(h_i(x)) = 0$$

Replace  $h_{i-1}(y)$  According  $x$  &  $y_{i-1}(h_{i-1}(x))$  According  $h_i(y)$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n y_i(x) \alpha y_{i-1}(h_{i-1}(z)) \beta h_i(y) = 0 \quad \dots (1)$$

Show  $y_n$  &  $h_n$  are commuting

$$\sum_{i=1}^n h_i(y) \alpha y_{i-1}(h_{i-1}(z)) \beta y_i(x) = 0 \quad \dots (2)$$

According (1) & (2) , get it

**Proof :** (1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (3)

According same in (1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (2) , get it

**Proof :** (1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (4)

Supposition  $y_n$  &  $h_n$  are orthogonal

By (ii) & (iii) , get

**Conversely** , Supposition  $y_n h_n + h_n y_n = 0$

T.P.  $y_n$  &  $h_n$  are orthogonal

$$\sum_{i=1}^n y_i(h_{i-1}(y)) \alpha y_{i-1}(h_{i-1}(z)) \beta y_{i-1}(h_i(x)) + h_i(y_{i-1}(y)) \alpha h_{i-1}(y_{i-1}(z)) \beta h_{i-1}(y_i(x)) = 0$$

Replace  $h_{i-1}(y)$  by  $x$  ,  $y_{i-1}(y)$  by  $x$  ,  $y_{i-1}(h_i(x))$  by  $h_i(y)$  &  $h_{i-1}(y_i(x))$  by  $y_i(y)$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n y_i(x) \alpha y_{i-1}(h_{i-1}(z)) \beta h_i(y) + h_i(x) \alpha h_{i-1}(y_{i-1}(z)) \beta y_i(y) = 0$$

According Lemma (2.2) , get it

**Theorem(2.4):**

Let  $\check{N}$  be a 2-tf sp -  $\Gamma$ r,  $y=(y_i)_{i \in N}$  &  $\check{h}=(\check{h}_i)_{i \in N}$  be two j.t.h.r.l(resp.r)c ,where  $y_n, \check{h}_n$  are commuting.Then the next state are equivalent , $\forall x, y \in \check{N}$  &  $n \in N$  :

(a)  $y_n$  &  $h_n$  are orthogonal

(b)  $y_n(x) \Gamma \check{h}_n(y) = 0$

(c)  $\check{h}_n(x) \Gamma y_n(y) = 0$

(d)  $y_n(x) \Gamma \check{h}_n(y) + \check{h}_n(x) \Gamma y_n(y) = 0$

**Proof :** (a)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (b)

Supposition  $y_n$  &  $\check{h}_n$  are orthogonal

T.P.  $y_n(x) \Gamma \check{h}_n(y) = 0$

Show  $y_n$  &  $\check{h}_n$  are orthogonal , according Lemma (1.1) , get it

**Conversely**, supposition  $y_n(x) \Gamma \check{h}_n(y) = (0)$

T.P.  $y_n$  &  $\check{h}_n$  are orthogonal

Right multiply according  $\beta y_{i-1}(z)$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n y_i(x) \alpha \check{h}_i(y) \beta y_{i-1}(z) = 0$$

Show  $y_n$  &  $\check{h}_n$  are commuting

$$\sum_{i=1}^n y_i(x) \alpha y_{i-1}(z) \beta \check{h}_i(y) = 0 \quad \dots(1)$$

Show  $y_n$  &  $\check{h}_n$  are commuting

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \check{h}_i(y) \alpha y_{i-1}(z) \beta y_i(x) = 0 \quad \dots(2)$$

According (1) & (2) , get this

**Proof :** (a)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (c)

According same in (a)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (b) , get (a)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (c) .

**Proof :** (a)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (d)

Supposition  $y_n$  &  $\check{h}_n$  are orthogonal

According (b) & (c), get it

**Conversely**, Supposition  $y_n(x) \Gamma \check{h}_n(y) + \check{h}_n(x) \Gamma y_n(y) = (0)$

T.P.  $y_n$  &  $\check{h}_n$  are orthogonal

$$\sum_{i=1}^n y_i(x) \alpha \check{h}_i(y) + \sum_{i=1}^n \check{h}_i(x) \alpha y_i(y) = 0$$

Right multiply according  $\beta y_{i-1}(z)$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n y_i(x) \alpha \hat{h}_i(y) \beta y_{i-1}(z) + \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{h}_i(x) \alpha y_i(y) \beta y_{i-1}(z) = 0$$

Show  $y_n, \hat{h}_n$  are commuting & According Lemma (2.2), get it.

**Theorem (2.5):**

Let  $\check{N}$  be a 2-tf sp -  $\Gamma$ r,  $y = (y_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  &  $\hat{h} = (\hat{h}_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  are two j.t.h.r.l(resp.r)c of  $\check{N}$ , Supposition  $y_n \alpha y_n = \hat{h}_n \alpha \hat{h}_n$ . Then  $y_n + \hat{h}_n$  &  $y_n - \hat{h}_n$  are orthogonal.

**Proof :**

$$\begin{aligned} & ((y_n + \hat{h}_n) \alpha (y_n - \hat{h}_n) + (y_n - \hat{h}_n) \alpha (y_n + \hat{h}_n))(x) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n y_n \alpha y_n - y_i(x) \alpha \hat{h}_i(x) + \hat{h}_i(x) \alpha y_i(x) - \hat{h}_n \alpha \hat{h}_n + y_n \alpha y_n + y_i(x) \alpha \hat{h}_i(x) - \hat{h}_i(x) \alpha y_i(x) - \hat{h}_n \alpha \hat{h}_n \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Subsequently,  $((y_n + \hat{h}_n) \alpha (y_n - \hat{h}_n) + (y_n - \hat{h}_n) \alpha (y_n + \hat{h}_n))(x) = 0$

According Theorem (2.4)(d)  $\Rightarrow$  (a), get it

**Funding:** This research received no external funding

**ORCID iD :** 0000-0002-6165-1750

**References :**

- [1] Ashraf.M.and Jamal.M.R.(2020).Orthogonal Derivations in  $\Gamma$ - Rings. Advances in Algebra. 3(1).1- 6.
- [2] Chakraborty.S and Paul. A.C.(2008).On Jordan K-Derivations of 2-TorsionFree Prime  $\Gamma_N$ -Rings. Journal of Mathematics.40. 97-101.
- [3] Jarullah.F.Rand Salih.S.M (2020).AnOrthogonal Higher Reverse Left (Resp. Right)Centralizer on Semiprime  $\Gamma$ -Rings.Academic Journal for Engineering and Science.1(2). 73 – 81 .