

# **RESEARCH ARTICLE**

# Use of Health App for Booking Primary Health Care appointments in Buraidah, Qassim Province

# Turki Khalaf Al-Harbi<sup>1</sup> 🖂 and Saulat Jahan<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Family Medicine Academy, Qassim Health Cluster, Saudi Arabia <sup>2</sup>Research and Innovation Unit, Family Medicine Academy, Qassim Health Cluster, Saudi Arabia **Corresponding Author:** Turki Khalaf Al-Harbi, **E-mail**: dr.turkialalawi@gmail.com

# ABSTRACT

The pattern of booking Primary Health Care appointments and use of Health apps for this purpose has not been evaluated in Qassim Province, Saudi Arabia. Therefore, this study aimed to determine the proportion of patients who booked appointments before attending primary health care centers (PHCCs), the magnitude of Health App usage for appointment booking, reasons for not taking appointments, ease of use and the level of satisfaction with the Health App, and the association of demographic factors with Health App usage. A cross-sectional study was conducted using an online survey. The data were collected from the patients attending PHCCs in Buraidah City, Qassim province, Saudi Arabia. Overall, 282 respondents (164 males and 118 females) completed the survey. A total of 154 (54.6%) participants had booked their appointments on the day of the survey. Regarding Health App, 220 (78%) respondents had 'ever used' it for booking appointments at PHCCs. Reasons for not booking appointments included a lack of awareness about the app and ignorance of the importance of booking an appointment. Overall, participants expressed a high level of satisfaction with the Health Apps, particularly in terms of well-organized information and ease of learning to use the app. Factors associated with adherence to appointment booking included age (p < 0.0001) and marital status(p < 0.0001), with participants younger than 25 and older than 50 years being less adherent. Educational level (p < 0.0001) and income (p<0.0001) also significantly influenced appointment booking. Similarly, factors associated with Health App usage included age(p < 0.0001), marital status (p < 0.0001), education level (p < 0.0001), income (p < 0.0001), and occupation (p < 0.0001). The insights gathered from this study can inform healthcare providers and policymakers in enhancing the user experience of the Health App, ultimately leading to improved healthcare service delivery and patient outcomes.

# **KEYWORDS**

Cross-sectional study; Health App; mobile application; primary health; survey; Saudi Arabia

# **ARTICLE INFORMATION**

ACCEPTED: 31 July 2023 PUBLISHED: 13 August 2023 DOI: 10.32996/jmhs.2023.4.4.18

#### 1. Introduction

Primary health care (PHC) is the first line of defense and an essential component of the healthcare system (Jelercic et al., 2010). Given the significance of PHC, health institutions worldwide are continuously striving to develop and enhance PHC systems (Kringos et al., 2010). The family physician plays a crucial role in primary health care, serving as the frontline of defense for the healthcare system and the primary gateway for anyone seeking healthcare services (Almalki et al., 2011). Although continuous developments have been made to improve health care, it is still facing gaps and challenges that necessitate new strategies for enhancing primary health care (Almalki et al., 2011).

As the foundation of healthcare, primary healthcare centers should be readily accessible to all segments of the population. Accessibility is influenced by seven key factors, encompassing availability, geographic access, accommodation to specific needs (e.g., mobile health applications), affordability, acceptability, utilization, and equality (Kringos et al., 2010). Enhanced accessibility

**Copyright**: © 2023 the Author(s). This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC-BY) 4.0 license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). Published by Al-Kindi Centre for Research and Development, London, United Kingdom.

not only fosters continuity of care but also leads to reduced costs, improved quality of care, and increased patient satisfaction (Yaphe, 2017). The ease of access directly correlates with patient satisfaction, which serves as a crucial indicator of the quality of primary care (Altin & Stock, 2015; Berta et al., 2005).

Generally, the workload is high on primary health care services. There are varied reasons for primary health care visits. Globally, studies are conducted to determine the workload and pattern of patient visits in primary health care. A study took place in Germany with 2,866 patients aged 65 years and older. In this study, a total of 4,426 reasons for visits were found. The findings showed that approximately half of the reasons (54.3%) were not related to acute complaints. Follow-up visits, medical examinations, blood tests, and medication prescriptions or injections were the main reasons for primary health care visits (Frese et al., 2016). Another study, conducted in Poland in 2016, included 450 patients. The age groups predominantly seeking health care services were those between 20 and 30 years old and those between 50 and 70 years old. According to the study findings, the primary reasons for patient visits to doctors at PHC facilities were seeking treatment for infections, requesting medication refills, and asking for referrals (Pietrzak et al., 2018).

In Saudi Arabia, researchers have explored various aspects of the primary health care system. Studies have reported issues with the accessibility and availability of primary health care services leading to patient dissatisfaction and compromised quality of health care. Improvement in primary healthcare management is suggested to meet the demands of healthcare services and to improve patient satisfaction (Al Saffer et al., 2021).

The implementation of technology in the healthcare system is a crucial strategy to improve healthcare services by increasing availability and facilitating convenient access (Ren et al., 2015). The integration of technology can lead to a reduction in consultation time and improve patients' health outcomes (Gonçalves-Bradley et al., 2018; Agarwal & Biswas, 2020). In the context of Saudi Arabia, there have been some initiatives to introduce applications in the healthcare field, one of which is "'Health App" that was introduced by the Ministry of Health (MOH) (Alsalamah et al., 2020). The application enables individuals to access healthcare services from anywhere, providing the convenience of online visual/auditory consultations with specialists (Alsalamah et al., 2020). This application empowers patients to schedule appointments and gives them the flexibility to select the location, time, and preferred physician (Alsalamah et al., 2020).

One of the important aspects of PHC management is to devise an appropriate patient appointment system. Patients without booked appointments lead to increased workload and difficulty in giving adequate time to individual patients, affecting the quality of PHC services. Although "Health App" provides the facility for booking PHC appointments, anecdotal evidence shows that a substantial number of patients attend Primary Health Care Centers (PHCCs) without taking an appointment. Therefore, it is crucial to investigate the extent and underlying reasons behind patients not booking appointments before visiting PHCcs.

The objectives of this study were to determine the proportion of patients seeking appointments before attending PHCCs in Buraidah, Qassim, Saudi Arabia, and to assess the magnitude of Health app usage for booking appointments at these PHCCs. Additionally, the study aimed to explore the reasons for not taking appointments prior to attending PHCCs, evaluate the level of satisfaction among PHC patients regarding the use of the Health app for appointment booking, and investigate the association between patients' demographic factors and the utilization of the Health app for appointment booking at PHCCs clinics in Buraidah, Qassim, Saudi Arabia.

# 2. Methodology

A cross-sectional study was conducted between December 18, 2022, and May 1, 2023. The sample size was calculated by using OpenEpi sample size calculator. Based on the population size, anticipated frequency of Health App use, confidence level of 95%, and a margin of error of  $\pm$  5%, the calculated sample size was 288 persons.

Out of the total 40 PHCCs located in Buraidah, six were selected by convenience sampling. Three urban and three rural PHCCs were selected in order to give equal representation to urban and rural populations.

# 2.1 Eligibility Criteria for the study participants

**Inclusion criteria:** Saudi patients attending the selected primary health care centers. **Exclusion criteria:** Non-Saudi patients, visually impaired, handicapped, and patients having psychiatric illness.

#### 2.2 Study Instrument

An online survey was conducted. A semi-structured questionnaire was used for data collection. The survey questionnaire included questions related to various features of the application. The questionnaire was divided into four sections. The first section included 6 questions about participants' demographic information, the second section had 7 questions about patient appointment booking

or otherwise and reasons for not booking the third and fourth sections included 5 aspects related to ease of use and 6 aspects related to user satisfaction regarding Health app, respectively. The first two sections included in the questionnaire were both multiple choice and open-ended questions. The third and fourth sections had items rated on a scale of 1–5 using a Likert scale (1, strongly disagree; 2, disagree; 3, neutral; 4, agree; 5, strongly agree). The questionnaire was designed in English, which was later translated into Arabic. The questionnaire was back-translated to check for the accuracy of the translation. The Google Forms web application was used in preparing the online version of the questionnaire, and a survey link was generated for accessing the survey.

Fifteen patients visiting a primary health care center were randomly selected to pre-test the questionnaire. The questionnaire was found to be clear and understandable, and no modifications were required as a result of pre-test.

# 2.3 Statistical Analysis

The responses from Google Forms were downloaded as a Microsoft Excel file. Data coding and cleaning were performed before exporting data to Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS). Statistical analysis was done using SPSS version 21 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL). Frequencies and percentages were used to describe categorical variables, while mean/median and standard deviation were used for continuous variables. *P* value  $\leq 0.05$  were considered significant. To examine the association between the variables, Chi-square test was used for categorical variables, while *t*-test and ANOVA were used for numerical variables.

#### 2.4 Ethical Considerations

The study was conducted in accordance with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki. Confidentiality and anonymity were maintained throughout the study, and no name or medical record number was documented. The study was approved by Qassim Regional Research Ethics Committee. Informed consent was taken from all study participants.

# 3. Results

A total of 282 respondents, 164 (58%) males and 118 (42%) females, completed the survey. The majority (61.3%) were in the age group of 26-50 years. More than half (52.1%) of the participants were married (Table 1).

	Number	Percent
Gender		
Male	164	58.2
Female	118	41.8
Total	282	100.0
Age Group		
25 or less	73	25.9
26-50	173	61.3
More than 50	36	12.8
Total	282	100.0
Marital Status		
Single	104	36.9
Married	147	52.1
Divorced	24	8.5
Widow	7	2.5
Total	282	100.0
Education		
Primary	36	12.8
High School	93	33.0
Diploma	50	17.7
Bachelors	85	30.1
Master or higher	8	2.8
Illiterate	10	3.5

#### Table 1: Demographic Characteristics of the Survey Participants (n=282)

Total	282	100.0
Occupation		
Student	54	19.1
Teacher	42	14.9
Military	37	13.1
Health worker	14	5.0
Business	32	11.3
Retired	103	36.5
Total	282	100.0
Income		
Income Less than 10000	195	69.1
<b>Income</b> Less than 10000 10000 to 20000	195 79	69.1 28.0
<b>Income</b> Less than 10000 10000 to 20000 More than 20000	195 79 8	69.1 28.0 2.8
Income Less than 10000 10000 to 20000 More than 20000 Total	195 79 8 282	69.1 28.0 2.8 100.0
Income Less than 10000 10000 to 20000 More than 20000 Total PHC Location	195 79 8 282	69.1 28.0 2.8 100.0
Income Less than 10000 10000 to 20000 More than 20000 Total PHC Location Urban	195 79 8 282 144	69.1 28.0 2.8 100.0 51.1
Income Less than 10000 10000 to 20000 More than 20000 Total PHC Location Urban Rural	195 79 8 282 144 138	69.1 28.0 2.8 100.0 51.1 48.9

Figure 1 shows that 154 (54.6%) of the participants had booked an appointment at Primary Health Care Centers on the day of the survey.



Figure 1: Proportion of survey participants who had booked PHC appointments (n=282)

A total of 220 (78%) respondents had ever used the Health App for booking PHC appointments (Figure 2).



Figure 2: Use of Health App for booking PHC appointment by the survey participants (n=282)

The reasons for not booking appointments included not being aware of the importance of booking an appointment, not knowing about Health App, considering the health condition an emergency, and unavailable appointments.

Table 2 displays the mean scores for the statements assessing ease of use of Health App. The highest mean score was obtained for the statement regarding well-organized information (4.86±0.41), followed by easy to learn using the Health App (4.85±0.43).

	Mean Score*	Std. Deviation	Minimum	Maximum
Statement				
It was easy for me to learn to use the app	4.85	0.43	3.0	5.0
The information in the app is well organized	4.86	0.41	3.0	5.0
l easily find the information I need	4.77	0.50	3.0	5.0
I can use the app without the need for written instructions	4.81	0.56	2.0	5.0
If I make a mistake using the app, I can undo it easily	4.67	0.64	2.0	5.0

Table 2: Survey Participant's responses regarding Health App Ease of Use

\*Total Possible Score Range: 1 - 5

Among the statements for the level of satisfaction (Table 3), the highest mean score was obtained regarding the recommendation of Health App to others ( $4.95\pm0.26$ ), followed by the statement, "The app provides a convenient way to access health care services" ( $4.94\pm0.38$ ). The statement, "This app contains all the functions and features I expect", had the lowest score ( $4.17\pm0.93$ ).

	Mean Score*	Std. Deviation	Minimum	Maximum
Statement				
The app provides a convenient way to access health care services	4.94	0.38	1.0	5.0
I experience problems while using the application	4.38	0.84	1.0	5.0
This app contains all the functions and features I expect	4.17	0.93	1.0	5.0
Using the app saves my time	4.90	0.48	1.0	5.0
Overall, I am satisfied with this app	4.67	0.52	3.0	5.0
I recommend others to use this app	4.95	0.26	3.0	5.0

#### Table 3: Survey Participant's responses regarding satisfaction with Health App

The health care workers were the most common source of information regarding Health App. More than a quarter (27.7%) of study participants received Health App information from social media (Table 4).

Source of Information	Number	Percent
Health Care Worker	96	54.2
Social Media	49	27.7
Family	20	11.3
Friend	12	6.8
Total	177	100.0

Table 4: Survey Participant's source of information about Health App

On analyzing the factors affecting adherence to appointment booking, it was found that the age groups of less than 25 years and those more than 50 years were less adherent to booking appointments for PHC. The difference among the age groups was statistically significant (p < 0.0001). Similarly, adherence to booking appointments was significantly different (p < 0.0001) among the marital status categories. The educational level (p < 0.0001) and income (p < 0.0001) of the study participants were also significantly associated with booking an appointment at Primary health care center (Table 5).

		Appoi Boc	ntment oking		Statistical	¥2	
		No	Yes	Total	Test		p-value
Gender	Male	73	91	164			•
	Female	55	63	118	_	0.400	0 707
Total		128	154	282	Pearson Chi-Square	0.122	0.727
Age Group	25 or less	47	26	73			
(Years)	26-50	53	120	173		11.050	0.00045
	More than 50	28	8	36	Pearson Chi-Square	41.052	<0.0001
Total		128	154	282			
Marital Status	Single	59	45	104			
	Married	53	94	147	1		
	Divorced	9	15	24	Fisher's	19.801	< 0.0001
	Widowed	7	0	7	Exact Test		
Total		128	154	282			
Education	Primary	29	7	36			
	High School	56	37	93			
	Diploma	19	31	50			
	Bachelors	14	71	85	Fisher's	79.239	< 0.00011
	Master or higher	0	8	8	Exact Test		
	Illiterate	10	0	10			
Total		128	154	282			
Occupation	Student	38	16	54			
	Teacher	1	41	42			
	Military	12	25	37			
	Health worker	2	12	14		68.532	<0.0001
	Business	10	22	32	Pearson		
	Retired	65	38	103	Chi-Square		
Total		128	154	282			
Income	Less than 10000	114	81	195			
	10000 to 20000	14	65	79	Fisher's	46 949	<0.0001
	More than 20000	0	8	8	Exact Test	40.545	<0.0001
Total		128	154	282			
Primary Health	Urban	59	85	144			
Location	Rural	69	69	138	Pearson	2.317	0.128
Total	·	128	154	282	Chi-Square		

Table 5. Factors	associated with	booking PH(	annointment h	w the survey	narticinants (	n=282)
Table J. Tactors	associated with	DOOKING FIR	appointment a	y the survey	participants (	11-202)

<sup>1</sup> statistically significant at p < 0.05

Table 6 details the factors associated with the use of the Health App. Age group (p<0.0001), marital status (p<0.0001), education level (p<0.0001), income (p<0.0001) and occupation (p<0.0001) were significantly associated with the use of Health App.

		Ever Used Health						
		4	үрр		Statistical			
	1	Yes	No	Total	Test	χ2 Value	<i>p</i> -value	
Gender	Male	133	31	164	Deces			
	Female	87	31	118	Pearson Chi-	2.172	0.141	
Total		220	62	282	Square			
Age Group	25 or less	54	19	73				
(Years)	26-50	155	18	173	Pearson	61 407	0.00011	
	More than 50	11	25	36	Chi- Square	61.497	<0.0001	
Total	•	220	62	282	Square			
Marital Status	Single	82	22	104				
	Married	117	30	147		isher's 20.412 act Test		
	Divorced	21	3	24	Fisher's		20.412	<0.0001"
	Widowed	0	7	7	Exact Test			
Total		220	62	282				
Education	Primary	10	26	36				
	High School	73	20	93				
	Diploma	46	4	50				
	Bachelors	82	3	85	Fisher's	92.536	<0.0001	
	Master or higher	8	0	8	Exact Test			
	Illiterate	1	9	10				
Total	·	220	62	282				
Occupation	Student	36	18	54				
	Teacher	42	0	42				
	Military	35	2	37				
	Health worker	13	1	14	Fisher's	52.355	<0.0001	
	Business	31	1	32	Exact Test			
	Retired	63	40	103				
Total	·	220	62	282				
Income	Less than 10000	138	57	195				
	10000 to 20000	74	5	79	Fisher's	24.4.62	0.0004	
	More than 20000	8	0	8	Exact Test	21.163	<0.0001	
Total		220	62	282				
Primary Health	Urban	116	28	144	Pearson			
Care Centre Location	Rural	104	34	138	Chi- Square	1.108	0.293	
Total	1	220	62	282				

Table 6: Association of Health App	o use with demographic o	characteristics of the survey	<sup>,</sup> participants
------------------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------------------	---------------------------

<sup>¶</sup> statistically significant at p < 0.05

The evaluation of the Health App's "ease of use", conducted by 5 statements on a 5-point Likert scale, was summed up as a total score of 25. The computed mean score was  $23.9\pm1.8$ . The minimum score was 15, while the maximum was 25. Gender, age, marital status, occupation, and income were not significantly associated with the 'Ease of Use' of the Health App. However, there was a statistically significant difference in the 'ease of use' scores among the different educational levels (p = 0.02).

The perception of the Health App's "satisfaction with use", determined by 6 statements on a 5-point Likert scale, was summed up as a total score of 30. The computed mean score for satisfaction with the use of the Health App was 27.9±2.5. The minimum

recorded score was 10, while the maximum score was 30. Gender, age, and marital status were not significantly associated with "satisfaction with Health App use". However, a Kruskal-Wallis H test showed that there was a statistically significant difference in the "satisfaction with Health App use" scores among the different occupations and income levels. A Kruskal-Wallis H test showed that there was a statistically significant difference in the satisfaction score between the different income groups,  $\chi^2(2) = 13.222$ , p = 0.001, with a mean rank satisfaction score of 80.34 for income less than 10,000 SR, 73.66 for 10,000-20,000 and 79.94 for more than 20,000 SR monthly income. Similarly, there was a statistically significant difference in the satisfaction score between the difference in the satisfaction score between the difference in the satisfaction score of 80.29, p = 0.029, with a maximum mean rank satisfaction score of 90.75 for health care workers while a minimum mean rank satisfaction score of 81.28 for students.

When asked about comments and suggestions regarding Health App, the study participants presented a diverse array of recommendations. These suggestions included the addition of laboratory results and X-Ray images to the application, the inclusion of medical prescriptions, especially for chronic diseases, availability of options for choosing the name of the attending physician, requesting medical reports, and tracking medical referrals through the application. Furthermore, creating an option for setting appointment reminders through messages, notifications, or calls, was also suggested.

# 4. Discussion

The Ministry of Health, Saudi Arabia, launched various applications including Mawid, Sehha, Mawared, for a variety of purposes such as appointment booking and online consultations. However, in the first quarter of 2023, the Ministry of Health focused and limited the provision of health services to one application, named 'Sehaty' (Health App). Therefore, in our study, we focused on the Health App.

The current study revealed that only half of the participants (54.6%) had booked PHC appointments on the day of the survey. This finding underscores the importance of creating awareness regarding booking appointments prior to a visit to the Primary Health Care Center. In the current study, the common reasons for not booking appointments included a lack of awareness about the Health App and ignorance of the importance of appointment booking. The finding that substantial study participants did not book an appointment due to a lack of awareness of the importance of booking appointments underscores the need for creating awareness and promoting the benefits and significance of using Health Apps for accessing healthcare services. Moreover, further studies are needed to explore in-depth the reasons for not using Health Apps by focusing on privacy and security, which were identified in the previous studies as the major challenges affecting the adoption of Health applications (Chikhaoui et al., 2017).

An encouraging finding in our study is that more than three-fourths of the respondents (78%) had 'ever used' the Health App for booking PHC appointments, indicating its widespread adoption among the study population. This finding contrasts with another study conducted in Saudi Arabia which reported that 58% of the study participants had ever heard of the Sehaty app, while only 25% had used this App (Aldhahir et al., 2022).

The evaluation of the Health App's ease of use demonstrated high mean scores for all statements assessing the ease of use of the App. This finding is in accordance with a study conducted in Saudi Arabia in which 89% of the participants either strongly agreed or agreed that the Health App was easy to use (Aldhahir et al., 2022). The study participants reported a high level of satisfaction with the Health App, particularly regarding recommendations to others and the convenience it provides in accessing healthcare services. Similar to our study, another study reported that a high proportion of the respondents agreed that they would recommend the Health App to others (Aldhahir et al., 2022).

In the current study, health care workers emerged as the most common source of information about the Health App, while social media played a significant role in disseminating information to a substantial proportion of participants. These findings highlight the importance of involving healthcare professionals and using social media platforms for promoting and educating users about Health Apps.

The current study also identified several factors influencing adherence to appointment booking and the use of Health App. Age, marital status, educational level, income, and occupation were found to be significantly associated with both appointment booking and Health App utilization. The finding that those with higher levels of education better utilized the Health App signifies the fact that public awareness regarding the use of the Health App should have a focus on those with low education levels.

Our study shows that the public's experience and utilization of the Health App has improved compared to a previously published study (Aldhahir et al., 2022). The increased awareness about health digital technology, including Seha and other mobile health apps, can be attributed to efforts by the government, healthcare workers, and social media (Aljohani and Chandran, 2019). The utilization of the Health App has experienced significant growth due to various factors. These include continuous app development initiatives driven by the Ministry of Health (MOH) and the Saudi Data and Artificial Intelligence Authority to align with the goals of "Vision 2030." These efforts aim to enhance app reliability, increase technology adoption, and provide sustained access to

telemedicine and high-quality healthcare services. Additionally, improved internet network readiness and the availability of professional support teams have further contributed to narrowing the gap in factors affecting Health App usability.

Although interesting findings were identified in this study, there are certain limitations that should be taken into consideration when interpreting the findings. First, the survey relied on self-reported data from participants, introducing the potential for self-report bias. Participants may provide socially desirable responses or inaccurately recall their experiences, leading to biased information. Second, the study was conducted in selected PHC centers in a single city, limiting the generalizability of the study to the entire population of Saudi Arabia. Third, our study focused primarily on aspects related to appointment booking, ease of use, and satisfaction with the Health App. Other important variables, such as digital literacy and privacy concerns, were not explored.

The present study holds both theoretical and practical significance. Its findings would make a valuable contribution to the healthcare literature in Saudi Arabia, aiding decision-makers in formulating effective strategies to enhance mHealth utilization and foster the adoption of eHealth technologies.

Based on the findings and limitations of our study, we recommend that the users should be involved in the design and development of Health Apps by conducting user needs assessments, usability testing, and feedback sessions to optimize the user experience. We also recommend incorporating features suggested by users, such as laboratory results, medical prescriptions, and appointment reminders. Finally, continuous evaluation of the Health App needs to be conducted, and areas for improvement should be identified. This will ensure that the Health App meets evolving user needs and expectations, ultimately facilitating better access to healthcare services and improving patient outcomes.

# 5. Conclusion

This study aimed to determine the proportion of patients seeking appointments before attending PHCCs in Buraidah, Qassim, Saudi Arabia, and assess the magnitude of Health app usage for appointment booking at these PHCCs. Additionally, it aimed to explore the reasons for not taking appointments prior to attending PHCCs, assess patient satisfaction with the Health app for appointment booking, and determine the association between demographic factors and Health app utilization.

The study revealed that slightly more than half of the participants booked appointments on the day of the survey; around threefourths had ever used the Health app for PHC appointment booking. Participants expressed high satisfaction with the app's ease of use. Demographic factors such as age, marital status, education level, income, and occupation significantly influenced Health app usage and appointment booking behavior.

Despite its valuable findings, the study had limitations, including reliance on self-reported data and limited generalizability due to its focus on selected PHC centers in a single city. Additionally, certain variables, such as digital literacy and privacy concerns, were not explored.

Nonetheless, this study carries both theoretical and practical importance, contributing to healthcare literature in Saudi Arabia and offering insights to decision-makers in enhancing mHealth utilization and eHealth technology adoption. Recommendations include involving users in app design and development and conducting continuous evaluations to meet evolving user needs.

In conclusion, this research advances knowledge on Health app utilization in the healthcare sector. Its findings underscore the significance of user awareness, ease of use, satisfaction, and demographic factors in shaping Health app adoption and effectiveness. The study's insights can inform healthcare providers, policymakers, and app developers in optimizing functionality, accessibility, and user experience, ultimately leading to improved healthcare service delivery and patient outcomes.

# Funding: This research received no external funding.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

**Acknowledgements:** We are extremely grateful to Ms Reham Albalawi for her valuable contribution to data collection and manuscript editing for this study. We are thankful to all study participants for participating in this survey.

**Ethical approval:** Ethical approval for this study was obtained from the Qassim Regional Research Ethics Committee (Letter No: 607/44/5206).

**Informed consent:** All participants were informed about the study's purpose, and informed consent was obtained.

#### References

- [1] Agarwal, N., & Biswas, B. (2020). Doctor Consultation through Mobile Applications in India: An Overview, Challenges and the Way Forward. *Healthcare Informatics Research*, *26*(2), 153–158. <u>https://doi.org/10.4258/hir.2020.26.2.153</u>
- [2] Al Saffer, Q., Al-Ghaith, T., Alshehri, A., Al-Mohammed, R., Al Homidi, S., Hamza, M. M., Herbst, C. H., & Alazemi, N. (2021). The capacity of primary health care facilities in Saudi Arabia: infrastructure, services, drug availability, and human resources. BMC Health Services Research, 21(1). <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s12913-021-06355-x</u>

- [3] Aldhahir, A. M., Alqahtani, J. S., Althobiani, M. A., Alghamdi, S. M., Alanazi, A. F., Alnaim, N., Alqarni, A. A., & Alwafi, H. (2022). Current Knowledge, Satisfaction, and Use of E-Health Mobile Application (Seha) Among the General Population of Saudi Arabia: A Cross-Sectional Study. Journal of Multidisciplinary Healthcare, 15, 667–678. <u>https://doi.org/10.2147/JMDH.S355093</u>
- [4] Aljohani N, Chandran D. The role of individuals and social awareness in adopting mobile health applications in Saudi Arabia: a review and preliminary findings. the 34th International Business Information Management Association Conference; 2019. <u>https://opus.lib.uts.edu.au/bitstream/10453/142081/2/First%20file%20%281%29.pdf</u>
- [5] Almalki, M., Fitzgerald, G., & Clark, M. (2011). Health care system in Saudi Arabia: an overview. *Eastern Mediterranean Health Journal*, *17*(10), 784–793. <u>https://doi.org/10.26719/2011.17.10.784</u>
- [6] Alsalamah, R. K., Almasoud, N. A., Alghtani, J. A., & Alrowaily, M. A. (2020). The use of mobile application in primary health care in Saudi Arabia: A cross-sectional study. *Journal of family medicine and primary care*, 9(12), 6068–6072. <u>https://doi.org/10.4103/jfmpc.jfmpc 1568 20</u>
- [7] Altin, S. V., & Stock, S. (2015). Impact of health literacy, accessibility and coordination of care on patient's satisfaction with primary care in Germany. *BMC Family Practice*, *16*(1). <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s12875-015-0372-0</u>
- [8] Berta, W., Berta, W., Cockerill, R., Macphail, J. M., & Vayda, E. (2005). *Identifying performance indicators for family practice: assessing levels of consensus.* 51, 700–701. <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1472934/</u>
- [9] Chikhaoui, E., Sarabdeen, J., & Parveen, R. (2017). Privacy and Security Issues in the Use of Clouds in e-Health in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. Communications of the IBIMA, 1–18. <u>https://doi.org/10.5171/2017.369309</u>
- [10] Frese, T., Mahlmeister, J., Deutsch, T., & Sandholzer, H. (2016). Reasons for elderly patients GP visits: results of a cross-sectional study. *Clinical Interventions in Aging*, 127. <u>https://doi.org/10.2147/cia.s88354</u>
- [11] Gonçalves-Bradley, D. C., Buckley, B. S., Fønhus, M. S., Glenton, C., Henschke, N., Lewin, S., Maayan, N., Mehl, G. L., Tamrat, T., & Shepperd, S. (2018). Mobile-based technologies to support healthcare provider to healthcare provider communication and management of care. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.cd012927</u>
- [12] Jelercic, S., Lingard, H., Spiegel, W., Pichlhofer, O., & Maier, M. (2010). Assessment of publication output in the field of general practice and family medicine and by general practitioners and general practice institutions. Family Practice, 27(5), 582–589. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/fampra/cmq032</u>
- [13] Kringos, D. S., Boerma, W. G., Hutchinson, A., van der Zee, J., & Groenewegen, P. P. (2010). The breadth of primary care: a systematic literature review of its core dimensions. BMC Health Services Research, 10(1). <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/1472-6963-10-65</u>
- [14] Pietrzak, M., Pasek, J., Grzegorz Cieślar, Michał Senejko, Szajkowski, S., & Aleksander Sieroń. (2018). [Analysis of the most common reasons for patient visits to the primary care physician during a 6-month follow-up]. 45(265), 38–40. <u>https://europepmc.org/article/med/30058626</u>
- [15] Ren, J., Liu, C., Gao, Q.-S., Yang, L., Huang, X., & Guo, Q. (2015). Use of appropriate healthcare technologies: a cross-sectional study in rural Zhejiang of China. BMC Health Services Research, 15(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12913-015-0947-4
- [16] Yaphe, J. (2017). Accessibility in family medicine: re-examining a core concept. Handle.net. https://doi.org/2182-5173