ABSTRACT
Considering that GDP is one of the main indicators that influence the economic growth of a country, there are certain factors that affect its increase or decrease. This study was conducted to understand the relationship between the Philippines’ economic growth (Gross Domestic Product) and its economic factors namely: Trade Openness, Inflation rate, Foreign Direct Investment, and Labor Force. The researchers used a quantitative-correlational approach to determine the strength of the relationships between the variables. Moreover, the researchers concluded that the variables of Foreign Direct Investment, Trade Openness, Labor Force were significant determinants to explain economic growth, while Inflation Rate was insignificant. The Philippine government may utilize the paper to emphasize the relationship of the variables towards economic growth, specifically, the Trade openness variable, which showed a significant relationship towards economic growth yet had unsatisfactory results as an indicator for economic growth.
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INTRODUCTION
1.1 Background of the study
Considering that GDP is one of the main indicators that influence the economic growth of a country, there are certain factors that affect its increase or decrease. Some of the known factors are trade openness, inflation, labor force, and foreign direct investment; numerous studies have supported this claim. Ivkovic (2016) defined GDP as a reflection of productivity. With this in mind, it can be taken that the Gross Domestic Product or GDP of a country is significant when it comes to measuring its economic growth. It is a factor used to determine if a country’s economy is rising or declining. As stated by Saymeh & Orabi (2013), economic growth’s most basic definition is the increase in Gross Domestic Product. Also, GDP is a common indicator used by analysts and governments to formulate policies.

The Philippines’ economic growth has been dissatisfying compared with the economies of East Asian countries. Yap and Balboa (2008) differentiated the per capita GDP of the Philippines from countries such as Thailand and Indonesia. It was said that the per capita GDP of the Philippines was twice as large as Thailand’s per capita GDP and three times larger than Indonesia’s per capita GDP during the year 1960. However, Thailand’s per capita GDP surpassed the Philippines’ progress by 2006, more than twice the Philippines’ per capita GDP. This implied that the Philippine economy lagged or was not high performing. Yet by 2015, the Philippines was notable for being the second fastest growing economy in the world. It was shown that the country’s GDP developed when compared over the last decade (Pascual et al., 2020). Despite the ups and downs of the Philippine economy, it managed to grow throughout the years. Idris et al. (2016) considered trade openness as one of the primary factors affecting economic growth and that a lot of countries opened their economy for development. Furthermore, the studies have stated that these factors affect GDP either in a positive or negative way.
Hence, the researchers aim to examine the relationship between the independent variables: Trade Openness (TO), Foreign Direct Investment (FDI), Labor Force (LF), and Inflation (INF) towards the dependent variable: Gross Domestic Product (GDP).

1.2 Statement of the Problem
This paper aims to measure the relationship of the variables towards GDP and to provide data beneficial to the Philippine economy. Therefore, the researchers seek to answer the following question:

1. Is there a significant relationship between the GDP of the Philippines and the country’s Trade Openness, Foreign Direct Investment, Labor Force, and Inflation Rate?

1.3 Hypothesis
The researchers attempted to accept or reject the following hypothesis:

Hypothesis:
H0: The Philippines’ GDP has no significant relationship with the country’s Trade Openness, Foreign Direct Investment, Labor Force, and Inflation Rate

1.4 Scope and Limitations of the Study
This research was carried out to study the 30-year annual relationship between the Philippines’ Trade Openness (TO), Foreign Direct Investment (FDI), Labor Force (LF), and Inflation (INF) on Gross Domestic Product from 1990-2020 only. The data utilized in this study is limited based on what is available from the database of the World Bank. The data was tested using Gretl, a cross-platform software package for econometric analysis. The Gretl software was used as it is easily accessible and does not require a paid subscription to run statistical tests.

1.5 Significance of the Study
This study is significant as it aims to understand the relationship between the Philippines’ economic growth and its economic factors from 1990-2020. Also, this study serves as a basis for the government and policymakers to implement better policies and conduct decisions to further develop the Philippine economy in the long run. Future researchers would also acquire ideas of how Trade Openness, Foreign Direct Investment, Labor Force, and Inflation Rate affect economic growth. Lastly, this study could serve as a reference for future studies.

1.6 Theoretical Framework
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**Figure 1.** Theoretical Framework
1.6.1 Exogenous Theory
This paper focused on two theories that are in line with the discussion of the variables and their relationships towards each other. Firstly, Odhiambo and Mahembe (2014) stated the Exogenous theory is focused on explaining the relationship between foreign direct investment as capital accumulation and trade openness as trade liberalization towards economic growth. The theory states that FDI boosts capital and advances technological innovation while increased trade liberalization positively affects economic growth in GDP.

1.6.2 Neo-Classical Growth Theory
The second theory is the Neo-classical growth model, which is based on the exogenous theory focused on inflation and labor force in the model. It states that economic equilibrium is a result of varying amounts of labor and capital. Economists in neo-classical gave their own explanation for the relationship between inflation and economic growth. Inflation might permanently increase the output growth rate by stimulating capital accumulation due to households holding less in money balance and more in other assets (Mudell, 1963 & Tobin, 1965, as cited in Mamo 2012). In addition, Mamo (2012) also stated that the relationship between inflation and economic growth portrays mixed results in the neo-classical model.

1.7 Operational Framework

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>INPUT</th>
<th>PROCESS</th>
<th>OUTPUT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dependent Variable</td>
<td>Multiple Regression</td>
<td>• Identifying significant variables and their relationships</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Gross Domestic Product</td>
<td>• Data Treatment</td>
<td>• Suggestions for policymakers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Independent Variable</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Labor Force</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Foreign Direct Investment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Trade Openness</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Inflation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2. IPO Model

The operation framework portrays the step-by-step method that the variables would go through. The input would include all the necessary variables of this study, the independent variables, together with the dependent variable. The process involves the statistical tests and treatment that the researchers would make use of. For the final step, the researchers must be able to interpret the results and fulfill the goals of the study.

1.8 Definition of Terms
Gross Domestic Product – overall value of goods and services produced in a country annually.
Trade Openness or Trade Liberalization – the idea of how free or how strict a country is when it comes to foreign trade/relations.
Foreign Direct Investment – investment done by one country to another.
Labor Force – the sum of employed and unemployed people
Inflation – generally refers to the increase in prices over a period

2. Literature Review
A. Economic Growth (GDP) and Trade Openness
The study by Zarria (2021) examined the effect of FDI and Trade Openness on economic growth. FDI flows to Morocco are expected to contribute to economic growth, especially in the long term. The author found that FDI has a positive effect on Morocco’s economic growth with the help of trade liberalization. In the case of Vietnam, a study conducted by Su et al. (2019) investigated the significance of economic openness in the growth of Vietnam’s economy, wherein the main findings of the researchers concluded the positive impact on the economic growth of FDI and trade openness combined. In the research conducted by Nketiah et al. (2020), FDI was reported to have a negative impact, but trade openness affects economic growth positively. Additionally, Khamphengvong, Srithilat, & Enjun (2017) investigated the relationship between trade openness, FDI, and economic development.
in Laos. The findings have mentioned that FDI and trade resulted in a positive sign for economic growth in the distant future and that trade liberalization promotes FDI in Lao PDR. A study by Ridzuan A. R. et al. (2018) conducted in Malaysia focused on the effects of FDI and trade openness. The research showed a positive correlation between FDI and trade openness on economic growth and wealth distribution. The study by Tahmad, A. M. I., & Adow, A. H. (2018) investigates long-run equilibrium relationships between trade openness and FDI in the Sudanese economy by sector within the 1990-2017 period. The results showed that FDI and trade openness have a positive relationship in determining economic growth. (Saleem, H., Shabbir, M. S., & Bilal khan, M., 2020) Found that FDI and trade openness are correlated in contributing to economic growth. Similarly, according to Kakar, Z. K., & Khilji, B. A. (2011), trade openness will continue to be viewed as a key determinant of economic growth in Malaysia. Amna Intisar R. et al. (2020) found that a positive relationship between trade openness and economic growth exists in Asian countries. Hye, Q. M. A. (2016) shows that new evidence in their study was discovered and shows a strong correlation between trade openness and human capital in enhancing economic growth. Nepal, R et al. (2021) has found that both FDI and Trade Openness influence economic growth in India

B. GDP and Foreign Direct Investment
Sengupta & Puri (2018) attempted to explore the causality between FDI and GDP by comparing India with its neighbor countries. The study concluded that FDI enhances economic growth, excluding Pakistan, proving also that correlation exists between FDI and GDP. The study by Susilo (2018) examined the impact of FDI on economic growth in the United States, concluding that growth in FDI explained the 90.4% real GDP growth. This shows that an increase in FDI, together with GDP, affects economic growth positively. Pegkas (2015) found that FDI has a positive and significant impact on economic growth, as economic theory predicts. A study by Sokang, K. (2018) investigated the impact of FDI on economic growth in Cambodia, with results showing that there is a positive relationship between economic growth (GDP) and FDI. The researcher noted that this could have been caused by Cambodia’s sufficient FDI fund investments, which caused the economy’s growth. According to Hansen and Rand (2006), FDI and Growth in Developing Countries state that FDI promotes economic growth. The research of Čičak & Sorić (2015) focuses on the correlation between the GDP growth rate in Croatia and FDI; the study later on, found that GDP growth is caused by FDI and provided evidence that FDI is caused by GDP. Abbas et al. (2011) discussed in their paper the impact of FDI on GDP Growth, resulting in a positive relationship between the two. According to Agrawal, P. (2020), higher GDP growth and additional credit availability are associated with increased foreign direct investment. – stated that the variables have a strongly positive effect on economic growth. An empirical analysis of Bangladesh done by Hussain and Haque (2016) showed a relationship between foreign direct investments, trade, and the growth rate of per capita GDP. The study showed that the variables had a significant impact on the country’s GDP per capita growth rate. Sajilan et al. (2019) investigated 42 OIC countries to study the link between FDI and its several determinants. The researchers found that FDI is a valuable source of foreign capital and guarantees long-term economic growth. A study by Suluk & Ozturk (2020) examined the relationship between FDI and economic growth in the U.S. the results of the study implied the importance of FDI and that it positively affects economic growth in the U.S. Coban & Yussif (2019) studied the relationships of FDI, economic growth, and inflation for the country of Ghana. The authors concluded that FDI inflows and the economic growth of Ghana are positively related. Furthermore, Hakizimana (2015) explored the correlation between GDP per capita and FDI in Rwanda; his findings showed a robust relation between GDP per capita and FDI that leads to positive economic growth. It is indicated in the concluding remarks of Türkcan et al. (2008) that their findings suggest a positive correlation between FDI and economic growth. Wherein FDI positively influences economic growth and vice versa. The empirical evidence of Alfaro et al. (2004) suggests the significant contribution of FDI to economic growth. The analysis of Mun et al. (2008) shows a positive relationship between economic growth and FDI. Trade openness boosts GDP growth once it reaches a minimum threshold (Ramzan et al., 2019). In the study of Keho (2017) and Malefane & Odhiambo (2018), trade openness was found to have a significant effect on economic growth. Increasing trade openness contributes to GDP per capita, also lagged openness and openness obtained from the past years affect economic growth positively (Ma et al., 2019)

C. GDP and Inflation Rate
Mamo (2012) stated that inflation had caused economic growth for all the countries tested. On the contrary, Akinsola & Odhiambo (2017) and Adaramola & Dada (2020) concluded that inflation negatively affects economic growth. Furthermore, the relationship between inflation and economic growth varies in each country (Tien, 2021). Also, Boujelbene & Kamel (2017) discovered in their study a significant negative relationship between economic growth and inflation.

D. GDP and Labor Force
The study conducted by Chidoko (2014) found a positive relationship between labor and economic growth. In addition, Sari & Saputra (2020) stated that investment and labor have a significant relationship with economic growth. The results of Keho (2017) supported a long-run relationship between economic growth, labor, trade openness, and capital stock. Moreover, a statistically significant relationship between GDPs per capita and human capital was found in the study of Pelinescu (2015). Sustained economic growth can be achieved through high labor productivity (Fedulova et al., 2019).
3. Methodology
This chapter focuses on the methodology used for data and its presentation of the interpretation of the results. Furthermore, statistical tools were individually discussed for the reader to fully understand the method of data interpretation.

3.1 Research Design
The study used a quantitative-correlational approach to determine the strength of the relationships between the variables. The dependent variable, GDP, was studied with the independent variables of TO, FDI, LF, INF in mind to detect any linear correlation. The Ordinary Least Squares model was used to determine the level of significance of each independent variable towards the dependent variable. The validity of OLS is determined by various robustness tests that satisfy the assumptions of the model.

3.2 Data Gathering Procedure
The data was gathered from the World Bank’s Online Indicator Database, which provided data from 1990-2020 for the variables of the study. The time-series was done annually, and a total of 31 observations were available for each variable.

3.3 Descriptions of Variables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gross Domestic Product</th>
<th>GDP</th>
<th>GDP (Current US$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Trade Openness</td>
<td>TO</td>
<td>Trade (% of GDP)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign Direct Investment</td>
<td>FDI</td>
<td>Foreign Direct Investment, net inflows (BoP, Current US$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labor Force</td>
<td>LF</td>
<td>Labor Force, total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inflation</td>
<td>INF</td>
<td>Inflation, Consumer Prices (Annual %)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Description of Variables

The table above shows the descriptions of each variable taken from the World Bank Online Database.

3.4 Statistical Tool
The data were tested using GretL, a cross-platform software package for econometric analysis. The GretL software was used as it is easily accessible and does not require a paid subscription to run statistical tests.

4. Results and Discussion
The researchers used data from World Bank to acquire results for their study entitled “The Relationship of Foreign Direct Investment, Trade Openness, Labor Force, and Inflation on Economic Growth”, with Gross Domestic Product as the dependent variable while Foreign Direct Investment, Trade Openness, Total Labor Force, Inflation Rate as the independent variables. The econometric model is expressed below:

\[ GDP = \beta_0 + \beta_1 TO + \beta_2 FDI + \beta_3 INF + \beta_4 LF + \mu \]

4.1 OLS Model 1
Model 1: OLS, using observations 1990-2020 (T = 31)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Coefficient</th>
<th>Standard Error</th>
<th>T-Ratio</th>
<th>P-Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Const</td>
<td>-1.21894e+11</td>
<td>4.15214e+10</td>
<td>-2.936</td>
<td>0.0069</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TO</td>
<td>-1.65418e+09</td>
<td>2.71737e+08</td>
<td>-6.087</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FDI</td>
<td>11.0050</td>
<td>1.80904</td>
<td>6.083</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INF</td>
<td>-6.47927e+08</td>
<td>1.38596e+09</td>
<td>-0.4675</td>
<td>0.6440</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LF</td>
<td>11026.7</td>
<td>931.206</td>
<td>11.84</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2: Multiple Regression Results

Table 2 presents the unadjusted multiple regression results between the dependent variable of GDP and the independent variables of Foreign Direct Investment, Trade Openness, Total Labor Force, and Inflation Rate. The model shows an $R^2$ value of 0.97, which indicates that the data acquired fits the model 97.78% of the time. The independent variables explain 97% of the variation in the dependent variable. The p-values also show that there the researchers should reject the null hypothesis that no significant relationship is present between the variables of TO, FDI, LF and the dependent variable of GDP. However, the researchers accept the null hypothesis that there is no significant relationship between INF and GDP.

4.2 OLS Model 2

Table 3: Adjusted Multiple Regression Results

Table 3 presents the adjusted multiple regression results between the dependent variable of GDP and the independent variables of Foreign Direct Investment, Trade Openness, Total Labor Force, and Inflation Rate. The model shows an $R^2$ value of 0.97, which indicates that the data acquired fits the model 97.83% of the time. The independent variables explain 97% of the variation in the dependent variable. The p-values also show that there the researchers should reject the null hypothesis that no significant relationship is present between the variables of TO, FDI, LF and the dependent variable of GDP. However, the researchers accept the null hypothesis that there is no significant relationship between INF and GDP.

4.3 Ending Discussion

The researchers used an adjusted dataset OLS result as opposed to the study of Mortera, Ocampo, Suin (2022), which used unadjusted data for the OLS results. GDP, FDI, and LF were transformed into their logarithmic form. The adjusted dataset OLS results show that the independent variables, excluding the inflation rate, showed a significant relationship with the dependent variable of GDP. The assumption of the Exogenous theory has been met, seeing that the coefficient of FDI shows a positive relationship with GDP. On the other hand, TO show a negative coefficient which can explain that trade liberalization is not a good indicator for economic growth in the Philippines, similar to a study by Ozturk, O., & Radouai, N. (2020), stating that trade openness has both short and long-run implications towards economic growth and are statistically significant but the contributions of trade openness to economic growth, are above all else, negligible results. The assumptions of the Neo-classical growth model for LF have been met, seeing that the coefficient shows a positive relationship with GDP. However, the inflation rate has a p-value that accepts the null hypothesis that it is insignificant towards economic growth, which means that the inflation rate is not a good indicator of economic growth. The result for INF is also backed by the study of Karki, S., Banjara, S., and Dumre, A. (2020), which show that inflation harmfully affects economic growth. The findings do not match the findings of Mudell (1963) and Tobin (1965), which stated that inflation could permanently lead to economic growth.
5. Summary, Conclusions, Recommendations

5.1. Summary and Conclusions
The researchers aimed to seek the answer for if there is a significant relationship between the variables Foreign Direct Invest, Labor Force, Inflation Rate, and trade openness towards the Philippine’s Economic Growth measured in Gross Domestic Product. The researchers found that there is a significant relationship between the variables Foreign Direct Investment, Trade Openness, Labor Force towards the dependent variable of Gross Domestic Product, while the results for Inflation Rate was insignificant to explain economic growth. The results showed that the variables were able to explain 97% of the model for economic growth. The cleansing of data to fit robustness checks was also done but gave the researchers an insufficient OLS result. Based on the study of Mortera, Ocampo, Suin (2022), which also focused on the study of economic growth in the Philippines during the year 1987-2018, had a similar problem in which the researchers foregone the process of cleansing data and used the unadjusted data for the OLS results. For this study, the researchers used an adjusted form of data by only transforming the variables of Gross Domestic Product, Foreign Direct Investment, and Labor Force to their logarithmic forms for discussion. The researchers concluded that the variables of FDI, TO, and LF were significant determinants to explain economic growth, while INF was insignificant.

5.2 Recommendations
The researchers recommend using a different procedure in analyzing the data that can result in using transformed data for the final regression results. Future researchers can also find different variables that are determinants of economic growth along with a different set of economic theories. The researchers used the logarithmic form for Gross Domestic Product, Labor Force, and Foreign Direct Investment which was different from what previous studies had done. The researchers recommend using this procedure for future studies, but it is warned that mixed results may occur. Nevertheless, the researchers also recommend using the proper procedure for transforming variables and using the transformed data set for the final OLS interpretation.

The Philippine government may also use the paper to emphasize the relationship of the variables towards economic growth, specifically, the Trade openness variable, which showed a significant relationship towards economic growth yet had unsatisfactory data for economic growth. The researchers suggest looking into the relationship between these two variables further.
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