# **International Journal of Translation and Interpretation Studies**

ISSN: 2754-2602 DOI: 10.32996/ijtis

Journal Homepage: www.al-kindipublisher.com/index.php/ijtis



# | RESEARCH ARTICLE

# Pan Arab Linguistic and Translation Errors and Strategies in Bilingual Linguistic Landscapes

# Reima Al-Jarf

Full Professor of English and Translation Studies, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia

Corresponding Author: Reima Al-Jarf, E-mail: reima.al.jarf@gmail.com

## ABSTRACT

This study sought to identify the types of linguistic and translation errors in bilingual linguistic landscapes (LLs) collected from seven Arab countries. Analysis of 96 Arabic-English and 229 English-Arabic LLs showed that top-down LLs constituted 54% of the Arabic-English and 46% of the English-Arabic LLs, whereas bottom-up constituted 41% of the Arabic-English and 59% of the English-Arabic LLs. About half of the LLs are commercial/promotional, followed by directional and safety/regulatory LLs. 32% of the LLs were translated correctly (Keep a safe distance, Road ahead closed, Use safety harness, Vital signs, Departures) as they are top-down LLs issued by government bodies, hospitals, airports, or municipalities that typically employ professional translators, use standardized terminology, context-aware phrasing, cultural and idiomatic sensitivity, ensuring accuracy, clarity, and functional equivalence. 15% have syntactic errors (Danger Forklift Traffic Area خطر...رافعة البضائع منطقة المرور, and 20% contain grammatical errors with faulty agreement, singular/plural, definite/indefinite, derived forms, and faulty interpretation of prepositional phrases and idioms prevalent in bottom-up signs (Care for Life> نهتم بالحياة; Slow Down> (تهتم بالحياة. errors as meaning misidentification, contextual misfit, idiom misinterpretation, inappropriate collocation, and incorrect word choice constitute 26% )Vertical Steamers غالية عمودية ; Custard Apple (کسترد. Orthographic errors accounted for 3% (\*Ciub street for Club street, Par King for Parking, نعتزر instead of (نعتذر and 13% contained multiple errors with overlapping semantic, grammatical, syntactic, lexical, and/or contextual inaccuracies. Some Arabic equivalents sound awkward and meaningless (Biohazard/Medical Waste اللحوم استعداد; Prepared Meats تصفية السعر; Prepared Meats اللحوم استعداد; Tetra Pack live Station (الحفاظ على الموقع كلين (حافظ على نظافة الموقع Keep Site Clean; سيراميك الشعر Hair Straighteners ; عصير بتغلق تترا In most LL translation, literal word-for-word translation was the dominant strategy, followed by transliteration. instead of using a native Arabic equivalent. Linguistic and translation errors in bilingual LLs are attributed to DIY (Do It Yourself), complex, long, or technical source phrases, cultural and linguistic mismatch, lack of standardized glossaries, lack of standardization of equivalents and transliterated forms, and low translator training. Recommendations for improving the linguistic and translation accuracy of bilingual LLs in Arabi countries are given.

# **KEYWORDS**

bilingual linguistic landscapes, Arab countries, English-Arabic linguistic landscapes, Arabic-English linguistic landscapes, translation errors, linguistic errors, grammatical errors, orthographic errors, syntactic errors, semantic errors

# **ARTICLE INFORMATION**

**ACCEPTED:** 01 June 2025 **PUBLISHED:** 18 July 2025 **DOI:** 10.32996/ijtis.2025.5.3.4

# 1. Introduction

Linguistic landscapes<sup>1</sup> (LL) refer to the visible and salient languages on commercial and public signages in a place or region. They cover<sup>2</sup> the language of commercial shop signs, public notices, commercial posters, street names, road signs, signs on

Copyright: © 2025 the Author(s). This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC-BY) 4.0 license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). Published by Al-Kindi Centre for Research and Development, London, United Kingdom.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Linguistic landscapes.

government buildings, place names, advertisements, billboards, notice boards, product information, façade names, posters, border signs, graffiti, and commemorative plaques. They also include<sup>3</sup> exterior/outdoor signage as store brand/names, promotional deals and offers, informational, directional/wayfinding signs, sidewalk board signs, pylon signs, window graphics, banners, vehicle graphics, monument, pole, frame interior and construction site signs. LL's serve several purposes such as providing clear communication, informing the public, wayfinding and store navigation, attracting and guiding customers, advertising and marketing, brand awareness, enhancing branding and increasing profits, establishing brand aesthetics, generating impulse sales and increasing purchase decisions (Al-Jarf, 2024b; Al-Jarf, 2021b).

Being monolingual, bilingual or, multilingual, the language of LLs has been a subject of interest to researchers in many countries in order to understand language use and visibility, explore language contact and hybridity, investigate sociopolitical influences on language choice and examine translation practices and errors. A review of the literature showed numerous studies that examined bilingual signs in China, Hong Kong, Indonesia, Iran, and Greece such as translating public signs in Nanning, China (Tan, 2021); Chinese-English translation of public signs from the Functional Theory perspective (Amenador & Wang, 2020); Chinese-English translation strategies of public signs based on the Functional Equivalence Theory (Shi, 2014); a case study of English translation of scenic areas and spots in Zhangjiajie and the standardization of bilingual signs in public service areas from the perspective of Skopos Theory (Zhao & Zou, 2019); the use of indirect translation of public signs, literal translation, and the cultural values (Xiaogiong, 2016); English-translation errors in public signs in China (Guo, 2012); making sense of bilingual touristic signs in China (Radtke, & Yuan, 2011); towards interaction during lexical transfer based on bilingual signs (Tsujii & Fujita,1991); conflicts and complexities in bilingual street signs in Hong Kong from a functional perspective (Song, 2020); linguistic competence in English linguistic landscape in Hong Kong (Finzel, (2012); translation procedures in five public signs in Ubud, Bali, Indonesia (Ariani & Artawa, 2022); translation ignorance in bilingual signs in Indonesia (Retno Wulandari, 2011); errors in bilingual road, street and shop signs in Iran (Hojati, 2013); types of errors in the use of English in bilingual and multilingual linguistic landscape in Tehran (Mohebbi & Firoozkohi, 2021); the semiotic role of translation in bilingual advertisements in Greece (Kourdis, 2009) and others.

Another group of studies analyzed linguistic and translation errors in LLs and shop signs in Arab cities as Riyadh, Jeddah, Abha, Irbid, Aqaba, Tizi Ouzou. Erbil, Sana'a, Ramallah, and Dubai. For example, Ahmed Al-Sofi et al. (2025) analyzed bilingual public sign errors as tourist shops, cafes, restaurants, and local boutiques in Abha, Saudi Arabia. They found faulty plural forms, word order errors, wrong word choice, contextual inappropriateness, discourse-level errors as "Welcome in Abha" instead of "Welcome to Abha", and spelling errors in Arabic names as "Asear" instead of "Aseer". In Riyadh and Jeddah, Saudi Arabia, Alotaibi & Alamri (2022) examined bilingual shop signs of fashion outlets, and department stores in malls and found Arabic-English mixing with spelling errors, Romanized Arabic inconsistencies, inconsistent transliterations (Riyadh vs. Riyad), spelling errors, and hybrid Arabic-English phrases as "Al-Malaz Mall" spelled as "Al-Malaz Mol".

In Jordan, Qudeisat & Rababah (2021) studied multilingual shop signs as food outlets, fashion stores, and electronics in Irbid. They revealed creative transliterations (Shawarma Palace as شوارما بالاس) with spelling errors, and inconsistencies as "City Mall" written as "سيتي مول" (which is a non-standard Arabic script). In addition, Amer & Obeidat (2014) analyzed shop signs in Aqaba. They highlighted mistranslations (Car Maintenance as "Deaulic"), and awkward English phrasing due to literal translation. They noted code-switching errors in Arabic-English signs as "Sea View Restaurant" which is literal and unnatural in Arabic. Al-Kharabsheh (2008) and Al-Kharabsheh et al. (2008) analysed translation issues in shop sign as fast food, electronics, clothing, restaurants, pest control, and general retail shops. They detected morphological (incorrect verb forms), collocational (Fast Food and Chicken), unintentional humor due to literal translation and lexical ambiguity as "Goat Restaurant" for a goat meat eatery, "Super Fly" for a pest control shop; non-standard Romanization as "Al-Malik Al-Saree" (The Fast King) for a fast-food outlet.

In Algeria, Briki & Chebli (2022) performed a geosemiotic analysis of multilingual café, clothing, and bookstore signs in Tizi Ouzou. They noted Berber-French-Arabic multilingualism, orthographic (French-Arabic script mixing), and semantic (misused loanwords) as "Café Timgad" written in Latin script with Arabic phonetic errors. They critiqued colonial language hierarchies. Another study by Mehria et al. (2019) focused on Arabization of foreign words in restaurants, cafés, snack bars and menus in Adrar. They identified phonetic adaptation errors (incorrect stress), script inconsistencies (Pizza بيتزا) with incorrect stress and "Hamburger" rendered as هامبرغر (non-standard Arabic script).

https://timorlesteanddiasporas.exeter.ac.uk/research-areas/linguistic-landscape/#:~:text=The%20linguistic%20landscape%20of%20a,signs%20on%20government%20buildings%2C%20etc.

https://www.apexsignage.com.au/signage-that-retailers-should-consider/#:~:text=Effective%20exterior%20retail%20signage%20works,information%2C%20either%20internal%20or%20external.

In Iraq, Abdulwahid (2017) examined errors in clothing stores, salons, electronics shops, and restaurant signs in Erbil, Kurdistan Region. They identified spelling errors (*Resturant*), grammatical errors (incorrect verb tenses), and lexical choice errors due to literal translations from Kurdish or Arabic as "Resturant" instead of "Restaurant", "Hair Saloon" instead of "Hair Salon", in addition to grammatical and word choice errors in Kurdish-English signs. Misspelled English words (*Resturant* for *Restaurant*), incorrect verb conjugations, and calques from Kurdish/Arabic were found as well.

In Yemen, Al-Athwary (2014) & (2017) examined English-Arabic translation in Sana'a's shop signs as barber shops, restaurants, and photography studios. He found Arabic-English calque translations with syntactic errors, non-standard Romanization of Arabic, grammatical, lexical, and orthographic errors. He noted humorous mistranslations (*Ladies Haircut & Gents*), lexical errors (mistranslated idioms), spelling errors (Ladies Haircut & Gents), and grammatical errors (missing articles), literal translation (*Goat Restaurant*), and incorrect noun form (*Photograph Studio*).

In Palestine, Farran & Hortobágyi (2020) analyzed Arabic vs. English linguistic landscape in Ramallah and reported incorrect translations, pragmatic errors (*Keep Clean*), signs overly direct in Arabic, spelling errors (*Palestine*" vs "*Palastine*) and "*No Parking*" translated as "ممنوع الوقوف" (correct but font inconsistencies used).

In the UAE, Gu & Almanna (2024) examined Arabic-English signage of high-end retail, tourism, and real estate signs in Dubai, and found lexical errors as "Dubai Marina" mistranslated as "Marina Dubai", syntactic errors (awkward preposition use) as "Burj Khalifa" spelled as "Burj Kalifa" in promotional signs, and transliteration errors as "Burj Kalifa" instead of "Burj Khalifa."

The above literature review of Arabic studies shows lack of research that investigates linguistic and translation errors in bilingual LLs in two or more Arab countries, error types and gravity according to the LL origin and purpose. Therefore, this study seeks to analyse and identify the types of linguistic and translation errors found in bilingual LLs in seven Arab countries. Specifically, it aims to analyse the Arabic-English and English-Arabic LLs; find out the percentage of top-down and bottom-up LLs; the percentage of linguistic and translation errors according to the LL purpose; the percentage of correctly translated LLs; the semantic, syntactic, grammatical, orthographic, and pragmatic errors; types of translation strategies followed (transliteration, literal, conceptual, partial, explanatory (paraphrase); linguistic and translation accuracy according to the LL origin (top-down vs bottom-up) and purpose; and linguistic and translation error causes and gravity according the LL origin and purpose.

Findings of the current study are useful for language teaching, translation studies, and urban sociolinguistics. They offer authentic, real-world data of language use, visibility, and perception. They will help researchers understand the linguistic, cultural, and social dynamics of a place, city or region and assess the effectiveness and reception of language planning. They will uncover linguistic errors, cultural mismatches, and creative adaptations and shed light on practices in public communication, tourism, and urban design. They capture language contact, borrowing, and hybridization and how languages evolve in response to technology and global trends.

Moreover, the classification of linguistic and translation errors in LLs according to the origin and purpose of LLs in the current study is significant. A mistranslation on a regulatory sign (safety instructions) has far more serious implications than one on a shop name. Mapping errors in bilingual LLs can identify which domains (tourism, religion, commerce) are more prone to certain types of errors, viz grammatical, semantic, or transliteration. Purpose-based error classification in LLs allows them to explore how language is used to shape public space, whether to instruct, welcome, sell, or guide. This ties into broader themes in linguistic landscape studies like language visibility, social, and cultural representation. Findings of the current study can inform translator trainers and provide them with a bilingual LL dataset that could be used in translator training or sociolinguistics courses. The volume and variety of LLs herein provide a robust dataset. Additionally, results will inform municipal language policy makers of the linguistic and translation accuracy of public LLs. For instance, if directional signs frequently contain orthographic errors, this means that there is a need for better quality control in public LLs.

Furthermore, this study is part of a series of studies by the author on shop signs and naming practice in which she investigated numerous issues as definiteness and indefiniteness in Arabic product names (Al-Jarf, 2024a); English language representation in Korean linguistic landscapes (Al-Jarf, 2024b); when to translate and not translate Arabic and foreign shop names in Saudi Arabia (Al-Jarf, 2024c); semantic and syntactic anomalies of Arabic-transliterated compound linguistic landscape in Saudi Arabia (Al-Jarf, 2023d); deviant Arabic transliterations of foreign shop names in Saudi Arabia and problems that shoppers have in decoding them (Al-Jarf, 2022a); promotional, sociocultural and globalization factors contributing to the dominance of foreign shop names over Arabic names in Saudi Arabia (Al-Jarf, 2022a); linguistic-cultural characteristics of hotel names in Makkah, Madinah and Riyadh (Al-Jarf, 2021b); the interchange of personal names in Muslim communities (Al-Jarf, 2023e); absence of vowels in the English spelling of Arabic personal names, English spelling of the glottal stop and voiced pharyngeal fricative in Arabic personal names, English transliteration of Arabic personal names with the definite

article /al/, gemination errors in Arabic-English transliteration of personal names,-and-variant transliterations of the same Arabic personal names on Facebook (Al-Jarf, 2023a; Al-Jarf, 2023b; Al-Jarf, 2023c; Al-Jarf, 2022c; Al-Jarf, 2022d Al-Jarf, 2022g); proper noun pronunciation inaccuracies in English by Educated Arabic speakers (Al-Jarf, 2022e); student-interpreters' foreign proper noun pronunciation errors in English-Arabic and Arabic-English media discourse interpreting (Al-Jarf, 2022f); and teaching English with linguistic landscapes to Saudi students studying abroad (Al-Jarf, 2021b).

# 2. Data Collection and Analysis

A corpus of 325 bilingual LLs (96 Arabic-English and 229 English-Arabic) was collected from Saudi Arabia, Egypt, UAE, Oman, Bahrain, Tunisia, Palestine during the author's visits to these countries. Part of the English-Arabic and Arabic English LLs were also taken from Google Images. The sample included street names, road signs, commercial shop signs, place names, notice boards, advertisements, commercial posters, product information, public notices, billboards, façade names, posters, border signs, signs on government buildings, airports, hospitals, universities, and others. They also include<sup>4</sup> exterior/outdoor signage as store brand/names, pylon signs, window graphics, promotions, deals and offers, directional/wayfinding, informational, monument, pole, and frame sidewalk board signs, interior signs, banners, vehicle graphics, construction site signs and others.

First, the LLs in the sample were sorted out into English-Arabic and Arabic-English LLs. Secondly, they were categorized according to the producer/origin (top-down vs bottom-up) LLs. Top-down LL are official, institutional, or government-produced signs such as street names, public road signs, government building signs, and official notices. They often reflect official language policies and power structures, as in the following examples:

- Governmental and municipal LLs (بلدية أبو ظبي ,أمانة منطقة الرياض )
- Religious and cultural markers (شهداء أحد ,المسجد الحرام)
- Safety, regulatory, and instructional signs (ممنوع الوقوف قطعيا ,اترك مسافة آمنة)
- Educational and institutional names (معهد أكاديمية الزرقاء ,جامعة سوهاج الجديدة)

On the other hand, bottom-up LLs are privately produced by individuals, businesses, or communities. They can be commercial, cultural, promotional as in shop signages, product promotions, personal or business names, cultural branding, advertisements, graffiti, restaurant signs and menus. They often reflect language use in a community, creativity, and cultural identity. For example:

- Shop names and commercial brands (رامز هايبرماركت ,النافع للزهور)
- Product labels and promotional signs (مشروبات الطاقة ,تنزيلات)
- Restaurant and service names (خياط الربيع ,فطائر الأمور)
- Personal or business names (شركة رؤية انجاز التجارية Vision Enjaz Trading Company).

Thirdly, LLs were classified according to their purpose, which includes the following types:

- **Official and governmental signage** (street name signs, traffic signs, public transportation maps and schedules, government building plaques, emergency instructions and so on).
- **Commercial and business signage** (shop names and storefronts, restaurant menus displayed outside, business hours signs, sale banners and promotional posters, multilingual price tags or product labels); informational and instructional signs, tourist information boards, museum and gallery descriptions, hospital and clinic directional signage, airport and train station announcements.
- Advertising and marketing (billboards and posters, window decals and sidewalk chalkboards, branded delivery
  vehicles with multilingual slogans, flyers and handouts posted on walls or poles).
- **Educational and institutional signage** (university campus signs, library notices and multilingual book sections, school entrance signs with mission statements).
- **Temporary and mobile signs** (event posters, election campaign signs, construction site warnings, pop-up market signage).
- **Tourism and hospitality** (guided tour signs, museum guides and placards, hotel welcome signs in multiple languages, multilingual beach safety signs.
- **Cultural and symbolic texts** (murals with slogans or poetry, graffiti and street art with written messages, commemorative plaques and monuments, political protest signs or banners, religious inscriptions on mosques, churches, or temples).

Fourth, linguistic and translation errors in the bilingual LLs were classified into:

https://www.apexsignage.com.au/signage-that-retailers-should-consider/#:~:text=Effective%20exterior%20retail%20signage%20works,information%2C%20either%20internal%20or%20external.

- for Salad dressing) تزيين السلطة) **Semantic errors, i.e.,** misinterpretation of meaning
- Faulty lexical choice, i.e., inappropriate word choice (Dilute to taste" for امزج وتذوق)
- Syntactic errors that pertain to word order and sentence structure issues ("P.P.E. must be worn all time at site)
- Grammatical errors at the word level which include agreement, definiteness, definite article attachment/ detachment, singular/plural errors, faulty derivatives, faulty verbs and so on.
- Orthographic errors that include spelling, spacing errors, typographic errors and phonetic misrepresentations (Par King (موقف سيارات for
- Transliteration, i.e., converting words from the Arabic writing system to English and vice versa, while preserving the pronunciation of the original language as closely as possible. Transliteration errors are inconsistent or phonetically inaccurate renderings instead of giving a translation equivalent (مطار سوهاج الدولي MATAR Sohaj Aduwalaa, جامعة سوهاج الحديدة Jamieat Sohag Aljadida).
- (من مدينة الرسول نحمد الله على سلامتكمrContextual and Cultural Mismatches as (Welcome to the city of the prophet for simplifies a culturally rich phrase into a generic greeting.
- Pragmatic issues, i.e., how the context of use contributes to meaning.

Fifth, errors were classified according to the translation strategy used: (i) Literal or word for word translation, i.e., replacing the words in the source text by words in the target language, maintaining the same word order and same grammatical features. (ii) Transliteration, i.e., transcribing the source word in the writing system of the target language. (iii) Conceptual translation where the meaning of the LL is correctly translated in words that do not match those of the source text or expression. (iv) Explanatory or paraphrase, and (v) Partial translation where part of the LL is translated.

Sixth, the percentage of Arabic-English and English-Arabic LLs, percentage of top-down & bottom-up LLs; percentage of LLs in each purpose category, the percentage of LLs correctly translated, the percentage of LLs that contain two or more linguistic and translation errors, the percentage of semantic, syntactic, orthographic, pragmatic, transliteration, literal, conceptual, explanatory, partial, the percentage of errors according to top-down and bottom-up and the percentage of errors according to each purpose category were computed.

#### 3. Results

# 3.1 Classification of Linguistic Landscapes According to the Producer/Origin

Data analysis showed that top-down LLs (official, institutional, or government-produced) constituted 54% of the Arabic-English sample, compared to 46% of the English-Arabic sample. On the contrary, bottom-up LLs (businesses or communities) constituted 41% of the Arabic-English LLs, compared to 59% of the English-Arabic LLs. Examples of each are given in Table 1 below.

Table 1: Examples of Top-down and Bottom-up Arabic-English and English-Arabic Linguistic Landscapes		
LL	Examples of Top-Down LLs	Examples of Bottom-Up LLs
Arabic-English LLs	<ul> <li>سوهاج الدولي مطار سوهاج الدولي Vital Signs</li> <li>المؤشرات الحيوية Vital Signs</li> <li>المؤشرات الحيون الحيون الاياض Riyadh region municipality</li> <li>يا Tunisian civil aviation</li> <li>تي Tunisian civil aviation</li> <li>العليران المدني Muster Point</li> <li>العقم Par King</li> <li>الاهان Use safety harness</li> <li>اللامان Road ahead closed</li> <li>المعلق الوقوف قطعيا Strictly Prohibited Parking</li> <li>ميفية استخدام طفاية الحريق extinguisher</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>الاغذية الصحية الصحية Healthy food</li> <li>صرة للاتصالات Hamza phone</li> <li>حياط الربيع Al-Rabea tailors</li> <li>لركن السويسري Swiss corner</li> <li>ألركن السويسري Perfume company</li> <li>العقد الراقي Elegant necklace</li> <li>ليتذوق الطعم الإيطالي</li> <li>Let's Eatalian</li> <li>عروض المنتجات الإيطالية</li> <li>Authentic Italian Products Promotion</li> <li>خيز وسوبرماركت الخليج Barbar shop</li> <li>نانوار للحلاقة عمل WORKING AREA</li> </ul>
English-Arabic LLs	<ul> <li>US Pre-Clearance Flights لرحلات الولايات المتحدة         لرحلات الولايات المتحدة             تحذير Warning Writing Is Strictly Forbiddenيمنع منعا باتا الكتابة            منع منعا باتا الكتابة             • Danger Diesel Fuel خطر ديزل فيول             • Danger Do Not Enter خطر الدخول ممنوع Smoking Is Strictly Prohibited This Area</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Food Steamers الحرية مول</li> <li>Freedom Mall الحرية مول</li> <li>Fuel فيول</li> <li>Global Group مجموعة العالمي</li> <li>Global Real Estate Investment Development</li> <li>تطوير واستثمار العقارات الدولية</li> <li>Golden Petrol البترول الذهبي</li> </ul>

ممنوع منعا باتا في هذه المنطقة  • Kids' Play Areaلمنال هعب الاطفال المنطقة عب الاطفال المتعة المتعقد المتعقد المتعقد المتعقدة البرنامج Foundation Program Unit  • Notice Please Use Hand Sanitizer التأسيسي استخدام مطهر اليد  • Notice! Wash Hands Before Returning To  ملحوظة الرجوع اللى العمل يجب غسل Notice!	<ul> <li>Grinders طاحونة</li> <li>Hair Straighteners سيراميك الشعر</li> <li>International Food طعام عالمي</li> <li>Internet Café انترنت كافيه</li> <li>Irons كاوية</li> <li>Katakeet Restaurant مطعم كتاكيت للبرجر</li> <li>Healthcare Accessories</li> <li>الصحية</li> </ul>
ملحوطة الرجوع اللي العمل يجب عسل <i>Work</i> اليدين قبل	الصحية

# 3.2 Classification of Linguistic Landscapes According to Purpose

Table 2 shows that about half of the Arabic-English and English-Arabic LL in the sample have a commercial/ promotional purpose (52% & 58% respectively), followed by directional geographic (10% & 13% respectively); then safety/regulatory (5% & 13% respectively). Examples of the different purposes of LLs are given in Table 2.

Table 2: Percentages of the Arabic-English and English-Arabic LLs According to Purpose

Purpose Category	Arabic-English (100)	English-Arabic (263)
Promotional / Commercial	52%	58.2%
Directional / Geographic	10%	12.9%
Safety / Regulatory	6%	12.9%
Educational / Institutional	6%	3.8%
Instructional / Product	10%	6.8%
Cultural	8%	0.4%
Social / Greeting	2%	0.8%
Financial / Customs	_	4.2%

Table 3: Examples of Linguistic Landscapes by Purpose

Purpose	Arabic Examples	English Examples
Promotional	تموينات البيت الجميل ,فطائر الأمور ,حمزة	Boxed Chocolate, Coffee Makers, Fashion Store,
	للاتصالات ,خياط الربيع ,الركن السويسري ,ساحة	Hair Straighteners
	النخبة ,شركات العطور ,العقد الراقي ,واحة سعاد	
	مخبز وسوبرماركت الخليج, ,الاغذية الصحية ,المشط	
	الموحد للحلاقة.	
Instructional	اغسل يديك، حافظ على النظافة، لا تترك الأطفال	Wash Your Hands, Keep Site Clean, Do Not
	وحدهم	Leave Children Unattended
Safety	خطر، مواد قابلة للاشتعال، منطقة عمل، معدات	Danger High Voltage, Forklifts Operating,
	ثقيلة	Humps Ahead
Regulatory	ممنوع التدخين، لا تدخل، دخول المصرح لهم فقط	No Smoking, No Entry, Authorized Personnel
		Only
Directional	إلى البوابة، صالة الوصول، موقف السيارات،	Go to Gate, Arrival Hall, Parking Area, Elevators
	المصاعد	
Informational	معلومات، استعلامات، مكتب المفقودات، مكتب	Information, Lost & Found, Postal Facility
	البريد	
Medical	عيادة، طوارئ، مركز القلب، الإسعافات الأولية	Shamel Polyclinic, First Aid, Heart Center
Recreational	منطقة ألعاب الأطفال، صالة بولينغ، نادي رياضي	Kids' Play Area, Yalla Bowling, Fitness Club
Educational/	جامعة سوهاج، معهد أكاديمية الورقة للتدريب	Sohag University, New Academy Institute for
Institutional		Training
Religious	عمرة وزيارة، منتدى، صالة الصلاة	Umrah and Ziyarah Forum, Prayer Lounge
Social/Greeting	أهلاً وسهلاً بكم في طيبة الطيبة، نتذوق الطعام	Welcome to Taybah Taybah, Taste Italian Food
Cultural	الإيطالي	

## 3.3 Correct Bilingual Linguistic Landscapes

Findings revealed that 32% of the LLs in the sample were translated correctly (33% Arabic-English & 31% English-Arabic LLs). Many of the LLs in Table 4 (Keep a safe distance, Road ahead closed, Use safety harness, Vital signs, Departures) are top-down, issued by government bodies, hospitals, airports, or municipalities. These institutions typically employ professional translators or use standardized terminology to ensure accuracy, clarity, and functional equivalence. Phrases like (Soft Drinks مشروبات غازية; are common رسائل الاستحمام Shower Gel رحقائب مدرسية School Bags, شاي وقهوة Tea & Coffee ,مشروبات الطاقة retail or product labels with well-established equivalents in both languages. These translations are often formulaic or widely تحذير .. هذه الغرفة محمية بواسطة نظام اخماد عامل غازي نظيف ويمنع الدخول) اليها accepted, reducing the risk of error. Signs such as This room is protected by a clean agent gas suppression system. Do not enter without دون تصريح خلال او بعد عملية التحرير يمنع دخولك المنطقة المخططة الا اذا كان authorization during or after discharge) and (Do Not Enter Junction Until Exit Is Cleared reflect a clear understanding of the communicative purpose. The translators applied functional translation (مسارك سالكا strategies, ensuring that the message is not only linguistically accurate but also pragmatically appropriate. Some translations, like (God Bless You > منتدى العمرة والزيارة), show cultural sensitivity and idiomatic alignment. These reflect deep familiarity with both the source and target cultures, allowing for natural and respectful renderings. These are less "Many signs are short and direct, such as "Danger," "Give Way," "Keep Distance," "Pull It ... Return It. اسحبها ... اعدها "These are less prone to structural or semantic errors, especially when the translator understands the context. Medical and airport signs (Female عزيزتي المريضة.. حماية لك وللجنين الرجاء اخبري Patients For Your Safety Please Advise The Radiology Technician If You Are Pregnant مواصلة Flight Connections;معادن مزروعة داخل الجسم Metallic Implants Ferromagnetic;فنية الاشعة اذا كنت حامل قبل اجراء الاشعة use domain-specific vocabulary that is often standardized (قاعة الاتحاد لدرجة رجال الاعمال Etihad Business Class Lounge زالرحلات across institutions. This ensures consistency and accuracy, especially in high-stakes environments like healthcare and aviation.

**Table 4: Examples of Linguistics Landscapes Correctly Translated** 

## **Examples of Bilingual LLs with their Translation**

- Airline Lounges قاعات شركات الطيران
- Assembly Point نقطة التجمع
- صراف آلی Auto Teller
- Autocare خدمة السيارات
- استلام الامتعة Baggage Claim
- مأكولات معلبة Canned Food
- Chocolate Bars الواح شوكولاته
- Condensed Milk حلیب مرکز
- Deal Destinations وجهة التوفير
- Departures المغادرة/المغادرون
- Flavored Milk حلیب بنکهات
- Give Way اسمح للمرور
- Golden Petrol البترول الذهبي
- Grillers & Grills شوایات
- Healthcare Accessories اكسسوارات الرعاية الصحية
- مسرح منزلی Home Theatre •
- Keep Distance إيقاء مسافة
- Kids' Play Area منطقة لعب الاطفال
- لنتذوق الطعم الإيطالي Let's Eatalian ●
- Luch Box صندوق الغداء
- حزام الامتعة Luggage Belt
- Men Care العناية بالرجل
- Party Wear مستلزمات الحفلات
- Pass Delivery تسليم الشارات
- Pass Desk مكتب الشارات
- Per Piece للقطعة
- Sauces & Pickles صلصات ومخللات
- Shopping Area رواق تجاری
- UHT Milk حليب طويل الاجل
- Wooden Bakery مخابز الحطب
- اترك مسافة آمنة Keep a safe distance
- احذر مفرقع كفرات Spike Barrier
- Use safety harness (belt) استعمل حزام الامان

- Strictly Prohibited Parking ممنوع الوقوف قطعيا
- Vital Signs المؤشرات الحيوية
- الى حد الحرم To Haram are
- Used Cars Center مركز السيارات المستعملة
- عيادة توم الاليف Tom Pets Clinic
- Danger Suspended Load انتبه خطر حمل مغلق
- Admission and Billing التنويم وحسابات المرضى
- Road Ahead Closed امامك طريق مغلق
- هيئة الهلال الأحمر السعودي Saudi red crescent authority
- Operating your fire extinguisher كيفية استخدام طفاية الحريق
- لا تقترب من هذا المكان Keep away
- وحدة البرنامج التأسيسي Foundation Program Unit
- Reduce Speed .. Humps Ahead خفف السرعة امامك مطبات
- US Pre-Clearance Flights التدقيق المسبق لرحلات الولايات المتحدة
- No Metallic Articles or Watches معدنية ممنوع الدخول بمواد
   او سماعات
- Notice Please Use Hand Sanitizer تنبيه! الرجاء استخدام مطهر
- السرعة قد تقودك الى السجن او الموت Speed short way to PRISON or DEATH
- Danger Active Archaeological Site Do Not Leave the Path خطر موقع حفريات أثري ممنوع النزول من الطريق
- In Case Of Fire Do Not Use The Stairs في حالة الحريق لا تستخدم المصاعد
- The Dream Of Parenthood Is Not Impossible حلم الانجاب لم
- We Take Care of Your Heart by the Best Surgeons and Doctors نعتني بقلبك من خلال افضل الجراحين
- Get Back Your Life with Knee Replacement Operations عد لممارسة حياتك مع عمليات استبدال مفصل الركبة
- هذه الطريق تؤدي الى منطقة ا التابعة للسلطة الفلسطينية الدخول للمواطنين الإسرائيليين ممنوعة وخطرة على حياتهم

- Road ahead closed امامك طريق مغلق
- امامك مطب Speed bump ahead
- امانة منطقة الرياض Riyadh region municipality
- تموينات البيت الجميل Beautiful house food supermarket
- خياط الربيع Al-Rabea tailors
- مارع العليا العام Olaya street (North)
- عمال یشتغلون Men at work
- قرى وادي قنونة Wadi Qanuna village

- منائية في حقهم. This road leads to Areas A under the Palestinian Authority. The entrance for Israeli citizens is forbidden. Dangerous to your lives and is against the Israeli law.
- Make Housekeeping Part Of Your Daily Routine النظافة والترتيب جزء من عملك اليومي

Several factors contribute to the correctly translated LLs in this study such as:

- Many public and official signs use highly standardized phrases that have well-established and widely accepted translations.
  For example, categories like (Informative/Service, Informative/Instructional, & Instructional) showed 100% correctness for their (small) samples. Examples include (Emergency Assembly Point, Baggage Claim, No Smoking, Give Way, which are universally recognized public signs with established translation, These LLs ensure clarity, consistency, and immediate understanding, which is vital for public safety and navigation.
- The use of professional translation and review especially in top-down LLs particularly those created by government bodies, airports, large corporations, or international organizations. The higher overall correctness rate for top-down LLs (69.1%) strongly supports this. Many commercial entities (*Etihad Business Class Lounge, Food Court*) also exhibit high accuracy due to brand consistency and professional marketing. The results is high quality, accurate, and culturally appropriate translations that build trust and convey messages effectively.
- LLs that use simple, concise, and unambiguous are inherently easier to translate accurately than complex sentences or nuanced expressions. LLs like (*Pull It... Return It; Go to Gate*) or common product names (*Chocolate Bars, Canned Food*) are often correctly translated because their meaning is straightforward.
- For LLs related to safety, regulation, or critical information, there is a higher need for absolute accuracy, and translation quality. Although some regulatory/warning signs have errors. Informative LLs (75.0% correct) and Regulatory LLs (73.5% correct) generally show good accuracy. Such LLs ensure public safety, compliance, and effective communication in critical situations.

# 3.4 Bilingual Linguistic Landscapes with Multiple Errors

About 13% of the LLs in the sample contain multiple linguistic and translation errors with overlapping semantic, grammatical, syntactic, lexical, and/or contextual inaccuracies. In many LLs, the source phrases were either complex, idiomatic, or culturally embedded, which led to literal or machine-based translations that failed to convey the intended meaning of the LL. In some LLs, the Arabic equivalents sound awkward and meaningless as in Biohazard/Medical Waste قاطية الطالحة المعالية المعالي

In another group of LLs, the equivalent given is not the standard Arabic term or expressions that are commonly used in daily communication as in Boxed Chocolate صندوق شوكولاتة; Currency Exchange صرفة) جمورة الطعام); Food Processers صندوق شوكولاته (استعلامات، معلومات) إرشادات Information (مكوى، مكاوي) \*كاوية Information (مبيد حشرات) قاتل الحشرات Insect Killers (الات مؤسيقية) معدات موسيقية معدات موسيقية المعامة (الات مؤسيقية) معدات موسيقية العامة); No Public Entry (الات مؤسيقية) معدات موسيقية (ممنوع دخول العامة) (العامة Fire Extinguisher (طفاية حريق) \*مطفأة Fire Extinguisher (الأرصاد الجوية) (الأرصاد الجوية) الرصد الجوي (الجوية) (الأولية) قلاية كهربائية بدون زيت Air Fryers (الأولية)

LLs such as "Care For Life > نهده العيرة" (رعاية مدى الحياة" نهتم بالحياة" تتمهل show how semantic misinterpretation can be compounded by idiomatic failure and word order distortion. Similarly, "Keep Site Clean > الحفاظ على الموقع كلين الموقع كلين demonstrates a blend of semantic error and inappropriate transliteration. These examples reveal how multiple linguistic challenges often converge in a single sign. The two translations of the directional & safety sign "Baby Bassinet to be Removed and Stowed for Taxi, Take Off, Landing and Turbulent Weather تستعمل \*حمالة الأطفال اثناء الإقلاع او تخزينه في \*سيارات الأجرة والإقلاع والهبوط والطقس \*المضطرب & الهبوط او خلال المطبات الهوائية suffered from lexical mismatches, incomplete rendering, and contextual ambiguity. These findings suggest that when translation is approached without professional oversight or contextual sensitivity, the result is not a single error but multiple linguistic breakdowns that compromise the communicative function of the LL.

In some examples, the multiple errors are a result of literal translation without contextual awareness as "Care For Life > إبالحياة and "Slow Down > تحت تمهل which show semantic and idiomatic mismatches. It is clear that the translators have relied on word-for-word translation, ignoring the pragmatic function or cultural equivalence. In other examples, the translators over-relied on machine translation in phrases like (Keep Site Clean> الحفاظ على الموقع كلين and Tetra Pack Juice> which suggest unreviewed and unedited machine output. This resulted in transliteration errors, unnatural phrasing, and hybrid forms that are confusing rather than clarifying. Further examples of LLs with multiple errors are provided in Table 5. Many of these examples with multiple linguistic and translation errors are bottom-up LLs, created by businesses or individuals without access to trained translators.

Table 5: LLs With Multiple Linguistic and Translation Errors

# **Linguistics Landscapes and Their Translation**

- Literature only (Printed matter only) للمطبوعات فقط
- Malted drinks (Barley Drinks) مشروبات الشعير
- يجب ارتداء أدوات السلامة الشخصية P.P.E. must be worn all time \*at site (P.P.E. must be worn at site all the time)
- ABU DHABI MUNICIPALITY Apologize for any inconvenience \*Work for your convenience بلدية أبو ظبي تعتذر نعتذر عن أي \*ازعاج العمال ليمنحك \*راحكم (بلدية أبو ظبي تعتذر عن الازعاج وتعمل من اجل راحتكم)
- Go To Gate التجاه للبوابة) الاتجاه المالبوابة)

- Danger .. Forklift Traffic Area خطر رافعة البضائع \*منطقة مرور رافعة شوكية)
- Librar (Bookstore)مكتبة قبة اشبيليا
- Lulu Shopping Gift & Digital Cards بطاقات لولو \*شوبن جفت
   (بطاقات لولو التسوقية والبطاقات الرقمية)
- Meeting Point (منطقة تجمع) منطقة \*الالتقاء
- No Mobile Phones يمنع استخدام الهواتف \*المتحركة ( الهواتف \*الجوالة)
- Super Fest (مهرجان رهیب) سوبر مهرجان
- Table Top Stoves (مواقد طاولة) افران طبخ طاولة

#### A. 3.5 Semantic Errors

Semantic errors are the most frequent in the sample of LLs in this study as they constitute 26% of all errors, with more semantic errors in the translation of English-Arabic than Arabic-English LLs (18% & 9% respectively). Semantic errors reflect a nuanced understanding of how meaning can be distorted in translation. They often co-occur with other syntactic, grammatical, orthographic, and transliteration errors. Many semantic errors occur in bottom-up signs, where translation is done by non-specialists. Product labels and institutional terms are more prone to semantic distortions due to lack of domain knowledge. In this study, semantic errors cover meaning mismatches, inappropriate collocations, contextual misfits, idiom misinterpretation, and faulty lexical choices, each of which is described below.

# 3.5.1 Meaning Misidentification

Meaning misidentification shows deep semantic distortions, where the translator misunderstood the core concept of the LL, and fails to grasp the intended concept or communicative function of the source phrase in the LL as in the examples in Table 6 which show how the translator has deviated from the intended meaning due to lexical or conceptual misalignment and misinterpretation of the source terms. This often results in translations that are either misleading or entirely unrelated to the intended message. Meaning misidentifications are particularly problematic in top-down signages, where clarity and authority are expected. They also reflect DIY (do it yourself) translation practices, where non-professionals rely on intuition or machine translation without domain knowledge as in "Boxed Chocolate > صندوق شوكولاتة" and "Prepared Meats > اللحوم استعداد | اللحوم استعداد | which clearly illustrate misidentification of referents. Errors in Table 6 stem from literal translation of lexical items without regard to idiomatic usage as Home Offices | المكاتب المنزلية instead of البيوت المكاتب المنزلية | Some LLs ( Care for Life and Slow Down) appear again in other tables, which suggests overlapping error types, which is natural but can be clarified with cross-references. This kind of semantic error is due to lack of domain knowledge.

# Table 6: Meaning Misidentification in Bilingual Linguistic Landscapes

# **Meaning Misidentification**

- Biohazard/Medical Waste واقية \*واقية طرة) طبية خطرة)
- Boxed Chocolate علب شوكولاته) \*صندوق شوكولاته
- Clearance Price سعر التصفية) \*تصفية السعر
- (فاكهة الكاكا) \*كسترد تفاح Custard Apple •
- سيارات الأجرة (سير الطائرة على المدرج) During taxi •
- Global Group (المحموعة العالمية) \*محموعة العالمية)
- Home Offices (المكاتب المنزلية) \*البيوت المكتبية
- Makeen Logistics (مكين للتخطيط التنفيذ) مكين اللوجستية

- No Entry (ممنوع الدخول) ممر ممنوع
- No Public Entry عدم ادخال العامة
- Prepared Meats (لحوم جاهزة) اللحوم استعداد
- Rest Area (استراحة) مكان الأكل
- Shamel Polyclinic مجمع عيادات ) عيادات شامل المجمعة
   (شامل)
- Tetra Pack Juice (عبوة عصير تترا) *Juice عصير بتغ*لق تترا
- تنزيلات Downloads (Sale)
- Styling Tools معدات الشعر) معدات الشعر

# 3.5.2 Inappropriate Collocation in Bilingual Linguistic Landscapes

Table 7 shows examples of LL with inappropriate collocations capturing unnatural or awkward word pairings that native speakers would not use. Examples like "Insect Killers > عبيد الحشرات instead of مبيد الحشرات; Boxed Chocolate علب شوكولاتة and Personal Car علب شوكولاتة rather than العناية الشخصية rather than العناية الشخصية result from direct translation without regard for collocational norms in Arabic. These collocations are grammatically incorrect, but they are semantically odd, and stylistically inappropriate.

# Table 7: Inappropriate Collocation in Bilingual Linguistic Landscapes

- (عش بصحة) عيش الصحة Live Healthy
- Lost Luggage (العفش الضائع) مصلحة الامتعة
- Bottled Water معلبه \*معلبة)
- Boxed Chocolate (علب شوكولاته) صندوق شوكولاته
- Heavy Construction Traffic (مرور شاحنات ثقيلة) منفذ شاحنات ثقيلة)
- Information إرشادات) إرمعلومات، استعلامات)
- Insect Killers (مبيد حشرات) قاتل الحشرات)
- Issue De Secoursمخرج الطوارئ) منفذ الاغاثة
- Personal Care (العناية الشخصية) نهتم بعنايتك
- Salad Dressing تزيين السلطة) تزيين السلطة)
- (وكالات سياحة وسفر) وكالات الأسفار Travel Agencies •
- Turbulent Weather (المطبات الهوائية) والطقس \*المضطرب
- (الحي الصيني) المدينة الصينية China Town •

## 3.5.3 Contextual Misfit in Bilingual Linguistic Landscapes

Examples in Table 7 are technically correct but contextually inappropriate. "China Town > المدينة الصينية الصينية show how situational mismatches can distort meaning. Many of these errors reflect lack of awareness of genre, setting, or audience. translations as Carrier > حاملة الطائرات are domain-specific misapplications. In a military context, تاقل is more appropriate translation, whereas in a civil context, ناقل is more appropriate. In aircraft landing safety instructions, taxi means an aircraft moving slowly along the ground before take-off or after landing, but not as a means of transportation used in a daily context.

# Table 8: Contextual Misfit in Bilingual Linguistic Landscapes

### **Contextual Misfit**

- Keep Site Clean (الحفاظ على نظافة المكان) الموقع \*كلين.
- Live Station (منطقة المأكولات الطازجة).
- Carrier (الناقل) حاملة طائرات (الناقل)
- Musical Instruments (آلات موسيقية)
- No Mobile Phones (الجوالة) No Mobile Phones.
- Prepared Meats (الحوم جاهزة).
- Baby Bassinet to be Removed and Stowed for Taxi, Take Off, Landing and Turbulent Weather تستعمل حمالة الأطفال اثناء
   يجب إزالة \*سرير الأطفال وتخزينه في \*سيارات الأجرة (السير على المدرج) والإقلاع والهبوط & الإقلاع او الهبوط او خلال المطبات الهوائية)
   والطقس \*المضطرب (المطبات الهوائية)
- Make Housekeeping Part Of Your Daily Routing. اجعل النظافة والترتيب جزء من عملك اليومي
- Diversion ahead R/A closed (detour).
- Literature only (printed matter).
- مغاسل الكروان Al-Karawan laundries (laundromats).
- المكتبة Stationary (library, at a university).
- Library (Bookstore).

# 3.5.4 Idiom Misinterpretation in Bilingual Linguistic Landscapes

The fourth type is idiom misinterpretation. Table 8 shows examples of LLs with idiom misinterpretations. This is because these idiomatic expressions were mistranslated literally. "Slow Down > تتحت تمهل" is a strong example of non-idiomatic rendering. "تتويلات" > Downloads" appears to be more of a false friend or lexical misfit than an idiom.

# Table 9: Idiom Misinterpretation in Bilingual Linguistic Landscapes

- Care For Life نهتم بالحياة
- Slow Down > خفف السرعة) "تحت تمهل (خفف السرعة)
- Downloads تنز بلات

## 3.5.5 Incorrect Lexical Choice in Bilingual Linguistic Landscapes

Table 10 shows lexical mismatches, in the translation of LLs. These examples show how wrong word choice can alter meaning. "Biohazard > غلاية عمودية غالية" and "Vertical Steamers > غلاية عمودية غالية show how word-level errors can severely distort meaning. Currency Exchange > صراف/صرافة/صرف العملات instead of صراف/صرافة/صرف misses the financial nuance. Many of these errors stem from false cognates, machine translation, or lack of domain-specific lexical knowledge. First Aid الإسعاف الطبي instead of الإسعافات الأولية is a literal translation that does not reflect the exact expression that is commonly used in daily communication.

Incorrect lexical choice is caused by word-for-word translation without paying attention to idiomatic or contextual meaning, unfamiliarity with technical or institutional terminology, unedited translation output, misrepresenting meaning, vague or polysemous English terms which lead to incorrect interpretation, use of Arabic words with multiple English equivalents fitting in different contexts such as "library", and/or partial translation of some LL source texts.

Table 10: Examples of Incorrect Lexical Choice in Bilingual Linguistic Landscapes

rable 10: Examples of meoriect Eexteat enotee in Bitingual	inguistic Lanascapes	
• Air Humidifiers (مرطبات) ملطف الهواء	• Humps Ahead(خفف السرعة امامك *مرتفعات	
• Biohazard/Medical Waste(خطر) النفايات الطبية *واقية	• Irons(کاویة (مکاوي	
• Bread Toasters محمصة توست	• Meeting Point(منطقة تجمع	
• Classrooms (غرف التدريس (فصول دراسية/قاعات دراسية)	• Milk Powder (حليب بودرة	
صرف (صرافة/صراف) Currency Exchange	• Musical Instruments معدات (آلات) موسيقية	
Custom Control مراقبة الديوانة	• Nothing To Declare لا شيء للإعلام (للافصاح) به	
• Dilute to taste (اخلط بالماء وتذوق)	• Vertical Steamers (مكواة بخارية عمودية)	
الإسعاف الطبي (الإسعافات الأولية) First Aid •	• Shamel Polyclinic(عيادات شامل المجمعة (مجمع عيادات	
• Forklifts Operating (رافعة شوكية)	• Stationary (أدوات مكتبية)	
• Goods To Declare (ما يصرح به)	• Tetra Pack Juice (عبوة عصير تترا)	
• Hair Straighteners (أدوات فرد الشعر)	• نقطة تجمع Muster Point (Assembly point)	
• Postal Facility (خدمات بریدیة (مرفق بریدي	• عمال یشتغلون Labor at work	

	• مشروبات الشعير Malted drinks (barley drinks)
(خطر جهد کهربائي عالٍ)	

# 3.6 Syntactic & Grammatical Errors in Linguistics Landscapes

Analysis of the bilingual LL data indicated that 15% of the total LLs have syntactic errors, i.e., errors in the structure of the phrases or sentences and their word order. Most LLs involve faulty word order issues, awkward phrasing, or structural anomalies as in "Danger Forklift Traffic Area" خطر .. رافعة البضائع منطقة المرور are syntactically faulty. Examples of LLs with a reversed Arabic word order are:

- Shamel Polyclinic مجمع عيادات شامل) عيادات شامل المجمعة
- Clearance Price سعر التصفية) تصفية السعر
- Boxed Chocolate علب شوكولاته) صندوق شوكولاته
- Prepared Meats (لحوم جاهزة) اللحوم استعداد
- Biohazard/Medical Waste نفايات طبية خطرة) النفايات الطبية واقية
- Global Group (المجموعة العالمية) مجموعة العالمية)
- Tetra Pack Juice (عبوة عصير تترا) عصير بتغلق تترا
- No Entry (ممنوع الدخول) ممر ممنوع
- Super Fest (مهرجان رهیب) سوبر مهرجان
- Home Offices (المكاتب المنزلية) البيوت المكتبية
- Makeen Logistics (مكين للتخطيط التنفيذ) مكين اللوجستية
- Riyadh Sheraton (شيراتون الرياض) الرياض شيراتون الرياض)
- Urouba Plaza (بلازا العروبة) العروبة بلازا
- معهد اكاديمية الزرقاء العالي للتدريب Al-zarqaa academy for high training institute (Al-zarqaa High academic Training Institute for training)
- تركة رؤية انجاز التجارية Vision enjaz trading company (Enjaz Vision Trading Company)
- \*This Items Are Not Included In The Promotion لا يتم تضمين هذه \*العناصر في العرض الترويجي instead of لا يتضمن هذه المنتجات)

It is noteworthy to say that the Arabic word order especially in compounds is opposite of the English word order. However, in the faulty LLs, the Arabic translation has the same word order as the English source compound.

The following examples have a scrambled word order:

- Notice! Wash Hands Before Returning To Work (ملحوظة: يجب غسل اليدين ملحوظة الرجوع اللي العمل يجب غسل اليدين قبل الرجوع الى العمل
- Access Forbidden To All Unauthorized Personnel (منوع الدخول للأشخاص غير المرخص لهم) المصرح لهم لكل الأشخاص غير المرخص لهم) المصرح لهم لكل الأشخاص غير

Other syntactic errors are fragmented structures as in the following examples:

- We Build The Future. Today نبنى غدنا .. اليوم) غدا بناء. اليوم
- Smoking Is Strictly Prohibited (in) This Area (missing preposition).
- No Public Entry عدم ادخال العامة) عدم ادخال العامة
- يجب ارتداء أدوات السلامة الشخصية P.P.E. must be worn \*all time \*at site
- ABU DHABI MUNICIPALITY .. Apologize for any inconvenience .. Work for your convenience عن أي ازعاج إلك الإلك العمال للمنحك العمال للمنحك العمال للمنحك العمال للمنحك العمال ال
- مدة المراجعة المجانية هي سبعة ايام من تاريخ الزيارة الاولى لنفس الطبيب Free Follow Up Is One Week Time Date Of First Visit With The Same Dr. (follow-up is within one week of the first visit to the same Dr).
- .%30 يمنع دخول السيارات التي تزيد نسبة التظليل فيها عن 30%. Vehicles with shaded \*glasses (glass) that exceed(s) 30% are not allowed \*for access (No Access for vehicles with 30% shaded glass).

Structural and word order errors in LLs often stem from literal translation or machine-generated output that fails to adjust for Arabic-English word order differences. Many signs are bottom-up, where translators may lack formal training in syntax. The prevalence of awkward or fragmented structures (*We Build The Future. Today*) suggests a lack of post-editing or proofreading. Syntactic errors often affect the intelligibility of the LL.

Regarding grammatical errors, 20% of the LLs (15% of the Arabic-English LLs & 22% of the English-Arabic LL) have number errors (singular/plural errors), faulty agreement, faulty singular/plurals, definite/indefinite, faulty derivative use, and faulty interpretation of prepositional phrases and idioms as in the following examples:

- Faulty Singular equivalents: Although names of appliances and Products in the English source text are plural, the Arabic translation in most examples is singular as in عروض offer(s), النافع للزهور النافع للزهور (أجهزة ترطيب الهواء) النافع للزهور (أجهزة ترطيب الهواء) ملطف الهواء (أجهزة ترطيب الهواء) ملطف الهواء (أحسان قهوة) والناق قلاية كهربائية بدون زيت معارة حمضيات وجهة التوفير coffee grinders (أوجهات) وجهة التوفير coffee makers (ألات صنع القهوة) صانعة القهوة (الات منع القهوة) وحمضيات وجهة التوفير coffee makers (ألات معارة حمضيات وجهة التوفير coffee makers (ألات عميقة) قلاية بدون زيت ولايت الموجه (ألات عميقة) والموجه و
- Faulty Plural Equivalents: النقابة العامة لتجار المواد الغذائية (foneral association for \*foodstuffs (food) merchants; يمنع 'General association for \*foodstuffs (food) merchants; النقليل عن 30% Vehicles with shaded \*glasses (glass) that exceed(s) 30% are not allowed \*for access; Perfume company (شركة عطور) شركات العطور); Residential area (حي سكني) \*الاحياء السكنية (هيا نذهب \*للنزهات).
- **Agreement errors:** ماندرين باكستاني للكيلو "Quat Altariq rent a cars (a car); قوة الطريق لتأجير السيارات "Mandarin Pakistan per kilo (Pakistani mandarin); Cooking Hobs افران \*كهربائية), Warning .. Writing Is Strictly Forbidden "تحذير". "Quat Altariq rent a cars" > "cars" is plural, but "a" is singular.
- Overly use of the definite article: المكان النظيف هو المكان الآضن A Clean Place Is .... (a) Safe Place; No Unauthorized Entry المصرح) لهم Evacuation Assembly Point (غير المصرح) لهم jeriority (غير المصرح) لهم Friority (إشارات عمل مؤقتة) الإشارات (مقاعد) (غير العمل المؤقتة الإشارات عمل مؤقتة) الإشارات (غير العمل المؤقتة العمل المؤقتة (عدر ) overly definite in Arabic.
- Faulty derived form (e.g., using a noun instead of an adjective) الاغذية الصحية (Healthy food (health food); منطقة عمل (توجهوا الى البوابة) الاتجاه للبوابة).
- **Part of speech:** Live Healthy عيش الصحة بيدة) عيش المساعدة الشخصية); Personal Care (العناية الشخصية); Need Help Walking? للمساعدة الناء المشي).
- Faulty preposition and preposition attachment: شركة حسين لتأجير السيارات Hussain \*for car rental co; شركة حسين لتأجير السيارات خفف السرعة)) تحت تمهل Slow Down (رعابة مدى الحياة) نهتم بالحياة Modie \*for rent \*car (rent a car); Care For Life السيارات
- Faulty verb form: ممنوع رمي المخلفات في هذه المنطقة Don't littering (Don't Litter).

The high grammatical error rate reflects challenges in morphological complexity of Arabic (definiteness, gender, number); use of prepositions, which differs significantly in English and Arabic; pluralization and article use, especially in product labels and commercial signs. Many errors appear in retail and product LLs, where translation is often done informally or by non-specialists. Grammatical errors are more subtle but affect accuracy and fluency. They reflect a lack of control over English noun phrase structure and article-noun agreement. Absence of an indefinite article in Arabic often leads to omission or confusion in English translation. Grammatical errors also show a misunderstanding of when definiteness is required in English vs. Arabic. The difficulty in translating prepositional phrases is due to their idiomatic nature and structural differences between Arabic and English.

In summary, grammatical errors stem from morphological complexity, article system differences, and lack of idiomatic awareness. They are more frequent in bottom-up signs, where translation is informal or improvised.

## 3.7 Cultural Mismatch

The translation of رافقتكم السلامة *Peace be with you & Go in Peace* instead of have as a safe trip, take care, or good-bye is structurally acceptable, but it is culturally awkward or not commonly used in daily communication in English.

## 3.8 Orthographic Errors in Bilingual LL Translation

Although orthographic errors accounted for only 3% of the total LL sample, their impact on the clarity and credibility of LLs is disproportionate. These errors include misspellings, incorrect spacing, phonetic approximations, and typographic inconsistencies in both English and Arabic. Such errors, while seemingly minor, can significantly affect the readability and perceived

professionalism of public signs. Common examples include "Ciub street" for (Club Street), "Par King" for (Parking), and "News Paper" for (Newspaper), all of which reflect a lack of familiarity with English orthographic conventions. In some cases, informal or digital writing habits influence the translation, as seen in "Cake & Coffee" کیك وکفی is spelled with a short vowel diacritic and تعتذر" which is a colloquial pronunciation instead of the Standard Arabic word "نعتذر", indicating insufficient proofreading.

These errors are found in top-down signage, where institutional credibility is at stake. In bottom-up signs, they often reflect DIY (do it yourself) translation practices and limited access to editorial review. While orthographic errors may not distort meaning as severely as semantic or syntactic errors, they contribute to a broader perception of linguistic carelessness and can hinder effective cross-cultural communication.

Orthographic errors can be attributed to lack of proofreading, phonetic approximation, spacing confusion, the influence of informal writing, and the transfer of Colloquial Arabic pronunciation. Signs with orthographic errors seem to be printed without revision by a native speaker or editor. Also, some translators rely on how words sound rather than how they are spelled (e.g., "Ciub"). Compound nouns in English are often incorrectly spelled as two separate words (*News Paper, super market*). They do not seem to know when English compounds are agglutinated, separated by a hyphen or spelled separately.

Table 11: Examples of Orthographic Errors in LL Translation

Arabic LL	English Translation	Error Type
A Warm Welcome to Nasmiles	صالة *ناسمايلز (ناس مايلز) ترحب بكم	Spelled together like English although it is difficult to
Lounge		decode in Arabic
Cake & Coffee	كيك و*كفى (وكوفي)	Numeral Substitution
Push Bar To Open	ادفع *القبض (المقبض) لتفتح	a misspelling or a typo
Sorry for the Inconvenience	*نعتزر (نعتذر) عن أي ازعاج	Colloquial Arabic pronunciation
جرائد	*News Paper (newspaper)	In English, Newspaper is agglutinated whereas in
		Arabic they are spelled separately
سوبرمارکت علي	Ali *Super Market (supermarket)	Spacing. Supermarket should be one word
شارع النادي	*Ciub (Club) street	A typographical error
مخبز وسوبرماركت الخليج	GULF *super market (supermarket) &	Spacing & misspelling
	*backery (Bakery)	
موقف سيارات	*Par King (parking)	Spacing, Phonetic
وسط المدينة	Down Town (Downtown)	Spacing
مستلزمات الحفلات	Party wear	Confusing Homophones
المشط الموحد للحلاقة	The unified *comp (comb)	Spelling error

## 3.9 Translation Variations in Similar LLS

Table 12 shows examples of similar LLs in the same street, town or even country with inconsistent translation. For Example, 13 signs of car rental companies in the same street in Riyadh have four translation varieties (rent a car, car rental, for car rental, for renting cars, for rent a car, rent \*a cars) instead of the standard expressions (Alamo Rent A Car and Budget Car Rental), the first eight LLs in Table 12 have correct equivalent translations. These car rental LLs show structural and grammatical inconsistencies, especially in preposition use and noun phrase.

ELs with multiple and inconsistencies in translations can be attributed to linguistic incompetence, unfamiliarity with standard equivalents, overreliance on machine translation or phonetic guesswork, and lack of editorial oversight. Many of the translators of such signs may lack formal training in translation or proficiency in English and/or Arabic, leading to grammatical errors (*Quat altariq rent a cars*); incorrect word order (*Splendor Road Rent a car*) and literal or awkward phrasing (\*No Public Entry > عدم إدخال. Translators may not be aware of established bilingual terminology used in institutional or international contexts. "*Muster Point*" is a technical term used in safety protocols, but it may be unfamiliar to non-specialist translators. "*No Entry*" is mistranslated as "ممر ممنوع الدخول instead of the standard ممنوع الدخول. *Assembly Point* was transliterated without post-editing as mistranslations. Especially in bottom-up signage, there is lack of revision to ensure consistency or correctness. This leads to multiple versions of the same concept across different signs, even within the same institution or city.

### Table 12: Inconsistent Translation of Same & Similar LLs

rable 12: inconsistent translation of same & stituta 223	
• ادارة الرحاب لتأجير السيارات AlRehab rent a car	Assembly Point نقطة التجمع
• روزا لتأجير السيارات Roza rent a car	• Emergency Assembly Point اسمبلي بواننت
• الجبر لتأجير السيارات A Jabr Rent A Car	• Evacuation Assembly Point نقطة التجمع للاخلاء
•	<ul> <li>Meeting Point منطقة الالتقاء</li> </ul>
• شركة وسم لتأجير السيارات Wasm co. rent a car	• نقطة تجمع Muster Point
<ul> <li>طریق الفرسان لتأجیر السیارات</li> </ul>	
• Rent A car ALRASHEED شركة الرشيد فرع تأجير السيارات	No Entry ممر ممنوع
COMPANY	• No Public Entry عدم ادخال العامة
• رينتو لتأجير السيارات Rento car rental	• No Unauthorized Entry يمنع دخول الأشخاص الغير مصرح لهم
•	<ul> <li>Do Not Enter Junction Until Exit Is Cleared (یمنع دخولك)</li> </ul>
• شركة حسين لتأجير السيارات Hussain for car rental co.	المنطقة المخططة الا اذا كان مسارك سالكا
• معدي لتأجير السيارات Modie for rent car	
•	• وافقتكم السلامة Peace be with you & Go in Peace
• رير فيو لتأجير السيارات Rear view *For Renting Cars	·
• Arrival Hall/Arrivals الوصول - صالة القدوم - القادمون	ركن المطاعم - منطقة المطاعم - صالة المطاعم Food Court
• Departures صالة المغادرة - المغادرون	• Food Court (West) مطاعم الردهة الغربية

# 3.10 Translation Strategies Used in Bilingual Linguistic Landscapes

## 3.10.1 Literal Translation

Literal word-for-word translation is the most frequently used translation strategy in Arabic-English and English-Arabic LLs, whether the translation is correct or faulty. Although word-for-word translation sometimes works for straightforward, and denotative phrases, it often leads to awkward or unnatural equivalents when applied to idiomatic or culturally embedded expressions. For instance, "Welcome Dinner" becomes "عشاء أهلا وسهلا", Bus Shelter ماوى الحافلات, Bus Shelter عشاء أهلا وسهلا" responsible for many semantic and syntactic errors as in No Mobile Phones يمنع استخدام الهواتف المتحرك and other examples mentioned in the previous sections.

# 3.10.2 Transliteration Instead of Translation in Bilingual Linguistic Landscapes

The second most common strategy is transliteration which is used in 17% of the Arabic-English LLs and 12% of the English-Arabic LLs in the sample as in common in brand names, place names, and culturally specific terms. In those faulty examples, transliteration resulted in semantic opacity or hybrid forms that confuse readers who are unfamiliar with the source language in the LL. For example, اسمبلي بواننت for (Emergency Assembly Point) is phonetically rendered but functionally meaningless to Arabic speakers, first because it has an Arabic equivalent (نقطة تجمع) and secondly because it is difficult to decode especially by native speakers who do not know English. This strategy contributes heavily to semantic and transliteration errors (See Table 13 for examples in translations of transliterated words in parentheses).

Table 13: Examples of English and Arabic Transliteration in Bilingual Linguistic Landscapes

• الاحالات Ehalat (Referrals)	• Coffee Shop (کوفي شوب (مقهی)
• اشي زاکي Ashi Zaki (Ishi Zaki)	• Custard Apple (فاكهة الكاكا)
• جامعة سوهاج الجديدة Jamieat Sohag Aljadida (New Sohag University)	Discover The New Flyadeal Boutique Products اكتشف     منتجات *بوتيك (متجر) طيران أديل الجديدة
• دیوان عام محافظة سوهاج Diwan Eam Muhafazat Sohag (Sohag Governorate General Office)	• Emergency Assembly Point نقطة تجمع ) *بواننت*اسمبلي (طوارئ;
• شراع الجنوب لتقديم الوجبات Shierae aljanub (Shira'a Aljanoub);	• Fashion Store متجر أزياء) *فاشن ستور)
• شهداء احد Shuhada Uhud (Uhud Martyrs)	• Freedom Mal (مركز تسوق)
• عالم الهزازي Alam al hazazy, Shierae aljanub	• Fuel (وقود) *فيول)
• مدينة سوهاج Madinat Sohag;	• Gymnastics Federation (الجمبار)
المسجد الحرام Al Masjid Al Haram	• Life Style (أسلوب حياة)
المسجد النبوي Al Masjid Al Nabawi	• Lulu Shopping Gift & Digital Cards بطاقات لولو *شوبن *جفت (هدية التسوق) والبطاقات الرقمية
• مطار سوهاج الدولي MATAR Sohaj Aduwalaa	• The Wide ذا وايد (a coffee shop)
• Atyaf Garden (حديقة أطياف *جاردن (حديقة	• Vegan مخابز فیجن

## 3.10.3 Conceptual Translation

Conceptual translation is used 7% of the total LLs in the sample. It refers to reconstructing the meaning or concept with an equivalent expression rather than translating word-for-word. This strategy is often more effective in conveying pragmatic intent, especially in institutional or culturally sensitive contexts. However, it requires a high level of linguistic competence, and when poorly executed, it can still result in semantic or grammatical errors (misuse of "الأشخاص الغير مصرح لهم" in "الغير مصرح لهم"). Examples of linguistic landscapes translated conceptually are given in Table 14.

## Table 14: Examples of Linguistic Landscapes Translated Conceptually

- Admission And Billing التنويم وحسابات المرضى
- Autocare خدمة السيارات
- Common Teaching Blocks مبنى غرف التدريس
- اهلا وسهلا بكم في طيبة الطيبة Welcome to the holy city of Madinah
- Hand Crafted To Perfection محضرة يدويا بحرفية ومنتهى
   الاتقان
- No Unauthorized Entry يمنع دخول الأشخاص الغير مصرح لهم
- Reduced Mobility Persons قاصري الحركة العضوية
- %30 يمنع دخول السيارات التي تزيد نسبة التظليل فيها عن 30%
   Vehicles with shaded glasses that exceed 30% are not allowed for access

- Dilute To Taste امزج وتذوق
- Duty Free السوق الحرة
- Hamza phone حمزة للاتصالات
- ديوان الطيران المدني والمطارات Tunisian civil aviation and airports authority
- الرياض الطائف اتجاه اجباري لغير المسلمين Riyadh Taif for Non-Muslims
- عروض المنتجات الإيطالية التقليدية في السعودية Authentic Italian Products Promotion in Saudi Arabia
- فطائر الأمور Al Amour restaurant
- من مدينة الرسول نحمد الله على سلامتكم Welcome to the city of the prophet

## 3.10.4 Explanatory (Paraphrase)

An explanatory equivalent or paraphrase is used in 2% of the LLs as in the following examples:

- Half Pay Back ريال تحصل على قسيمة بقيمة 100 ريال
- Viewفيو للقهوة المختصة
- ديوان الطيران المدني والمطارات Civil Aviation Authority
- Katakeet Restaurant مطعم كتاكيت للبرجر لتقديم الوجبات
- Caution تحذير استخدام الدرابزين
- قاصري الحركة العضوية Reduced Mobility Persons
- Air Fryers قلایة کهربائیة بدون زیت

Explanation/paraphrase is used when the translator adapts the source text to make it more accessible, culturally appropriate, or pragmatically clear for the target audience. This may involve expanding the phrase, rewording idioms, or adding clarifying information. It is particularly useful in translating culturally embedded expressions or promotional content. It adds clarifying information to ensure the message is understood. It is especially useful in commercial or promotional contexts, where cultural references or idioms may not translate directly. However, overuse can lead to verbosity or loss of stylistic tone. It's a helpful strategy when used selectively and with editorial control.

# 3.10.5 Partial Translation

Partial translation is used in 4% of the LLs in this study. It occurs when only part of the original message is translated, often due to space constraints, lack of comprehension, or machine translation errors. This strategy is problematic because it leads to semantic incompleteness, functional ambiguity, and reader confusion. It is frequently associated with bottom-up signs and reflects low translation quality.

# Table 15: Examples of Partial Translations in English-Arabic and Arabic-English Linguistic Landscapes

- Fire Extinguisher = (طفاية حريق)
- Infrared Cookers طباخ ) طاهي بالاشعة موقد كهربائي (بالأشعة تحت الحمراء
- Prayer Lounge (مصلى) الصلاة
- مطعم كتاكيت للبرجر لتقديم الوجبات Katakeet Restaurant (Katakeet Fast Food Restaurant)
- Library (Qubbat Ishbiya Bookstore) مكتبة قبة اشبيليا
- تحذير ضغط كهربائي عالي •

- تحذير استخدام الدرابزين Caution (Cation..use staircase)
- شراع الجنوب لتقديم الوجبات Shierae aljanub (Shira'a Aljanoub Fast Food)
- فن انوار للحلاقة Barbar shop (Ligh Barber Art)
- المشط الموحد للحلاقة The unified comp (Unified Comb Barber Shop)
- مطاعم زاوية المقصورة Corner restaurant (Cabin Corner Restaurant)

(Warning., High Voltage)	• مخابن فیجن Vegan (Vegan Bakery)
(Training in inglit reliage)	Jess regaii (regaii saner)

# 3.11 Linguistic and Translation Errors According to LL Producer and Purpose

Data analysis indicated that top-down LLs issued by ministries, airports, hospitals, and municipalities as official warnings, instructions, directions and information LLs are significantly more accurate and standardized, with over 70% correct translations. This could be due to rigid formal requirements of conceptual and word order alighment, institutional oversight and access to professional translation services or vetted templates (*Departures* > "المغادرون", "Keep a safe distance "أحافظ على مسافة آمنة"). They are characterized by greater use of literal and conceptual translation strategies and reflect institutional preference for clarity and formality.

Additionally, as regulatory and safety signs, Top-down LLs are used to instruct, warn, or prohibit. They are more prevalent and are better translated in English-Arabic LL due to institutional control. They require high precision and clarity. Errors here are most critical and can compromise safety. Faulty LLs as "No Entry > ممر ممنوع" is misleading and اسمبلي بواننت fails to communicate emergency function. LLs in this category are best served by conceptual translation and standardized terminology are more suitable for the translation of regulatory and safety LLs (No Entry > ممنوع الدخول).

By contrast, regulatory LLs may contain syntactic or semantic errors that could compromise safety or clarity (*Don't littering*). Instructional and regulatory LLs often contain syntactic and lexical errors, which can hinder clarity and safety. Religious and cultural signs are mostly transliterated (المسجد النبوي , شهداء أحد), but some suffer from phonetic distortion or inconsistent romanization.

Regarding, informational LLs, they are used to guide or inform (*Arrivals, Prayer Room*). These are generally more accurate in top-down signs. Errors often involve literal translation or partial translation (Prayer Lounge > الصلاة) and lack specificity. The use of conceptual and explanatory strategies improves clarity. *Admission and Billing* > التنويم وحسابات المرضى is a good example of conceptual adaptation.

In addition, educational, directional, and safety-related LLs are accurately translated, due to their public importance and institutional origin. Medical signs are relatively accurate but still need a lot of improvement, given the potential consequences of miscommunication in the healthcare contexts. Religious and cultural signs are more prominent in Arabic>English LL, reflecting local cultural identity.

On the other hand, bottom-up LLs prevail in commercial (shops, cafes, private clinics, storefronts, menus), tourism (hotel signs), and cultural (transliterated brands) contexts. Commercial and promotional LLs are used to advertise or label products and services and reflect the visibility of business brands in public space. These have high numbers of errors, and the lowest accuracy rate, due to the nature and commercial pressure to translate quickly or creatively. They are characterized by variation, creativity, inconsistency and the frequent use of transliteration (*Par King* for *Parking*), partial translation, and hybrid forms, suggesting a focus on branding over accuracy. Transliteration reflects the challenge of rendering Arabic proper nouns and compound names into English. They are more prone to grammatical, syntactic, semantic and orthographic errors due to informal translation practices. They show a higher error rate than top-down, with nearly two-thirds containing translation issues. This reflects informal DIY (do it yourself) translation practices, without linguistic revision. They are usually created by individuals or small businesses without linguistic oversight. Translators might rely on machine translation, transliteration, or phonetic spelling as "lunqui," "Par King", "Quat altariq rent a cars". Some might contain inconsistent terminology and multiple translations for the same concept and reflect lack of access to standardized bilingual resources. Errors often stem from linguistic incompetence, not contextual misunderstanding. Translators know the function (car rental, safety) but lack the correct equivalents. Errors often stem from branding priorities and/or lack of linguistic review. This leads to semantic distortion and cultural mismatch. Promotional signs (i) downloads) show semantic mismatches, often due to literal translation.

# 3.12 Error Gravity in Bilingual Linguistic Landscape Translation: Which Errors Matter Most?

The types of linguistic and translation errors in the Arabic-Englis and English-Arabic LLs in the sample indicate that not all translation errors are equally serious. The semantic and functional inconsistencies are not random; they are patterned and explainable. Error gravity depends on (i) the purpose of the sign (safety vs. advertising); (ii) the origin of the sign (top-down vs. bottom-up); and (iii) their impact on comprehension, safety, or public image. Error gravity can be high, moderate and low. High-gravity errors include semantic errors that are the most serious errors especially in institutional, Instructional, and procedural signs, as they distort or obscure the intended meaning of the LL. They are especially critical in regulatory and safety LLs, where misinterpretation can lead to confusion or harm (No Entry > مر ممنوع (misleading), Muster Point > السمبلي بواننت (functionally opaque); or when the translation fails to convey the intended communicative function of the LL (Literature only > القطاوعات (interpreted as a genre label), Care For Life > نهتم بالحياة (a vague slogan). Examples of moderate-gravity errors are

grammatical errors that occur in top-down, formal contexts, informational, and directional signs and affect the credibility and clarity of the LL, particularly in top-down contexts where formal correctness is expected (الغير مصرح لهم) & "Quat altariq rent a cars" (plural error). Syntactic Errors disrupt sentence structure and may obstruct comprehension, especially for non-native readers. Low-Gravity errors are orthographic errors that involve spelling, spacing, or capitalization issues in bottom-up, commercial signs Brand names, and informal signs. They rarely block comprehension but reduce the sign's professionalism (Ciub street instead of Club Street & Par King instead of Parking. Some transliteration errors occur when the translator lacks the correct equivalent and resorts to phonetic translcription. While acceptable in brand names, they are problematic in functional or safety contexts (السمبلي بواننت) for "Emergency Assembly Point & فيول for (Fuel).

## 4. Discussion

Findings of the current study are consistent with findings of prior foreign and Arabic studies on linguistic landscapes and shop signs in some Arab countries. The current study found high error rates in the translation of Arabic LLs to English and English LLs to Arabic. Top-down LLs were significantly more accurate than bottom-up LLs in both datasets. Studies by Guo (2012) and Mohebbi & Firoozkohi (2021) reported similar high error rates in public signs, especially in bottom-up contexts. Gu & Almanna (2024) found that institutional signs in Dubai were more accurate due to professional translation, while commercial signs often relied on transliteration or informal translation. Likewise, Finzel (2012) and Briki & Chebli (2022) noted that top-down signage tends to be more linguistically accurate due to regulatory oversight.

Regarding error types, this study reported that semantic errors, syntactic and grammatical errors, transliteration and literal word-for-word translation were the most common in LLs in the sample. Other studies in the literature as Alotaibi & Alamri (2022) and Al-Athwary (2014) observed widespread transliteration in Saudi Arabian and Yemeni shop signs, often resulting in unintelligible English. Amenador & Wang (2020) and Shi (2014) emphasized semantic and syntactic errors in Chinese-English signs due to literal translation and lack of functional equivalence. Al-Kharabsheh et al. (2008) and Retno Wulandari (2011) documented humorous or confusing translations caused by syntactic and lexical mismatches.

Thirdly, the current study demonstrated that top-down LLs (official, institutional, or government-produced) constituted 54% of the Arabic-English sample, compared to 46% of the English-Arabic sample. On the contrary, bottom-up LLs (businesses, or communities) constituted 41% of the Arabic-English LL sample, compared to 59% of the English-Arabic sample. About half of the Arabic-English and English-Arabic LL is commercial/promotional (52% & 58% respectively), followed by directional geographic (10% & 13%), and safety/regulatory (5% & 13%). Directional, safety, regulatory and institutional signs were more accurate, whereas promotional signs were the most common and most error-prone in both datasets whereas. These findings are partially consistent with findings of prior studies. Briki & Chebli (2022) and Alomoush (2015) highlighted the creative, multilingual nature of bottom-up signage, often at the expense of linguistic accuracy. Gu & Almanna (2024) and Song (2020) noted that top-down signs are more standardized and regulated, while bottom-up signs reflect market-driven translanguaging. Ong et al. (2013) described hybrid forms like "Frenglish" in Singaporean shop signs. Arabinglish. Kourdis (2009) and Zaini et al. (2021) found that advertising signs often prioritize creativity over clarity, leading to semantic distortion. Parthama et al. (2018) and Zhao & Zou (2019) reported that instructional and regulatory signs tend to be more accurate due to their functional necessity. Mehria et al. (2019) observed that commercial signs often mix scripts and languages, resulting in hybrid forms that confuse rather than clarify.

Moreover, religious and culturally significant signs were more accurately translated, especially in Arabic-English LLs. Some LLs used creative or poetic language in translation. In this respect, Al-Athwary (2017) and Alomoush (2021) concluded that signs reflect local identity, often blending Arabic and English in novel ways. Abdulwahid (2017) and Al-Sofi et al. (2025) emphasized the role of cultural sensitivity in bilingual signage in Saudi Arabia. Xiaoqiong (2016) and Radtke & Yuan (2011) discussed how cultural values shape translation choices, sometimes leading to indirect or metaphorical renderings.

As for the factors contributing to translation accuracy of the LLs in the current study, they can be summarized in the following: The DIY (Do It Yourself) strategy which refers to translations that are created by non-professionals (shop owners, employees, or individuals without formal training or institutional oversight). DIY translators may lack access to bilingual dictionaries, standardized terminology, or professional editing. They are unregulated and improvised, often relying on intuition, phonetic spelling or machine translation, or Al. They tend to be faulty, especially in grammar, semantics, and cultural appropriateness. DIY translations often result in transliteration (اسمبلي بواننت), partial translation (WARNING ... electricity), or awkward phrasing (Par King), stacked errors where a single phrase may have grammatical, lexical, and semantic errors simultaneously). Certain errors occur, not due to ambiguity or complexity, but due to lack of linguistic competence or resources. Complex source phrases and long or technical phrases in LL as (Baby Bassinet to be Removed and Stowed for Taxi, Take Off, Landing and Turbulent Weather which is translated as "سرير الأطفال وتخزينه في "ه تستعمل حمالة الأطفال اثناء الإقلاع والهبوط والطقس "المضطرب (المطبات الهوائية) are more prone to multiple errors because

of incorrect word choice, misinterpretation of meaning, poor sentence structure, and failure to match the communicative function of the LL. Cultural and linguistic mismatch in some English phrases containing idioms or metaphors do not translate directly (*Live Station*, تنزيلات, slow down, care for life). Arabic equivalents often miss the connotative meaning, resulting in semantic and conceptual errors. Lack of standardized glossaries. Translators may invent or approximate terms, leading to multiple layered errors. Dependence of literal, word-for-word translation causes most errors (lexical, syntactic, pragmatic).

The factors contributing to errors in LL translation are similar to those reported by a number of prior studies. socio-political neglect, colonial language hierarchies and lack of standardization (Briki & Chebli, 2022); ad-hoc translation practices, overreliance on machine translation, lack of cultural awareness, and unqualified translators (Ahmed Al-Sofi et al., 2025); low translator training, direct calquing from Arabic without cultural adaptation, lack of bilingual style guides and ad-hoc translations (Al-Kharabsheh, 2008; Al-Kharabsheh et al., 2008); informal adaptation of foreign words, lack of standard transliteration and globalization pressures (Mehria et al., 2019); prestige of English leading to hybrid forms and, hybridization trends (Qudeisat & Rababah, 2021); unqualified translators, translator incompetence, carelessness, and socio-cultural interference between Kurdish, Arabic, and English and cultural dissonance in translations (Abdulwahid, 2017); informal translation practices, low translator proficiency, ad-hoc practices, low translator proficiency and informal translation practices (Al-Athwary, 2014 & 2017); limited language proficiency of business owners (Amer & Obeidat, 2014); top-down policy vs. street-level practices, and pragmatic failures (e.g., culturally inappropriate phrasing) (Farran & Hortobágyi, 2020); and global branding pressures over linguistic accuracy (Alotaibi & Alamri, 2022; Gu & Almanna, 2024).

Furthermore, the high percentage of transliterated words, use of English words but in Arabic script, in the LLs in the current study reflects Arab preference for prestige and global appeal, brand recognition, cultural positioning as a marketing strategy and to balance local identity with global appeal. Some business owners feel that English conveys modernity, luxury, and cosmopolitanism, especially in Riyadh. For example, international hotel chains maintain their English names for brand consistency and global recognition. Even when Arabic elements are added (e.g., *Dar Al-Eman Intercontinental*), the English brand remains prominent. In Makkah and Madinah, Arabic names are often spiritual or historical (e.g., *Al-Furqan, Al-Hijra*), but in Riyadh, English or hybrid names dominate, reflecting a shift toward secular, commercial branding. Hotel owners perceive English as more marketable to local elites and international tourists. Names like *Plaza, Palace, Tower*, and *Royale* are added in hotel names to evoke grandeur and sophistication. Many hotels combine Arabic and English elements (e.g., *Al-Fahd Crown, Coral Al-Hamra*) to balance local identity with global appeal (Al-Jarf, 2021a; Al-Jarf, 2016; Al-Jarf, 2011a; Al-Jarf, 2011b; Al-Jarf, 2008; Al-Jarf, 2005).

# 5. Recommendations

To improve the linguistic and translation accuracy of Arabic-English and English-Arabic LLs, prior studies recommended proofreading for clarity, bilingual style guides and professionalization of translation services (Al-Kharabsheh, 2008); municipal review processes (Abdulwahid, 2017); professional translator involvement (Al-Athwary, 2014 & 2017); standardization frameworks (Ahmed Al-Sofi et al., 2025); standardized transliteration (Mehria et al., 2019); translation training for businesses and local-global identity balance (Qudeisat & Rababah, 2021); cultural-linguistic alignment and stressing brand identity in translations (Alotaibi & Alamri, 2022); localization to reduce errors (Farran & Hortobágyi 2020); and native language representation (Briki & Chebli, 2022).

Additionally, the dilemma of linguistic accuracy, functional clarity, and cultural adaptation requires standardized bilingual signage policies, especially in public safety and institutional contexts, avoiding literal translations, adapting idioms and concepts culturally (Slow Down> خفف السرعة), reviewing grammatical consistency to ensure Arabic gender and number agreements, adapting word order in bottom-up LLs especially in compounds to match the natural Arabic structure (فوكس سينما أوكس), limiting transliteration by demanding the use of native Arabic terms where possible (سينما فوكس), verifying translations against actual usage by native speakers in daily communication (Prayer Lounge should include المصلى المصلى المصلى standardizing translations in to-down LLs for consistency (e.g., government-approved glossaries), using standardized Arabic equivalents and requiring translators to use official, institutionally approved equivalents (Assembly Point > نقطة تجمع (نقطة تجمع skopos, equivalence are recommended for clarity, human review and post-editing of machine and Al translations, and cultural substitution in which culturally specific references are replaced with locally meaningful equivalents for enhancing cultural accessibility together with standardization and professional training.

Furthermore, Al-Jarf (2024c) recommended the transliteration of international brand names (*Debenhams, Samsung*), but translating generic or descriptive names (*Dr Nutrition* > دكتور التغذية); using partial translation for compound names (*Caribou Café* > مقهى كاريبو); ensuring consistency in transliteration across all shop branches; correcting word order in Arabic compounds; transcribing vowels, diphthongs, and consonants accurately. In another study, Al-Jarf (2023d) advised LL translators to avoid

incongruous compound elements (*Operation Falafel*); correct word order and definite article usage in Arabic signs, avoid unnatural blending and splitting of compound name (أكس و ريز for Accessories), abstain from adding English plural markers to Arabic words (ملهمز), and using judgment tests with native speakers to assess intelligibility and acceptability of the translation. In a third study, Al-Jarf (2022a) suggested policies as avoiding inaccurate vowel and consonant transliterations. Transliterations should not be based on English pronunciation, if the name is French, Italian, etc. they should avoid inconsistent spellings of the same name across locations, ensure grapheme sequences fit Arabic phonology and orthography, and use decoding tests to assess intelligibility among Arabic speakers who are unfamiliar with English. Additionally, Al-Jarf (2022b) proposed strategies like educating shop owners on the value of Arabic equivalents, encouraging translation of foreign shop names where appropriate, addressing sociocultural and promotional biases that favor English, and developing naming policies to protect Arabic language visibility.

Finally, this study advocates promoting awareness of the impact of LLs among stakeholders and how LLs shape perceptions of professionalism, safety, inclusivity and highlighting examples of translation errors that lead to confusion or reputational harm. Applied linguistics researchers can be involved in evaluating and improving LLs, using real-world LL data, as in the current study, to inform policy and curriculum development. To implement quality control in public LLs translation. Municipalities and institutions should review and approve translations before installing and introducing a "linguistic audit" process for public-facing LLs in public areas. Machine translation should be used with human post-editing. Community workshops on basic translation principles and common LL linguistic and translation pitfalls can be conducted to train business owners and staff. Quick-reference glossaries for sectors like food, retail, and hospitality can be provided. Partnerships between municipalities and translation departments at local universities should be promoted. Incentives or subsidies for small businesses should be offered in order to encourage the use of professional translators. A bilingual LLs manual with standardized translations of common terms and phrases should be created and cultural notes and examples of correct vs. incorrect translations can be included. Implementing these strategies fully and gradually will improve the translation accuracy of LLs from English to Arabic and Arabic to English in the future.

Conflicts of Interest: The author declares no conflict of interest.

**ORCID ID:** https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6255-1305

**Publisher's Note:** All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, editors and reviewers.

## References

- [1] Al-Jarf, R. (2024a). Definite or indefinite? The case of Arabic product names as judged by student translators. International Journal of Linguistics, Literature and Translation, 7(3), 83-92. DOI: 10.32996/ijllt.2024.7.3.10. Google Scholar
- [2] Al-Jarf, R. (2024b). English Language Representation in Korean Linguistic Landscapes. International *Journal of Asian and African Studies*, 3(2), 01-10. DOI: <a href="https://doi.org/10.32996/ijaas.2024.3.2.1">https://doi.org/10.32996/ijaas.2024.3.2.1</a>
- [3] Al-Jarf, R. (2024c). To translate or not to translate: The case of arabic and foreign shop names in Saudi Arabia. *International Journal of Translation and Interpretation Studies*, 4(1), 33-40. DOI: 10.32996/ijtis.2024.4.1.5. Google Scholar
- [4] Al-Jarf, R. (2023a). Absence of vowels in the English spelling of Arabic personal names on social media. *International Journal of English Language Studies (IJELS)*, 5(4), 88-97. Doi: 10.32996/ijels.2023.5.4.7. ERIC ED633828. Googe Scholar
- [5] Al-Jarf, R. (2023b). English spelling of Arabic compound personal names by educated Arabs on Facebook. *Journal of Humanities and Social Sciences Studies (JHSCS)*, *5*(1), 53-64. DOI: 10.32996/jhsss.2023.5.1.8. <u>Google Scholar</u>
- [6] Al-Jarf, R. (2023c). English spelling of the glottal stop and voiced pharyngeal fricative in Arabic personal names by educated Arabs on Facebook. *International Journal of English Language Studies*, 5(1), 11-22. <a href="https://doi.org/10.32996/ijels.2023.5.1.2">https://doi.org/10.32996/ijels.2023.5.1.2</a>. Google Scholar
- [7] Al-Jarf, R. (2023d). Semantic and syntactic anomalies of Arabic-transliterated compound shop names in Saudi Arabia. International Journal of Arts and Humanities Studies (IJAHS), 3(1), 1-8. DOI: 10.32996/ljahs.2023.3.1.1. Google Scholar
- [8] Al-Jarf, R. (2023e). The interchange of personal names in Muslim communities: An onomastic study. *Journal of Gender, Culture and Literature, 3*(1), 42-56. DOI: 10.32996/jgcs.2023.3.1.5. <u>Google scholar</u>
- [9] Al-Jarf, R. (2022a). Deviant Arabic transliterations of foreign shop names in Saudi Arabia and decoding problems among shoppers. *International Journal of Asian and African Studies*, 1(1), 17-30. DOI: 10.32996/ijaas.2022.1.1.3. <u>Google Scholar</u>
- [10] Al-Jarf, R. (2022b). Dominance of foreign shop names over Arabic names in Saudi Arabia: Promotional, sociocultural and globalization issues. *International Journal of Middle Eastern Research (IJMER), 1(*1), 23-33. DOI: 10.32996/ijmer.2022.1.1.5. Google Scholar
- [11] Al-Jarf, R. (2022c). English transliteration of Arabic personal names with the definite article /al/ on Facebook. *British Journal of Applied Linguistics (BJAL)*, 2(2), 23-37. DOI: 10.31926/but.pcs.2022.64.15.2.2. Google Scholar

- [12] Al-Jarf, R. (2022d). Gemination Errors in Arabic-English Transliteration of Personal Names on Facebook. *International Journal of Linquistics Studies (IJLS)*, 2(2),163-170. DOI: 10.32996/ijls.2022.2.2.18. Google Scholar
- [13] Al-Jarf, R. (2022e). Proper noun pronunciation inaccuracies in English by Educated Arabic speakers. *British Journal of Applied Linguistics (BJAL), 4*(1), 14-21. <a href="https://doi.org/10.32996/bjal.2022.2.1.3">https://doi.org/10.32996/bjal.2022.2.1.3</a>. **ERIC** ED619388. <a href="https://doi.org/10.32996/bjal.2022.2.1.3">Google Scholar</a>
- [14] Al-Jarf, R. (2022f). Student-interpreters' foreign proper noun pronunciation errors in English-Arabic and Arabic-English media discourse interpreting. International Journal of Translation and Interpretation Studies (IJTIS), 2(1), 80-90. Doi: 10.32996%2Fijtis.2022.2.1.11. ERIC ED619940. Google Scholar
- [15] Al-Jarf, R. (2022g). Variant transliterations of the same Arabic personal names on Facebook. *International Journal of English Language Studies (IJELS)*, *4*(4), 79-90. DOI: 10.32996/ijels.2022.4.4.11. Google Scholar
- [16] Al-Jarf, R. (2021a). Linguistic-cultural characteristics of hotel names in Saudi Arabia: The Case of Makkah, Madinah and Riyadh Hotels. International Journal of Linguistics, Literature and Translation (IJLLT), 4, 8, 160-170. DOI: 10.32996/ijllt.2021.4.8.23. Google Scholar
- [17] Al-Jarf, R. (2021b). Teaching English with linguistic landscapes to Saudi students studying abroad. Asian Journal of Language, literature and Culture Studies (AJL2CS), 4(3), 1-12. ERIC ED619894. Google Scholar
- [18] Al-Jarf, R. (2016). Arab Preference for foreign words over Arabic equivalents. *ALATOO Academic Studies, 1,* 158-162. ERIC ED613055. <u>Google Scholar</u>
- [19] Al-Jarf, R. (2011a). Dominance of foreign words over Arabic equivalents in educated Arab speech. International Conference on Translation and Asian Studies. The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong. April 28-29. **ERIC** ED614058. <u>Google</u> Scholar
- [20] Al-Jarf, R. (2011b). The language of adult social networks. Topics in Linguistics, 8, 48-51. Google Scholar
- [21] Al-Jarf, R, (2008). Impact of English as an international language (EIL) upon Arabic in Saudi Arabia. *Asian EFL Journal*, 10(4), 193-210. Google Scholar
- [22] Al-Jarf, R. (2005). The power of the English language in the past, present and future. King Khaled University, Abha, KSA. Google Scholar
- [23] Abdulwahid, H. (2017). Linguistic errors in shop signs in Erbil city. Cihan University-Erbil Scientific Journal, 1(2), 264-278.
- [24] Ahmed Al-Sofi, B., Hazaea, A., & Alfaifi, A. (2025). Linguistic and translational errors on bilingual public signs in the Saudi southern region: A linguistic landscape study. *Open Cultural Studies*, 9(1), 20240035.
- [25] Al-Athwary, A. (2014). Translating shop signs into English in Sana'a's streets: A linguistic analysis. *International Journal of Humanities and Social Science*, *4*(12), 140-156.
- [26] Al-Athwary, A. (2017). English and Arabic inscriptions in the linguistic landscape of Yemen: A multilingual writing approach. *International Journal of Applied Linguistics and English Literature*, 6(4), 149-162.
- [27] Al-Kharabsheh, A. (2008). Unintentional humour in the translation of Jordanian shop signs. *Journal of Intercultural Communication*, 17, 1-21.
- [28] Al-Kharabsheh, A., Al-Azzam, B. & Obeidat, M. (2008). Lost in translation: shop signs in Jordan. Meta, 53(3), 717-727.
- [29] Almathkuri, J. (2023). Foreign language influence: A case study of English on shop signs in Taif city of Saudi Arabia. *International Journal of English Linguistics*, *13*(1), 105-115.
- [30] Alomoush, O. (2015). *Multilingualism in the linguistic landscape of urban Jordan*. Doctoral Dissertation. University of Liverpool, UK.
- [31] Alomoush, O. (2021). Arabinglish in multilingual advertising: Novel creative and innovative Arabic-English mixing practices in the Jordanian linguistic landscape. *International Journal of Multilingualism*, 20(2), 270-289.
- [32] Alotaibi, W. & Alamri, O. (2022). Linguistic landscape of bilingual shop signs in Saudi Arabia. *Arab World English Journal* (AWEI), 13, 426-449.
- [33] Amenador, K. & Wang, Z. (2020). Analysis of the Chinese-English translation of public signs: A functional theory perspective. *International Journal of Linguistics, Literature and Translation*, *3*(7), 176-188.
- [34] Amer, F. & Obeidat, R. (2014). Linguistic landscape: A case study of shop signs in Aqaba City, Jordan. *Asian Social Science*, 10(18), 246-252. DOI: 10.5539/ass.v10n18p246.
- [35] Ariani, N. & Artawa, K. (2022). The application of translation procedures in translating five public signs in Ubud. *Journal of Language Teaching and Research*, 13(2), 425-434.
- [36] Briki, F. & Chebli, R. (2022). A geosemiotic analysis of multilingual shop signs in Tizi Ouzou town center. Doctoral Dissertation. Mouloud Mammeri University OF Tizi-Ouzou, Algeria.
- [37] Farran, B. & Hortobágyi, I. (2020). The linguistic landscape of Ramallah, Palestine: A case study of analysing the dominance of English vs. Arabic as L1 in the main street of the city.
- [38] Finzel, A. (2012). English in the linguistic landscape of Hong Kong: A case study of shop signs and linguistic competence.
- [39] Gu, C., & Almanna, A. (2024). Transl [iter] ating Dubai's linguistic landscape: A bilingual translation perspective between English and Arabic against a backdrop of globalisation. *Applied Linguistics Review*, *15*(5), 2195-2225.
- [40] Guo, M. (2012). Analysis on the English-translation Errors of Public Signs. Theory & Practice in Language Studies, 2(6).

- [41] Hojati, A. (2013). A study of errors in bilingual road, street and shop signs in Iran. *Mediterranean Journal of Social Sciences*, 4 607-611
- [42] Kourdis, E. (2009). The semiotic role of translation in advertising. The case of the bilingual advertisement from a comparative aspect. *International Journal of Translation and Interpreting*, 7, 75-83.
- [43] Mehria, A., Bedlaoui, I., & OMARI, M. (2019). *Arabization of foreign words: A case study of shop signs and restaurant Menus in Adrar*. Doctoral dissertation. Ahmed Draia University-Adrar, Algeria.
- [44] Mohebbi, Ahmadreza & Firoozkohi, A. H. (2021). A typological investigation of errors in the use of English in the bilingual and multilingual linguistic landscape of Tehran. *International Journal of Multilingualism*, v18 n1 p24-40.
- [45] Parthama, I., Setianingsih, N. & Ediwan, I. (2018). Determining Problems in Bilingual Public Information Signs. Udayana Journal of Social Sciencec and Humanities, 126-129. DOI: <a href="https://doi.org/10.24843/UJoSSH.2018.v02.i02">https://doi.org/10.24843/UJoSSH.2018.v02.i02</a>.
- [46] Qudeisat, L. & Rababah, L. (2021). A sign is worth a thousand words: Jordanian shop signs in various languages. *Jadara Journal for Studies and Research*, 7(1), 5-16.
- [47] Radtke, O., & Yuan, X. (2011). 'Please don't climb trees and pick flowers for the sake of life'—making sense of bilingual tourism signs in China. *Language and Intercultural Communication*, 11(4), 389-407.
- [48] Retno Wulandari, S. (2011). Translation ignorance: a case study of bilingual signs. International Seminar Language Maintenance and Shift. ISSN: 2088-6799, 2 Juli 2011, Hotel Pandanaran Semarang.
- [49] Sabri, N., Yusof, N. H., Kasiran, Z., & Mangshor, N. (2017). Text Localisation for Roman Words from Shop Signage. Scientific Research Journal, 14(2), 49-62.
- [50] Shi, X. (2014). Chinese-English Translation Strategies of Public Signs Based on Functional Equivalence Theory. Journal of Language Teaching & Research, 5(4).
- [51] Song, G. (2020). Conflicts and complexities: A study of Hong Kong's bilingual street signs from functional perspective on translation. *Journal of Multilingual and Multicultural Development*, *41*(10), 886-898.
- [52] Tan, L. (2021). Analysis on the translation of public signs in Nanning's Shops. *Frontiers in Humanities and Social Sciences*, 1(3), 1-8.
- [53] Tsujii, J. & Fujita, K. (1991, April). Lexical transfer based on bilingual signs: Towards interaction during transfer. In *Fifth Conference of the European Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics*.
- [54] Xiaoqiong, B. (2016). Keep off the grass? No way: The use of indirect translation for public signs will never result in 'an attractive linguistic landscape' unless it promotes and spreads the cultural values of the literal translation. *English Today*, 32(1), 21-27.
- [55] Zaini, M. H., Razali, A., Mohd Sahidol, J. N., & Ariffin, K. (2021). Preferred language in business: An analysis of shop signs in Kuantan City, Malaysia. *The International Journal of Academic Research in Business & Social Sciences*, 11(10).
- [56] Zhao, J. & Zou, L. (2019). The research on the standardization of bilingual signs in public service areas from the perspective of Skopos theory--A case study of English translation of scenic areas and spots in Zhangjiajie. *World Scientific Research Journal*, *5*(11), 212-220.