International Journal of Linguistics, Literature and Translation
ISSN: 2617-0299 (Online); ISSN: 2708-0099 (Print)
DOI: 10.32996/ijllt
Journal Homepage: www.al-kindipublisher.com/index.php/ijllt

IJLLT
AL-KINDI CENTER FOR RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT

| RESEARCH ARTICLE

Estranged Bodies, Alienated Minds: Grotesque Aesthetics and Resistance in Sylvia Plath's *The Bell Jar*

Simona Porro
Assistant professor in American literature/Dipartimento di Formazione, Lingue, Intercultura, Letterature e Psicologia (FORLILPSI), Università di Firenze, Italy
Corresponding Author: Simona Porro, **E-mail**: simonaagnese.porro@unifi.it

| ABSTRACT
This article examines *The Bell Jar* (1963) through the complementary frameworks of Wolfgang Kayser's and Mikhail Bakhtin's theories of the grotesque, arguing that Sylvia Plath's novel deploys this aesthetic mode to interrogate cultural ideals of femininity, normalcy, and progress in 1950s America. While critics have often approached *The Bell Jar* primarily as a psychological or autobiographical document, this study foregrounds its deliberate aesthetic construction, showing how Plath uses grotesque imagery to render the familiar strange and to anchor existential alienation within the material body. Kayser's conception of the grotesque, centered on estrangement and the uncanny, illuminates Esther Greenwood's perception of the world as distorted, repellent, and hostile. Her experiences under "the bell jar" exemplify the dissolution of boundaries between self and environment, producing a pervasive sense of disorientation and unreality. Bakhtin's notion of the grotesque body, by contrast, emphasizes embodiment, vulnerability, and the cyclical processes of life and death, situating Esther's crisis within a collective, corporeal dimension she simultaneously rejects and cannot escape. The novel's grotesque episodes function as key sites where these two traditions intersect. Each moment stages a confrontation between the alienation of consciousness and the unruly materiality of the body, exposing the violence implicit in midcentury cultural narratives of science, sexuality, and domesticity. Through this double lens, Plath's grotesque emerges as both psychological and political: a strategy of defamiliarization that unveils the brutality underlying sentimental ideals of womanhood. Esther's revulsion toward the bodily and the banal becomes a form of resistance – an instinctive refusal to conform to the image of the "perfect woman" upheld by patriarchal ideology. In mobilizing both Kayser's and Bakhtin's versions of the grotesque, *The Bell Jar t*ransforms the ordinary into the uncanny, revealing beneath the surface of postwar optimism a world of distortion, decay, and existential disgust.

| KEYWORDS

Sylvia Plath; *The Bell Jar*; grotesque; Wolfgang Kayser; Mikhail Bakhtin

| ARTICLE INFORMATION

ACCEPTED: 20 November 2024 **PUBLISHED:** 30 November 2025 **DOI:** 10.32996/ijllt.2025.8.11.1

1. Introduction

The Bell Jar, Sylvia Plath's only novel, an autobiographical work based on the experiences that culminated in her first suicide attempt a decade earlier, was published in the United Kingdom in January 1963 – having been turned down by American publishers – under the pseudonym "Victoria Lucas" (Hall 1978, p. 24), only weeks before the author's premature death at the age of thirty. The novel received "middling reviews" (Gould 2011). It was republished in 1971 in the United States under Plath's own name and quickly became a bestseller, attracting readers eager to explore the writing of an author previously known primarily as a poet (Gould 2011). Yet much of the attention it received stemmed less from Plath's literary achievement than from the tragic circumstances of her recent demise (Ghandeharion, Bozorgian, Sabbagh 2016, p. 64). The novel's reputation is, in fact, inseparable from the existential trajectory of its suicidal author, who – much against her will – has thus been elevated to the status of a cult figure.

Accordingly, scholars – even renowned literary critics – have approached Plath's novel as a case study, focusing on the dynamics of mental illness within the so-called "tranquilized Fifties" in the United States rather than on the aesthetic value of her writing. Alfred Kazin, for instance, explicitly characterizes her as "a case rather than a writer" (1973, p. 184). Analogously, Ronald W. Maris and A. Alvarez approach Sylvia Plath's work by closely linking it to her personal life, often blurring the line between biography and fiction. Maris, in *Pathways to Suicide*, treats Plath's life – through her poetry and novel – as a case study of deviant behavior, without differentiating between the events of her life and the fictional characters she creates (1981, p. 125-130). Similarly, A. Alvarez, in *The Savage God*, interprets Plath's work through the theme of suicide, portraying her as a poet who, in full freedom of choice, embraced death and madness as her personal poetic territory. Alvarez suggests that Plath's demise was a form of "poetic justice" resulting from her artistic *hybris* (qtd. in Schwartz and Bollas 1976, p. 147). Jon Rosenblatt, in *Sylvia Plath: The Poetry of Initiation*, summarizes Alvarez's view as presenting Plath as a romanticized figure who heroically pursues the sources of her own inner torment, following Robert Lowell's example in *Life Studies* (1959), until she is ultimately destroyed by her quest for self-knowledge. Rosenblatt critiques this perspective as overly idealized, arguing that Alvarez's interpretation reflects a desire to see art as inherently self-destructive rather than a careful reading of Plath's work (1979, pp. 10-11). In essence, Alvarez casts Plath as a kind of poetic martyr, emphasizing her self-destruction as an artistic and existential statement. This portrayal is challenged in *The Absence at the Center: Sylvia Plath and Suicide* by Murray Schwartz and Christopher Bollas, who assert that, for confessional poets like Plath, the attempt to separate the literary work from the author's biography is misguided. They argue, instead, that the content of Plath's poems is directly expressive of her psychological reality, making her life and art inseparable. Their essay uses psychoanalytic theory to explore how Plath's personal trauma – particularly the void left by her father's early death – becomes the central organizing force, or "absence at the center," of both her life and her poetic work (1976, p. 147-148). An analogous position is articulated by Martha Duffy, who posits a direct link between the author's life and that of Esther Greenwood, interpreting the psychological pathology of both Plath and her protagonist as stemming from their inability to come to terms with their fathers' deaths (1971, p. 38B). [1]

In the case of *The Bell Jar*, the prevalence of this biographical and clinical line of research has often overshadowed the novel's artistic merit. Far from being a mere psychological record, in fact, Plath's book shows sophisticated literary craft: she cleverly manipulates the narrative voice, blends surreal and grotesque imagery, and employs symbolic themes of corporeal distortion and vulnerability. These elements do more than depict the psychological decline of the protagonist, Esther Greenwood – they constitute an intentional aesthetic strategy that renders the familiar strange and locates alienation within the material body. In this light, the present article proposes a reading of *The Bell Jar* through the complementary frameworks of Wolfgang Kayser and Mikhail Bakhtin's theories of the grotesque.

2. The Grotesque

Although the grotesque is an aesthetic mode that recurs across literary traditions, it has acquired great resonance in American culture. As James Goodwin observes, Flannery O'Connor's remark in the 1950s that a serious writer of the grotesque faces the difficulty of "finding something that is not grotesque" (O'Connor 1969 p. 33, qtd. in Goodwin, p. 1) within American mass culture feels "incalculably truer today" (Goodwin 2009, p. 1). Yet despite its pervasiveness, the grotesque resists a stable definition, a phenomenon that has fueled ongoing scholarly debate.

Geoffrey Harpham underscores this difficulty by noting that the grotesque has come to encompass "a dizzying variety of possibilities: the decadent, the baroque, the metaphysical, the absurd, the surreal, the primitive, irony, satire, caricature, parody, the feast of fools, carnival, the dance of death – all tributary ideas funneling into a center at once infinitely accessible and infinitely obscure" (1982, p. xv). As Harpham puts it, in the face of such plurality, "grotesqueries both require and defeat definition: they are neither so regular and rhythmical that they settle easily into our categories, nor so unprecedented that we do not recognize them at all" (1982, p. 3). In this context, a paradoxical situation arises: while the grotesque eludes precise categorization, it nonetheless remains recognizable through recurring themes and forms. In this light, it is no wonder that some lexicons, such as the *Routledge Dictionary of Literary Terms*, have tried to break down the concept into a simple principle, according to which the grotesque "usually presents the human figure in an exaggerated and distorted way" (Childs & Fowler 2006, p.101). This definition, though oversimplified, highlights a recurring feature in grotesque representation.

Arthur Clayborough, in *The Grotesque in English Literature*, approaches the problem historically by tracing the semantic evolution of the term. First applied in the sixteenth century to describe Roman murals that combined human, animal, and floral motifs – most famously in Nero's *Domus Aurea* – the word derived from the French *grottes*, meaning "caves." By the eighteenth century, its English usage had shifted to denote "an absurdity, a distortion of nature" as a noun and "the ridiculous, distorted, unnatural" as an adjective (1967, p. 6). For Clayborough, the grotesque has always denoted what is "not congruous with ordinary experience" (1967, p. 12). Originating in an artistic mode that unsettled natural order, the grotesque came to signify not only the strange but the "abidingly strange" (1967, p. 12), a quality that persists in both literary and visual representations.

1 See Carona, C., & Atanázio, P. (2025); Panelatti, A. F., Ponterotto, J. G., & Fouché, P. J. P. (2021); Hunt, D., & Carter, R. (2012); Boyer, M. (2004).

Wolfgang Kayser's influential study *The Grotesque in Art and Literature* further develops the category by treating it as a psychological rather than a merely formal phenomenon. For Kayser, the grotesque addresses the human experience of estrangement, in which the familiar world becomes threatening and unstable – an aesthetic that parallels Freud's notion of the uncanny (*das Unheimliche*). Thus, the grotesque dramatizes a "discrepancy between world and Self" (1963, p. 147), producing an unsettling sense of dislocation. Characters within grotesque narratives frequently embody this condition, locked in conflict with environments that render the ordinary strange and the stable precarious. Kayser also identifies recurring motifs and strategies that give the grotesque its recognizable form: the doppelgänger, masks, hybrid creatures, madness. Such strategies involve "the fusion of different realms, the coexistence of the beautiful, bizarre, ghastly, and repulsive elements, the merger of the parts into a turbulent whole, the withdrawal into a phantasmagoric and nocturnal world" (1963, p. 79). As Kayser argues, the grotesque operates with the "explosive force of the paradoxical, (1963, p. 53)" unsettling familiar categories and confronting readers with the instability of both art and life.

While Kayser's definition of the grotesque focuses on the mind, Mikhail Bakhtin proposes a contrasting perspective centered on the body. In his extensive study of Rabelais's *Gargantua*, he, in fact, states that "at the basis of grotesque imagery" can be found a "special concept of the body as a whole and of the limits of this whole" (1984, p. 315). More in detail, his reading of Rabelais's sixteenth-century work addresses the body as a collection of anatomical parts, with a focus on orifices. Within this framework, they, in fact, assume a major symbolic role as sites of ambiguity; "all these convexities and orifices have a common characteristic: it is within them that the confines between bodies and between the body and the world are overcome; there is an interchange and an inter-orientation" (1984, p. 317). In Bakhtin's view, the surrounding context proves secondary, because the grotesque body defies wholeness, disassembling into mouth, eyes, genitals, and anus – with each fragment becoming an autonomous site of signification and exchange with the world: "Actually, if we consider the grotesque image in its extreme aspect, it never presents an individual body; the image consists of orifices and convexities that present another, newly conceived body. It is a point of transition in a life eternally renewed – the inexhaustible vessel of death and conception" (1984, p. 318). In other terms, Bakhtin's vision of the grotesque often depicts a body that is, either figuratively or literally, dismembered or decaying.

3. Versions of the Grotesque in *The Bell Jar*

As Wolfgang Kayser argues in *The Grotesque in Art and Literature*, the grotesque expresses a radical disorientation, in which the ordinary breaks from its natural order and transforms into something strange. Such phenomenon characterizes Sylvia Plath's *The Bell Jar,* which focuses on college student and aspiring writer Esther Greenwood's progressive descent into mental pathology. The central image of the novel – the bell jar, with its insidious transparency – functions as a powerful metaphor for Esther's psychological breakdown: seeing the environment through a distorting lens, she finds reality odd and intensely unattractive. The bell jar's precipitous fall further captures the unexplainable nature of Kayser's grotesque – an abrupt passage through which the familiar world becomes, knowingly but perversely, 'other'. Put differently, reality remains visible but warped, familiar but horribly alien. This syndrome appears in the book when Esther's distorted vision, tainted by depression and suicidal tendencies, makes the world around her into a series of macabre spectacles. In doing so, her eyes destroy the comforting narratives of her time, uncovering the dirt behind the veneer of the process of cultural idealization characteristic of the 1950s, the decade of the book's setting. As is well known, the social values of the time were deeply traditional, confining women to the domestic sphere not only in practice but also in thought. They were expected to conform to rigidly defined roles in service to men – roles closely tied to idealized notions of motherhood and femininity. For instance, Paula Bennett, drawing on Betty Friedan's *The Feminine Mystique*, notes that in the 1950s "fulfillment as a woman had only one definition… the housewife-mother" (Friedan 1963, p. 7, qtd. in Bennett 1990, p. 101). While men were associated with authority and encouraged to participate in public life, women were reduced to symbols of "physical charm… cosmetics, adornments, and dresses" (Bennett 1990, p. 102).

3.1 The Medical Cadavers

The episode of the medical cadavers in Chapter 6 marks a crucial turning point in Esther Greenwood's existential trajectory, representing her rejection of the conventional life paths offered to women in the 1950s. Esther visits Buddy Willard, her college boyfriend and potential fiancé, at Yale Medical School, where he is completing his studies. The reunion is intended to impress her with the seriousness and superiority of his male-only, scientific world, but it has the opposite effect, provoking in Esther a deep sense of morbidity and alienation from the future he represents. During her stay, Buddy takes Esther to the dissecting room, where he shows her human cadavers and a preserved fetus. The sight of corpses, with their "purple-black skin" and odor of "old pickle jars," prompts Esther to take pride in the "calm way" she stares "at all these gruesome things" (Plath 2022, p. 61), as if by staying composed she could convince herself she is mature and emotionally stable. Yet this very detachment foreshadows the psychological numbness that will later characterize her depression. Moreover, while she is watching Buddy "dissect a lung," she inadvertently rests her elbow on the corpse's stomach, and, "after a minute or two," she begins to feel a "burning sensation" in her "elbow." The feeling grows strong enough that she momentarily believes the body might be "half alive," and she recoils in fright (Plath 2022, p. 61). Her reaction reveals her inner tensions, showing how precariously her mind lingers on the border between the

rational and the uncanny. Her unease is immediately shut down by Buddy's factual explanation that "the burning" is "only from the pickling fluid" (Plath 2022, p. 61). Given the intensity of the repressive mechanisms at play, though, it is unsurprising that Esther's thoughts begin to haunt her over time:

> I kept hearing about the Rosenbergs over the radio and at the office till I couldn't get them out of my mind. It was like the first time I saw a cadaver. For weeks afterwards, the cadaver's head – or what there was left of it – floated up behind my eggs and bacon at breakfast and behind the face of Buddy Willard, who was responsible for my seeing it in the first place, and pretty soon I felt as though I were carrying that cadaver's head around with me on a string, like some black, noseless balloon stinking of vinegar (Plath 2022, p. 9).

The obsessive morbidity of this image turns the cadaver into a potent emblem of Esther's spiraling depression, binding Buddy, the corpse, the haunting public memory of the Rosenberg execution and her own decaying sense of self into a single, nightmarish vision.

During the Yale visit, a deeper feeling of horror emerges when Buddy leads Esther to a room lined with fetuses in jars, preserved at various stages of growth. Their arrested development mirrors Esther's fear of being trapped like one of them under a transparent bell jar. Buddy presents the cadavers and fetuses as proof of his mastery of the "real" scientific world; yet for Esther, the sterile display signifies the emotionally barren, patriarchal life she seems destined for – a world in which she is expected to be ornamental rather than fully alive. The inert fetuses also crystallize her fear of motherhood and traditional female biology as forms of identity loss and entrapment. Also, such jars, containing life in stagnant, irretrievable form, remind one of the Bell Jar's psychological glass descending upon Esther with the same suffocating stagnation. In this respect, the scene pushes Esther further toward psychological disintegration. Her impression of a fully formed fetus, seemingly "smiling a little piggy smile" (Plath, 2022, p. 61), highlights the disturbing way her perception is increasingly inflected by the grotesque.

The medical school episode is also a clear turning point in Esther and Buddy's relationship, where the intellectual and moral rift between them eventually becomes explicit. In an imagined dialogue, Esther, in fact, employs the language of science to dismantle Buddy's worldview and affirm her intellectual and moral autonomy. When Buddy dismisses a poem as "a piece of dust," thus reducing the emotional and imaginative realm to waste material, and asserting the supremacy of empirical knowledge, Esther retorts: "so are the cadavers you cut up. So are the people you think you're curing. They're dust as dust as dust" (Plath 2022, p. 54). In what can be construed as a brilliant reversal of his ideological rigidity, she turns his own discipline against him, exposing the hollowness of his belief in the narrative of science. By equating the cadaver, the living patient, and the poem, she collapses the distinction between the supposedly curative power of science and the creative power of art, revealing both as transient before the inevitability of death.

3.2 Buddy Willard's naked body

The encounter with Buddy marks the culmination of Esther's intellectual and moral break, bringing together her critiques of social ideals, scientific reductionism, and gendered hypocrisy into a scene of devastating disillusionment. Buddy embodies the cultural script Esther is expected to follow: handsome, intelligent, conventionally successful – the "all-American" ideal husband-to-be, a promise of security and respectability. Yet, seeing him naked for the first time provokes her disgust and estrangement, shattering this illusion. Her comparison of his genitals to "turkey neck and turkey gizzards" (Plath, 2022, p. 66), in fact, dismantles any sense of passion, reducing him to unappealing flesh. This moment evokes a key aspect of Kayser's conception of the grotesque: what culture praises as virile – strong and full of life – is presented instead as absurd – inert, dehumanized material. His nakedness also unveils the hypocrisy he embodies – the moralist who secretly sleeps with a waitress and expects purity from Esther. His own flesh and his confession are tropes of a system that gives men freedom and holds women in submission.

3.3 The 'Bakhtinian' Representation of Childbirth

In the novel, Esther's emotional detachment – often echoing Kayser's concept of alienation – is continually countered by Plath's powerful deployment of the Bakhtinian grotesque. Immediately after the dissecting-room visit, Buddy takes her to witness a live childbirth – a scene even more traumatic than the corpses because it confronts her with the biological and social destiny prescribed for women. Before the delivery begins, a nervous medical student warns: "You oughtn't to see this... You'll never want to have a baby if you do. They oughtn't to let women watch. It'll be the end of the human race" (Plath, 2022, pp. 62–63). His remark exposes the cultural imperative to conceal the physical truth of childbirth, lest women reject their reproductive role.

Esther is made to watch a woman labor under the influence of the infamous "twilight sleep," strapped down and writhing in agony while male doctors control the procedure. Stripped of sentimentality, the scene collapses the ideal of motherhood into a grotesque spectacle of pain and blood: "The head doctor, who was supervising Will, kept saying to the woman, 'Push down, Mrs. Tomolillo...' and finally through the split, shaven place between her legs, lurid with disinfectant, I saw a dark fuzzy thing appear..." (Plath, 2022, p. 64). As the baby is "stuck" along the birth canal, the doctors perform an episiotomy before Esther's very eyes: "I heard the

scissors close on the woman's skin like cloth and the blood began to run down – a fierce, bright red" (Plath, 2022, p. 64). In Kayser's sense, the culturally idealized version of childbirth as a beautiful and sublime experience becomes estranged and terrifying; yet the imagery also evokes Bakhtin's grotesque body – opened, exposed, and excessive – enacting a reproductive cycle that binds women to a shared biological fate. Esther's horror arises precisely from the collective dimension of the grotesque body: she recoils from identifying with a process that threatens her individuality and freedom. Her exposure to the grotesque intensifies her sense of estrangement from the world. The prior encounter with cadavers and fetuses had introduced her to the mechanical, lifeless aspect of the body, but witnessing childbirth – traditionally celebrated as vitality incarnate – renders even life grotesque in her eyes.

3.4 The Electroshock Therapy (ECT) Scene

The electroshock therapy (ECT) scene is a critical moment in which Kayser's alienation and Bakhtin's grotesque converge to expose the vulnerability and violation of the self under the guise of therapeutic progress. Esther foreshadows the horror when, speaking of the Rosenbergs' execution, she muses on the idea of "being burned alive all along your nerves. I thought it must be the worst thing in the world" (Plath 2022, p. 9). This early terror finds its echo in the clinical room, where the "great jolt" (Plath 2022, p. 130) of current induces in Esther an immediate sense of estrangement from her own body, which becomes an enemy – an instrument of pain acting beyond her conscious control.

Her violent convulsions render her powerless, transforming the clinical setting, ostensibly dedicated to healing, into a site of horror, as she describes: "Then something bent down and took hold of me and shook me like the end of the world. Whee-ee-ee-ee-ee, it shrilled, through an air crackling with blue light, and with each flash a great jolt drubbed me till I thought my bones would break and the sap fly out of me like a split plant" (Plath 2022, pp. 129-130). This forced separation between body and consciousness manifests Kayser's notion of alienation: the self is split, trapped in a disorienting awareness of its own disintegration, while the procedure meant to cure instead deepens her detachment from reality. She immediately wonders, "I wondered what terrible thing it was that I had done" (Plath 2022, p. 130), internalizing the violence as a form of punishment.

At the same time, the convulsions enact Bakhtin's grotesque body, exposing its excess, degradation, and loss of decorum. The writhing, spasmodic movements become an involuntary performance of bodily chaos, stripping away the sanitarium's veneer of order and self-control. The body, seized and degraded, is reduced from coherent subject to malfunctioning machine: "a scream was torn from my throat, for I didn't recognize it, but heard it soar and quaver in the air like a violently disembodied spirit" (Plath 2022, p. 130). This scream, externalized and alien, signifies the complete loss of individual control. In this collapse of form and control, Plath transforms the ideal of rational, healing science into its grotesque opposite. The supposed cure becomes a mechanized violation, a spectacle of pain that obliterates individuality and dignity. The ECT scene thus stands as a powerful indictment of a culture that equates technological control with progress, revealing how its instruments of care become instruments of dehumanization, where the promise of healing is indistinguishable from the infliction of violence.

4. Conclusion

The varieties of the grotesque formulated by Kayser and Bakhtin illuminate distinct yet complementary dimensions of *The Bell Jar*. In Kayser's sense, the grotesque isolates Esther Greenwood, estranging her from others and transforming the world into an uncanny threat. In Bakhtin's, it roots her in the collective and inescapable processes of the body, even as she struggles to withdraw from them. Plath's novel draws on both versions of the grotesque to dismantle cultural ideals and expose the disquiet beneath their sentimental façade. In each grotesque moment – the cadaver, the naked body, the childbirth – Esther's inability to respond with the prescribed emotions of awe, love, or wonder marks both her descent into madness and her lucid perception of a society that conceals brutality beneath sentimentality. Her revulsion becomes a mode of resistance: a visceral refusal to be molded into the "perfect woman" of the 1950s. *The Bell Jar* thus exposes the grotesque underside of the ordinary, where social ideals dissolve into scenes of distortion, decay, and existential disgust.

Funding: This research received no external funding.
Conflicts of Interest: The author declares no conflict of interest.
ORCID iD **https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4663-4888**

References

[1] Bakhtin, M. M., & Iswolsky, H. (Trans.). (1984). *Rabelais and His World*. Indiana University Press.

[2] Bennett, P. (1990). *My life, a loaded gun*. University of Illinois Press.

[3] Boyer, M. (2004). The disabled female body as a metaphor for language in Sylvia Plath's The Bell Jar. Women's Studies, 33(2), 199–223.

[4] Carona, C., & Atanázio, P. (2025). The Bell Jar: Sylvia Plath's first-person narrative of core elements for diagnosing and treating clinical depression. *BJPsych Advances*, *31*(3), 186–188. https://doi.org/10.1192/bja.2024.4.

[5] Childs, P., & Fowler, R. (2006). *The Routledge dictionary of literary terms*. Taylor & Francis.

[6] Clayborough, A. (1967). *The grotesque in English literature*. Clarendon Press.

[7] Duffy, M. (1971, November 12). *The triumph of a tormented poet*. *Life*, 37B-38B.

[8] Friedan, B. (1963). *The feminine mystique*. W. W. Norton & Company.

[9] Ghandeharion, A., Bozorgian, F., & Sabbagh, M. R. G. (2016). *Sylvia Plath's The Bell Jar: A mirror of American fifties*. *Kata: A Biannual Publication on the Study of Language and Literature, 17*(2), 64–70. https://doi.org/10.9744/kata.17.2.64-70.

[10] Goodwin, J. (2009). *Modern American grotesque: Literature and photography*. Ohio State University Press.

[11] Gould, E. (2011, July 27). *The Bell Jar at 40*. The Poetry Foundation. https://www.poetryfoundation.org/articles/69721/the-bell-jar-at-40

[12] Hall, C. K. B. (1978). *Sylvia Plath*. Twayne Publishers.

[13] Harpham, G. G. (1982). *On the grotesque: Strategies of contradiction in art and literature*. Princeton University Press.

[14] Hunt, D., & Carter, R. (2012). Seeing through The Bell Jar: Investigating Linguistic Patterns of Psychological Disorder. *Journal of Medical Humanities*, *33*(1), 27–39. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10912-011-9163-3.

[15] Kayser, W. (1963). *The grotesque in art and literature*. Indiana University Press.

[16] Kazin, A. (1973). *Bright book of life: American novelists and storytellers from Hemingway to Mailer*. Atlantic Monthly Press.

[17] Maris, R. W. (1981). *Pathways to suicide: A survey of self-destructive behaviors*. Johns Hopkins University Press.

[18] O'Connor, F. (1969). *Mystery and manners: Occasional prose* (S. Fitzgerald & R. Fitzgerald, Eds.). Farrar, Straus and Giroux.

[19] Panelatti, A. F., Ponterotto, J. G., & Fouché, P. J. P. (2021). Meaning-Making Narratives Within a Puzzle of Parts: A Psychobiographical Sketch of Sylvia Plath. *Europe's Journal of Psychology*, *17*(3), 243–252. https://doi.org/10.5964/ejop.5321.

[20] Plath, S. (2022). *The Bell Jar*. Toronto Metropolitan University.

[21] Rosenblatt, J. (1979). *Sylvia Plath: The poetry of initiation*. University of North Carolina Press.

[22] Schwartz, M. M., & Bollas, C. (1976). The absence at the center: Sylvia Plath and suicide. *Criticism, 18*(2), 147–172.